

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
1. Implementation obligations									
1.1.	Art. X Agreement	Report of Implementation.	Session - 60 ds (16.03.2018)	N/C	N/C	L	C	Received 06.04.18	
1.2.	Rules Of P.	Compliance Questionnaire.	28.02.2018	N/C	N/C	L	C	Received 06.04.18	
1.3.	SC	National Scientific Report.	15.11.2017	N/C	N/C	L	C	Received 06.04.18	
1.4.	Commission	Feedback letter.	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	L	C	Received 24.04.18	
2. Management Standards									
2.1.	Res. 15/04	Documents listed in this resolution on board ²	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Has 4 LL vessels > 24 m on the IOTC Record of Authorised vessels. Has indicated all documents onboard, source IOTC-2018.CoC15-CQ07. Legal reference: Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Guideline for fishing operations in Indian EEZ (binding in EEZ). No information provided for fishing in water beyond national jurisdiction. Two vessels inspected by UK(OT), no ATF, no logbook onboard, source IOTC-2018-CoC15-08a.	As per the Government of India Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Indian vessels in the IOTC RAV need to carry all the documents listed in this Resolution. A copy of Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 is attached as Appendix-2. Two vessels inspected/ reported by UK(OT) were not authorized by India to fish beyond national jurisdiction, and action as appropriate is taken against these two vessels reported to have been violated the norms.
		Marking of vessels ²		N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Has 4 LL vessels > 24 m on the IOTC Record of Authorised vessels. Has indicated vessels are marked, source IOTC-2018-CoC15-CQ07. Legal reference: Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Guideline for fishing operations in Indian EEZ (binding in EEZ). No information provided for fishing in water beyond national jurisdiction. Two vessels inspected by UK(OT), vessels not marked with name or callsign, source IOTC-2018-CoC15-08a.	As per the Government of India Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Indian vessels in the IOTC RAV need to comply all IOTC Resolutions. A copy of Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 is attached as Appendix-2. Two vessels inspected/ reported by UK(OT) were <24 m OAL and not authorised by India to fish beyond area of national jurisdiction. Action as appropriate is taken against these two vessels reported to have violated the norms.

¹ C = Compliant; N/C= Non-compliant; N/A = Not Applicable; P/C = Partially Comply; L = Late; CAP = Compliance Action Plan; CQ = Compliance Questionnaire.

²Information is to be provided in the Report of Implementation.

³24 meters overall length and over, and under 24 meters if they fished outside their EEZ.

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks	
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content			
		Marking of gears ²		N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Has indicated gears are marked, source IOTC-2018-CoC15-CQ07. Legal reference: Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Guideline for fishing operations in Indian EEZ (binding in EEZ). No information provided for fishing in water beyond national jurisdiction. Two vessels inspected by UK(OT), Fishing gear not marked with name or callsign, source IOTC-2018-CoC15-08a.	As per the Government of India Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Indian vessels in the IOTC RAV need to comply all IOTC Resolutions. A copy of Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 is attached as Appendix-2. Two vessels inspected/ reported by UK(OT) were <24 m OAL and not authorised by India to fish beyond area of national jurisdiction. Action as appropriate is taken against these two vessels reported to have violated the norms.	
		Marking of FADs ²		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No purse seiners in IOTC RAV.		
		Logbook on board ²		N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Has indicated fishing logbook onboard, source IOTC-2018-CoC15-CQ07. Legal reference: Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Guideline for fishing operations in Indian EEZ (binding in EEZ). No information provided for fishing in water beyond national jurisdiction. Two vessels inspected by UK(OT), no State issued logbook onboard, source IOTC-2018-CoC15-08a.	As per the Government of India Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Indian vessels in the IOTC RAV need to comply all IOTC Resolutions. A copy of Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 is attached as Appendix-2. Two vessels inspected/ reported by UK(OT) were <24 m OAL and not authorised by India to fish beyond area of national jurisdiction. Action as appropriate is taken against these two vessels reported to have violated the norms.	
		Official authorisation to fish outside National Jurisdictions.		Since 15.02.2014	N/C	N/C	L	P/C	NIL report received 06.04.18: INDIA has not authorized its vessels to fish outside national jurisdiction in 2017. One vessel inspected by UK(OT) outside national jurisdiction, no State issued license onboard. Source: IOTC-2018-CoC15-08a.	Two vessels inspected/ reported by UK(OT) were <24 m OAL and not authorised by India to fish beyond area of national jurisdiction. Action as appropriate is taken against these two vessels reported to have violated the norms.
		IMO number for eligible vessels.		Since 01.01.2016	N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Has 4 LSTLVs (> 24m; > 100GT) on the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels, Has reported none are eligible, IOTC-2018-CoC15-08a.	The 4 LSTLVs (> 24m; > 100GT) on the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels are research vessels, owned by the Government of India, which survey in the Indian EEZ.
2.2.	Res. 15/01	Official fishing logbooks.	Since 15.02.2016	N/C	N/C	N/C	P/C	Received 24.04.18 Two vessels inspected by UK(OT), no State issued logbook onboard, source IOTC-2018-CoC15-08a.	As per the Govt of India Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Indian vessels in the IOTC RAV carry official fishing log books as specified in this	

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
									Resolution. Copy of official log book format is attached in Appendix-3 for reference. Two vessels inspected/ reported by UK(OT) were <24 m OAL and not authorised by India to fish beyond area of national jurisdiction.
2.3.	Res. 17/07	Ban on large-scale driftnets ²	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	L	C	Legal reference: As per the Govt of India Order No. 21002/12/ 2011- Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 gill netting is not permitted in Indian vessels in the IOTC RAV. Has indicated not banned, source IOTC-2018.CoC15-CQ07; and authorized vessels of India do not use large scale drift nets in the EEZ or in the high seas, source IOTC-2018-CoC15-IR07.	
2.4.	Res. 17/08	FADs management plan.	31.12.2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No purse seiners in IOTC RAV.	
		Report of progress on implementation of FADs management plan.	16.03.2018	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No purse seiners in IOTC RAV.	
2.5.	Res. 16/07	Prohibition of surface or submerged artificial lights to attract fish.	27.09.2016	N/C	N/C	L	C	Has reported banned since 2017 by “Notification by GOI, 10.11.17”.Source:IOTC-2018.CoC15-CQ07.	
2.6.	Res. 16/08	Prohibition of aircrafts and unmanned aerial vehicles.	27.09.2016	N/C	N/C	L	C	Has reported banned by “The Aircraft Act, 1934”.Source:IOTC-2018.CoC15-CQ07.	
2.7.		Report on methods for achieving the YFT catch reductions.	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Has declared that India does not have gillnets under this category, hence it is not applicable to Indian gillnetters. India did not reply to IOTC Circular 2017-057 to confirm that this requirement is not applicable to Indian Gillnetters.	The Paragraph 1 of this Resolution reads “This resolution shall apply to all fishing vessels targeting tuna and tuna like species in the Indian Ocean of 24 meters overall length and over, and those under 24 meters if they fish outside the EEZ of their flag State, within the IOTC area of competence”. Since India does not have gillnets under this category, hence it is not applicable to Indian gillnetters. Besides, there were reduction in catch by Indian longliners in the IOTC RAV more than 10 % from the 2014 levels during the year under reference.

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
2.8.	Res. 17/01	Purse seiners served by supply vessel.	01.01.2018			N/A	N/A	No purse seiners in IOTC RAV.	
2.9.		Plans for reducing the use of supply vessel.	31.12.2017			N/A	N/A	No purse seiners in IOTC RAV.	
2.10	Res. 16/06	Report actions taken to implement reporting obligations & improve data collection of catches.	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	L	C	Received 06.04.18 Actions: research/survey vessels monitor the stocks, fool proof system for port sampling; Log book; periodic trainings to data enumerators; research institutions mandated for multi-layered checks before data validation.	
3. Reporting on Vessels									
3.1.	Res. 10/08	List of Active vessels	15.02	N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Received: 06.04.18 Two vessels inspected by UK(OT), not reported in Active Vessel list, source:IOTC-2018-CoC15-08a.	Two vessels inspected/ reported by UK(OT) were <24 m OAL and not authorised by India to fish beyond area of national jurisdiction.
3.2.	Res. 15/11	Fleet Development Plan (FDP).	By 31.12.2010(10 years)	C	C	C	C	Received 14.01.11	
3.3.	Res. 15/11	Reference Capacity							
		List of vessels ³ for Tropical Tuna during 2006.	31.12.2009	C	C	C	C	Received 20.07.11.	
	List of vessels ³ for SWO and ALB during 2007	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	Not targeting SWO and ALB.		
3.4.	Res. 15/04	List of Authorized vessels 24 metres in length overall or more	Since 01.07.2003	C	P/C	C	P/C	Last update 06.04.18; Information not provided at IOTC standard; missing [No IMO Number; has provided GRT instead of GT].	Information is being provided at IOTC standard.
3.5.		List of Authorized vessels (less than 24m, operating in waters outside EEZ of the flag state)	Since 01.07.2006	C	P/C	N/C	N/C	Two vessels inspected by UK(OT) operating outside waters of national jurisdiction, not reported in Authorised Vessel lists.Source:IOTC-2018-CoC15-08a.	Two vessels of <24 m OAL inspected/ reported by UK(OT) were not authorised by India to fish beyond area of national jurisdiction.
3.6.	Res. 14/05	List of foreign vessels licensed in EEZ	15.02	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A	Has reported "India has not licenced foreign vessels for fishing in its EEZ/high seas" Source IOTC-2018-CoC15-IR07	
3.7.		List of foreign vessels denied a licence	15.02	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A		
3.8.		Access Agreement information	26.02.2015	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A		
3.9.		Official coastal State fishing License	14.01.2014	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A		
4. Vessel Monitoring System									

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
4.1.	Res. 15/03	Adoption VMS for all vessels > 24 m and < 24 m fishing high seas	Since 01.07.2007	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Has reported "Operation of the Indian industrial tuna fishing vessels is monitored by the ATS (Automatic Tracking System). However, Zero vessel equipped with VMS. Source:IOTC-2018.CoC15-CQ07. No legal reference provided.	There is no commercial tuna fleet of 24 m OAL and above authorized to fish in Indian EEZ. Indigenous system of MCS is in place.
4.2.		VMS report on implementation and technical failures	30.06	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Mandatory report not provided.	
4.3.		VMS implementation plan	30.04.2016	N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Government of India has finalized a programme for installation of VMS onboard all fishing vessels. This programme will satisfy all the requirements under the IOTC Resolution on installation of VMS". Source: IOTC-2018-CoC15-IR07. Has reported level of coverage is partial, no implementation plan submitted. Source: IOTC-2018.CoC15-CQ07.	
5. Mandatory statistical requirement – Flag State CPCs									
5.1.	Res. 15/02 & Res 15/05	Nominal Catch							
		• Coastal fisheries	30.06	C	C	L	C	Data received: 28.08.17	
		• Surface fisheries: PS, BB, GN	30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No PS, BB or GN vessel in the IOTC RAV.	
		• Longline fisheries	30.12	C	P/C	L	P/C	Data received: 28.08.17. Not for all LL vessels. Source IOTC-2017-SC20-NR08: It is indicated that there were 41 longline vessels including 11 vessels with LOA between 40-60m, which were authorized under Indian flag in 2016	The data furnished under the coastal fisheries includes those of coastal longliners also. The data pertaining to all longline vessels of oceanic fishery furnished under the exploratory longline data. There is no commercial LL fleet.
5.2.		Catch & Effort							
		• Coastal fisheries	30.06	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No data provided	
		• Surface fisheries: PS, BB, GN	30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No PS, BB or GN vessel in the IOTC RAV.	

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks	
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content			
5.3.		• Longline fisheries	30.12	C	P/C	L	P/C	Not for all LL vessels. Source IOTC-2017-SC20-NR08: It is indicated that there were 41 longline vessels including 11 vessels with LOA between 40-60m, which were authorized under Indian flag in 2016	No commercial LL fleet is authorized to fish in Indian EEZ. The data furnished under the coastal fisheries includes those of coastal commercial longliners also. The data pertaining to all longline vessels of oceanic fishery furnished under the exploratory longline data.	
		Size Frequency								
		• Coastal fisheries	30.06	N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Data received: 19.07.17 Size frequency data for 2 species		
		• Surface fisheries: PS, BB, GN	30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No PS, BB or GN vessel in the IOTC RAV.		
5.4.		• Longline fisheries	30.12	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Not for all LL vessels. Source IOTC-2017-SC20-NR08: It is indicated that there were 41 longline vessels including 11 vessels with LOA between 40-60m, which were authorized under Indian flag in 2016	The size data furnished under the coastal fisheries includes those of coastal commercial longliners also. The data pertaining to all longline vessels of oceanic fishery furnished under the exploratory longline data.	
		Fish Aggregating Devices (FAD)								
		Supply vessels	30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No supply vessel.		
		Days at sea by supply vessels	30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
FADs set by type	30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
6. Implementation of mitigation measures and bycatch of non-IOTC species										
6.1.	Res. 17/05	Submission of data regarding Sharks – Nominal catch	30.06	C	P/C	L	P/C	Data received: 28.08.17. NC aggregated as shark.		
		Submission of data regarding Sharks – Catch & effort	30.06	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Not for all LL vessels. Source IOTC-2017-SC20-NR08: It is indicated that there were 41 longline vessels including 11 vessels with LOA between 40-60m, which were authorized under Indian flag in 2016	No commercial LL fleet is authorized to fish in Indian EEZ. The shark data furnished under the coastal fisheries includes those of coastal commercial longliners. The data pertaining to all longline vessels of oceanic fishery furnished under the exploratory longline data.	
		Submission of data regarding Sharks – Size frequency	30.06	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Size data only submitted for research vessels	No commercial LL fleet is authorized to fish in Indian EEZ. The size data furnished under the coastal fisheries includes those of coastal commercial longliners also. The data pertaining to all longline vessels of oceanic fishery furnished under the exploratory longline data.	

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
6.2.	Res. 12/09	Prohibition on thresher sharks of all the species of the family <i>Alopiidae</i>	Since 07.07.2010	N/C	N/C	N/C	P/C	Has reported is not banned.Source: IOTC-2018-CoC15-CQ07 Legal reference: Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Guideline for fishing operations in Indian EEZ (binding in EEZ). No information provided for fishing in water beyond national jurisdiction.	As per the Govt of India Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Indian vessels in the IOTC RAV are prohibited from fishing all the species which is banned by the IOTC Resolutions.
6.3.	Res. 13/06	Prohibition on oceanic whitetip sharks	Since 14.08.2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not applicable (Objection S17).	
6.4.		Report on progress of implementation of the FAO Guidelines and this Resolution ²	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	L	C	Received 06.04.18	
6.5.	Res. 12/04	Data on interactions with marine turtles	30.06	N/C	N/C	L	P/C	Data received: 06.02.18 (Source:IOTC-2017-SC20-NR08) Sea turtles are protected in India and it is also being included in the Schedule I of the Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972. Has reported there are rare interactions, no number / species reported.	It may be considered as 'Complied.'
6.6.		Carry line cutters and de-hookers on board (Longliners)	Since 06.08.2009	N/C	N/C	L	C	Has reported obligation introduced in national legislation since 2003.Legal reference:Wildlife Prot. Act, 1972; Odisha MFRA,82.	
6.7.		Carry dip nets (Purse seiners)	Since 06.08.2009	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A	No PS vessel on the IOTC RAV.	
6.8.	Res. 12/06	Seabirds report ²	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	L	C	Data received: 06.02.18 (Source:IOTC-2017-SC20-NR08). Nil interactions recorded. Seabirds have been sighted along the coastal.	

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
6.9.		Implementation of mitigation measures south of 25°S	Since 01.11.2010	N/C	N/C	L	C	Has reported "Research conducted by Fishery Survey of India also reported no sea bird interactions in the Indian longline fishery".Source:IOTC-2018.CoC15-CQ07 Legal reference: Order No. 21002/12/2011-Fy(Ind) dated 12.11.2014 Guideline for fishing operations in Indian EEZ (binding in EEZ). No information provided for fishing in water beyond national jurisdiction.	
6.10	Res. 13/04	Data on interactions with Cetaceans	30.06 (All gears)	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No data on interactions provided.	
		Instances of Cetaceans encircled ²	For PS 16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A	No PS vessel on the IOTC RAV	
6.11	Res 13/05	Data on interactions with Whale Sharks	30.06 (All gears)	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No data on interactions provided.	
		Instances Whale Sharks encircled ²	For PS 16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A	No PS vessel on the IOTC RAV	
7. Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Vessels									
7.1.	Res. 17/03	IUU listing	Session - 70 ds (06.03.2018)	L	P/C	N/C	N/C	10 vessels included on the IOTC IUU list in 2017	Action taken report against IOTC IUU listed vessels submitted during 21 st Session.
7.2.	Res. 07/01	Compliance by nationals	16.03.2018	L	P/C	L	P/C	10 vessels with nationals included on the IOTC IUU list in 2017. No information on actions against the crew.	Action taken report against owners of IOTC IUU listed vessels submitted during 21 st Session.
8. Transhipments									
8.1.	Res. 17/06	At sea transhipments – CPC report	Before 15.09	N/A	N/A	L	N/C	Received 06.04.18. Has reported transhipment of 132 Mt in 2016, no name of LSTLVs provided. No request for observer deployment received by Secretariat. Has yet to confirm its participation to the ROP, Source: IOTC-2015-CoC12-04a.	Participation of India to the ROP shall be intimated once a decision is taken upon National Guidelines, which are under revision.
8.2.		Transhipments in port report ²	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	L	C	NIL report received 06.04.18.	
8.3.		List of Authorised carrier vessels	Since 01.07.2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No carrier vessels	

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
8.4.		Report on results of investigations on possible infractions	13.02.2018	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A	No possible infraction recorded.	
8.5.		ROP fee (call 18.07.16)	06.04.2018	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Source: IOTC-2015-CoC12-04a. Have outstanding dues from 2016.	Participation of India to the ROP shall be intimated once a decision is taken upon. National Guidelines, which are under revision.
9. Observers									
9.1.	Res. 11/04	Regional Observer Scheme ² (No. of vessels monitored and coverage by gear type)	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No observer scheme in place for the longline fleet in 2016.	There is no commercial longline fleet. Presently, there are only 4 Indian longline vessels in the IOTC RAV, which are research/ survey vessels of Fishery Survey of India (Govt of India). At least one scientist participates in every voyage of these vessels, thereby ensuring 100% observer coverage.
9.2.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5% Mandatory, at sea (All vessels)² 5% Artisanal landings² 	Since 2013	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Has indicated that 11 vessels with LOA between 40-60m were authorized under Indian flag in 2016, source IOTC-2017-SC20-NR08.	
9.3.			Since 2013	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Has port sampling programme, over 70% coverage	
9.4.			Since 2013	N/C	N/C	L	C	Has port sampling programme, over 70% coverage	
9.5.		Observer reports	150 days after trip	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No observer scheme in 2016. Has indicated that 11 vessels with LOA between 40-60m were authorized under Indian flag in 2016, source IOTC-2017-SC20-NR08.	
10. Statistical document programme									
10.1	Res. 01/06	1 st Semester report (2017)	01.10.2017	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A	BET is not imported	
10.2		2 nd Semester report (2016)	01.04.2017	N/C	N/C	N/A	N/A	Source : IOTC-2018.CoC15-CQ07	
10.3		Annual report ² (2016)	16.03.2018	N/C	N/C	L	C	NIL report received 06.04.18, does not export BET	
10.4		Information on authorised institutions and personnel	Since 01.07.2002	C	C	C	C	Last Update 06.04.18.	
11. Port inspection									
11.1	Res. 05/03	Port inspection programme	01.07	N/A	N/A	L	C	NIL report received 06.04.18. No landing of foreign vessels in 2016.	
11.2	Res. 16/11	List of designated ports	Since 31.12.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not allow foreign fishing vessel to enter its ports.	
11.3		Designated competent Authority		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
11.4		Prior notification periods		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
11.5		Inspection report	3 days after inspection	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
11.6		At least 5% inspection of LAN or TRX	Since 01.03.2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
11.7	Denial of entry in port		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
12. Market									

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous	Status ¹	Current	Status	Observations	CPC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
12.1	Res. 10/10	Report on import, landing and transshipment of tuna and tuna-like fish products in ports ²	16.03.2018	N/A	N/A	L	C	NIL report received 06.04.18:does not import, no landing, no transshipment by foreign vessels in 2017.Source: IOTC-2018-CoC15-IR07	

Feedback to India on the level of implementation of IOTC Conservation and Management Measures identified by the CoC14 in 2017.

Feedback: With regards to the level of compliance by India to the decisions of the Commission, the Compliance Committee noted certain issues that required attention. These concerns were communicated to India by the Chair of the Commission in a letter dated 26th May, 2017.

- | |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General lack of compliance with IOTC measures and response from India. • Has not presented report of implementation and has not presented others reports and information as required by IOTC Resolutions and the Commission. |
|---|

Response: The response to the letter of the Chair of the Commission was provided by India on 24 April 2018.

Current issues on the level of implementation by India of IOTC Conservation and Management Measures identified for discussion in the CoC15 in 2018.

Having reviewed the 2018 Compliance Report for India, the Chair of the Compliance Committee has identified the following significant non-compliance issues for discussion.

Compliance issues	Current status (2018)	Previous Status (2017)
Repeated compliance issues		
• Has not fully implemented the requirement on documents listed in this resolution on board, as required by Resolution 15/04	P/C	N/C
• Has not fully implemented the requirement on Marking of vessels, as required by Resolution 15/04	P/C	N/C
• Has not fully implemented the requirement on Marking of gears, as required by Resolution 15/04	P/C	N/C
• Has not fully implemented the requirement on Logbook on board, as required by Resolution 15/04	P/C	N/C
• Has not fully implemented the requirement on Official authorisation to fish outside National Jurisdictions, as required by Resolution 15/04	P/C	N/C
• Has not fully implemented the requirement on IMO number for eligible vessels, as required by Resolution 15/04	P/C	N/C
• Has not fully implemented the requirement on Official fishing logbooks, as required by Resolution 15/01	P/C	N/C
• Has not provided the Report on methods for achieving the YFT catch reductions, as required by Resolution 17/01 (Has declared not applicable)	P/C	N/C
• Has not provided the List of Active vessels in 2017, as required by Resolution 10/08	N/C	N/C
• Has not provided a List of Authorized vessels 24 metres in length overall or more to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 15/04	P/C	P/C
• Has not provided the List of Authorized vessels less than 24m, operating in waters outside EEZ of the flag state (Has 2 vessels operating in ABNJ), as required by Resolution 15/04	N/C	P/C
• Has not adopted VMS for all vessels > 24 m and < 24 m fishing high seas, as required by Resolution 15/03	N/C	N/C

• Has not provided the VMS report on implementation and technical failures in 2016, as required by Resolution 15/03	N/C	N/C
• Has not provided the VMS implementation plan, as required by Resolution 15/03	P/C	N/C
• Has not reported Nominal Catch for Longline fisheries to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 15/02	P/C	P/C
• Has not reported Catch & Effort for Coastal fisheries, as required by Resolution 15/02	N/C	N/C
• Has not reported Catch & Effort for Longline fisheries to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 15/02	P/C	P/C
• Has not reported Size Frequency for Coastal fisheries to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 15/02	P/C	N/C
• Has not reported Size Frequency for Longline fisheries, as required by Resolution 15/02	N/C	N/C
• Has not reported data regarding Sharks – Nominal catch to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 17/05	P/C	P/C
• Has not reported data regarding Sharks – Catch & effort, as required by Resolution 17/05	N/C	N/C
• Has not reported data regarding Sharks – Size frequency, as required by Resolution 17/05	N/C	N/C
• Has not fully implemented the Prohibition on thresher sharks of all the species of the family Alopiidae, as required by Resolution 12/09	P/C	N/C
• Has not provided the Data on interactions with marine turtles to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 12/04	P/C	N/C
• Has not provided the Data on interactions with Cetaceans, as required by Resolution 13/04	N/C	N/C
• Has not provided the Data on interactions with Whale Sharks, as required by Resolution 13/05	N/C	N/C
• IUU Listing - had 10 vessels included on the IOTC IUU list in 2017, Resolution 17/03	N/C	P/C
• Compliance by nationals - had 10 vessels with nationals included on the IOTC IUU list in 2017, no information on actions against the crew, Resolution 17/03	P/C	P/C
• ROP fee – has an outstanding due in 2016, Resolution 17/06	N/C	N/C
• Has not implemented the Regional Observer Scheme, No. of vessels monitored and coverage by gear type, as required by Resolution 11/04	N/C	N/C
• Has not implemented the 5% Mandatory coverage at sea (All vessels), as required by Resolution 11/04	N/C	N/C
• Has not provided Observer reports, as required by Resolution 11/04	N/C	N/C
Non-repeated compliance issues		
• Has reported at sea transshipment in 2016, no name of LSTLVs provided, no request for observer deployment submitted, as required by Resolution 17/06	N/C	N/A