Developing surplus production model priors from a multivariate life history prediction model for IOTC billfish assessments with limited biological information

Henning Winker¹, Sven Kerwath¹ and Paul de Bruyn²

ABSTRACT

Production Model remain widely used to assess stock status and can represent a parsimonious alternative to age-structured assessment models, in particular in the absence of reliable size and/or age structure information and in cases where life history parameters are uncertain. Here we present an approach to objectively incorporate available life history parameters into Bayesian surplus production model priors for the intrinsic rate of population increase r. First we use the R package FishLife to determine probable life history parameters from FishBase. The model is then updated with stock-specific estimates of the asymptotic length (L_{oo}), as derived by fitting the recently proposed Length-based Bayesian estimator (LBB) to available size data for the Indian Ocean region. The resulting FishLife predictions of parameter means and their covariance are then used to propagate parameter uncertainty and correlation structure into the formulation of the r prior. In addition, LBB was applied to estimate a logistic selectivity function for each stock, which is required to parameterize the age-structured model. The approach is illustrated by its application to Indian Ocean striped marlin and black marlin with the aim to develop objective priors for r for a range of steepness assumptions about the stock-recruitment relationship. The results demonstrate that the functional form of a 14-parameter yield curve for an age-stock stock can be well approximated by the 3-parameter Pella-Tomlinson surplus production curve. To adequately account for the uncertainty about the productivity of each stock, we propose three steepness-specific sets of priors for r and B_{MSY}/K values for consideration in the 2018 IOTC assessments based on the Bayesian Surplus Production Modelling software JABBA.

KEYWORDS

Bayesian priors, Monte-Carlo simulation, life history traits, JABBA, Marlin

¹ DAFF, Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Private Bag X2, Rogge Bay 8012, South Africa. ² IOTC Secretariat, Victoria, Seychelles

Corresponding Author: HenningW@daff.gov.za

INTRODUCTION

Surplus Production Models (SPMs) are one of the least data demanding population dynamic models to provide estimates of stock status and fisheries reference points (FRPs) such as the Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY). Despite the increased application of more complex age-structured models (ASMs) within tuna Regional Management Fisheries Organizations (RFMOs), SPMs have remained an integral part of the assessment toolbox for large pelagic tuna and billfish (Brodziak and Ishimura, 2012; Chang et al., 2014; Punt et al., 2015). In particular, billfish assessments are commonly conducted with SPMs (Chang et al., 2014; Punt et al., 2015), due to paucity of stock-specific biological information and reliable size structure data.

SPMs are age- and size aggregated models that approximate changes in biomass as a function of the biomass of the preceding year, the surplus production and the removal by the fishery. Somatic growth, reproduction, natural mortality and associated density-dependent processes are inseparably captured in the estimated surplus production function that is governed by the the intrinsic rate of population increase *r*, the shape parameter *m* and the unfished biomass *K* (Winker et al., 2018a). In contrast, it typically requires at least 14 parameters to approximate the surplus production function within an age-structured assessment framework (Winker et al., 2018a, 2018b, 2017). Most of these parameters are rarely estimable within the ASM framework and therefore typically assumed without error, especially in data-poor to -moderate assessments. The parameterization of ASM strongly relies on externally estimated parameters describing growth, maturity, natural mortality and the spawning- recruitment relationship of the stock. Misspecification of one or several parameters can introduce severe bias in the stock status estimates or cause data conflict between abundance indices and size data (Henriquez et al., 2016). In the absence of reliable data to infer life history and stock parameter estimates SPMs may provide a more parsimonious alternative to estimate stock status.

Here, we apply an age-structured Monte-Carlo simulation approach to convert life history parameters into Bayesian surplus production model priors for *r* (Winker et al. 2017; Winker et al. 2018b). We make use of the multivariate hierarchical life history tool *FishLife* (Thorson et al., 2017; https://github.com/James-Thorson/FishLife) to source life history parameters from *FishBase* (www.fishbase.org). The *FishLife* model estimates plausible combinations of species-specific life history parameters nested within taxonomic relationships by employing hierarchical multivariate statistics on available data. To obtain stock-specific estimates, we then update the *FishLife* predictions with stock-specific estimates of the asymptotic length (*Loo*), which we derived by fitting the Length-based Bayesian estimator (LLB; Froese et al., 2018) to available size data for the Indian

2

Ocean region. These updated model results are used to propagate parameter uncertainty and correlation structure into the formulation of the *r* prior. In addition, LBB was applied to estimate a logistic selectivity function for each stock, which is required for parameterizing the age-structured model. We implement this approach for Indian Ocean striped marlin (MLS) and black marlin (BLM) with the aim to develop objective priors for *r* for a range of steepness assumptions about the stock-recruitment relationship.

1. MATERIALS AND METHODS

1.1 Linking Age-Structured and Surplus Production Model

The following concepts build on previous work by Winker et al. (Winker et al., 2018a, 2018b, 2017). Central to their idea of linking age-structured stock parameters and the surplus production function is the application of age-structured spawning biomass- and yield-per-recruit models with integrated stock-recruitment relationship (SRR), which we subsequently refer to as age-structured equilibrium model (ASEM). This type of model is widely used for ASMs to derive MSY-based fisheries reference points from estimated stock parameters by searching iteratively for the fishing mortality that produces *MSY*, F_{MSY} , from the corresponding spawning biomass SB_{MSY} at MSY (Punt et al., 2013). Typical ASEM inputs are the stock parameters describing length-at-age (L_a), weight-at-age (w_a), maturity-at-age (ψ_a) and selectivity-at-age (s_a), natural mortality *M* and the steepness parameter *h* of the of the assumed SSR (Punt et al., 2013).

To illustrate the link between the generalized three parameter SPM by Pella and Tomlinson (1969) and an ASEM, we make the assumption that surplus production is a function of spawning biomass (Thorson et al., 2012), so that:

$$SP = \frac{r}{m-1} SB \left(1 - \left(\frac{SB}{SB_0}\right)^{m-1} \right)$$
(1)

where *r* is the intrinsic rate of population increase, SB_0 is the unfished biomass and *m* is a shape parameter that determines at which SB/SB_0 ratio maximum surplus production is attained. If the shape parameter *m* = 2, the model reduces to the Schaefer form, with the surplus production (*SP*) attaining MSY at exactly $SB_0/2$. If 0 < m < 2, *SP* attains MSY at biomass levels smaller than *K*/2; the converse applies for values of *m* greater than 2. The Pella-Tomlinson model reduces to a Fox model (Fox, 1970) if *m* approaches one, resulting in maximum surplus production at ~ 0.37*SB*₀, but there is no exact solution for the Fox surplus production with *m* = 1 (Figure 1) The functional link between the Pella-Tomlinson SPM and the ASEM is illustrated in Figure 2. Accordingly, it is possible to first generate MSY/SB_{MSY} and SB_{MSY}/SB_0 from the ASEM and translate those into surplus production parameter r and m from typical input parameters for age-structured assessment models, where m is directly related to the inflection point SB_{MSY}/SB_0 of the surplus production curve:

$$\frac{SB_{MSY}}{SB_0} = m^{\left(-\frac{1}{m-1}\right)}$$
(2)

and r can be expressed as a function of MSY/SB_{MSY} and m such that:

$$r = \frac{MSY}{SB_{MSY}} \frac{m-1}{1-m^{-1}}$$
(3)

1.2 Age-structured equilibrium model (ASEM)

Assuming deterministic, age-structured population dynamics, the numbers at age per-recruit (\tilde{N}_a) at equilibrium are given by:

$$\widetilde{N}_{a} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 0\\ \widetilde{N}_{a-1} \exp(-s_{a}F - M) & \text{if } a > 0 \end{cases}$$
(4)

where s_a is the selectivity at age a, F is the instantaneous rate of fishing mortality and M instantaneous rate of natural mortality. For ease of presentation, we assumed a constant M and omitted the plus group.

The Spawning-biomass-per-recruit (S') is obtained as function of *F*, such that:

$$\tilde{S}(F) = \sum_{a} w_a \psi_a \tilde{N}_a \tag{5}$$

where w_a is the weight at age, ψ_a is the proportion of mature fish in the population and \tilde{N}_a is the number survivors-at-age per recruit. The corresponding yield-per-recruit is given by:

$$\widetilde{Y}(F) = \sum_{a} \frac{w_a s_a F}{Z_a} \widetilde{N}_a (1 - \exp(-Z_a))$$
(6)

where Z_a is the age- and sex-specific total mortality given by:

$$Z_a = M + s_a F \tag{7}$$

and s_a is the selectivity-at-age.

Under steady state conditions, the yield (Y) can then be expressed as a function of equilibrium recruitment R' and yield-per-recruit (\tilde{Y})

$$Y(F) = \tilde{Y}(F) \times \tilde{R}(F)$$
(8)

The corresponding equilibrium spawner-biomass SB is:

$$SB(F) = \tilde{S}(F) \times \tilde{R} \tag{9}$$

where $\tilde{S}(F)$ denotes the spawning-biomass-per-recruit for a given fishing mortality *F*.

Assuming a Beverton and Holt SSR, the equilibrium recruitment at *F* is given by:

$$\tilde{R}(F) = R_0 \frac{4h\tilde{S} - (1-h)\tilde{S}_0}{\tilde{S}(5h-1)}$$
(10)

where the steepness parameter *h* is defined as the ratio of recruitment of average unfished recruitment R_0 when spawner biomass is reduced to 20% of unfished levels, SB_0 , i.e., $hR_0 = \tilde{R}(0.2SB_0)$ (Mace and Doonan, 1988) and \tilde{S}_0 is unfished spawner-biomass-per-recruit when F = 0.

The quantity MSY and the corresponding fishing mortality at MSY, F_{MSY} , is obtained through iterative maximization of Eq. 8 over a range of plausible F values, which then allows calculating SB_{MSY} by inputting F_{MSY} into Eq. 9.

Weight-at-age is described as function of the weight to length conversion parameters ω and δ and length-at-age for sex *s*, *L*_a, such that

$$w_a = \omega L_a^\beta \tag{11}$$

The corresponding L_a was calculated based on the Bertalanffy growth function parameters as:

$$L_a = L_{\infty} (1 - \exp(-k(a - a_0))$$
(12)

where L_{∞} is the asymptotic length, k is the growth coefficient and a_0 is the theoretical age at zero length.

The fraction of mature fish at age *a* was calculated as:

$$\psi_a = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(a - a_{mat})/\delta_{\psi}} \tag{13}$$

where a_{mat} is the estimated age-at-50%-maturity and δ_{ψ} is the inverse slope of the ogive.

Selectivity-at-age was calculated as a function of length-at-age, L_a , using a two parameter logistic model of the form

$$s_a = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(L_a - L_c)/\delta_s)}$$
(14)

where s_a is the proportion of fish selected in the age a and sex s, L_c is the length at which 50% of the fish are retained and δ_s is the inverse slope of the logistic ogive.

1.3 Generating life history input parameters

A general overview of the approach to generate stock parameters as input for the ASEM is illustrated in Figure 2.

First, we derived approximations of stock-specific asymptotic length (L_{∞}) estimates and fisheries selectivity parameters by applying the Bayesian length-frequency analysis tool LBB (Froese et al. 2018) to combined size frequency data for the period 2000-2016 from the Taiwanese longline fleet. The size data for MLS (n = 85,898) and BLM (n = 12,676) were made available for the 2017 meeting of the IOTC Working Party on Billfish (WPB). LBB provides a Bayesian estimation framework to simultaneously estimate L_{∞} , the length-at-50%-selectivity (L_c), the slope parameter of the logistic selectivity function (α), relative natural mortality and relative fishing mortality from length frequency data (Froese et al. 2018). In LBB, selectivity is assumed to follow a logistic function of length classes L_i relative to L_{∞} , such that:

$$S_{L_{i}} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\alpha_{s}\left(\frac{L_{i}}{L_{\infty}} - \frac{L_{c}}{L_{\infty}}\right)\right)}$$
(15)

where S_{Li} is the probability of fish in length class *i* (here 3 cm) are vulnerable to the fishery and α_s is the slope of the selectivity curve. Here, we only focus on the LBB estimates of L_{∞} and the selectivity parameter estimates L_c/L_{∞} and α_s for MLS and BLM.

Next, we made use of the R package *FishLife* (Thorson et al. 2017) to generate random deviates of the ASEM input parameters L'_{∞} , k', a'_{mat} , a'_{max} and M'. This R package allows predicting life history traits for marine fishes based on a multivariate prediction model, which draws inferences from life history information for more 32,000 marine fishes available in FishBase, while accounting for taxonomic structure in residuals with five levels for class, order, family, genus, and species. On species level, the multivariate prediction model produces the expected mean values (\hat{y}_{spec}) and covariance matrix (Cov_{spec}) for the following seven life history parameters and, in addition, temperature:

$$\hat{y}_{spec} = \{\log(L_{\infty}), \log(k), \log(W_{\infty}), \log(a_{max}), \log(a_{mat}), \log(M), \log(L_{mat}), \log(T)\}$$
(16)

$$Cov_{spec} = \{\log(L_{\infty}), \log(k), \log(W_{\infty}), \log(a_{max}), \log(a_{mat}), \log(M), \log(L_{mat}), \log(T)\}$$
(17)

where L_{mat} is the length-at-50% maturity and T is the temperature. FishLife also provides a function to update predictions of life history parameters for a given species based on user-supplied data. Here, we only updated the predictions with the L_{∞} estimate from LBB to tune the predictions to more stock-specific estimates \hat{y}_{stock} and Cov_{stock} for MLS and BLM in the Indian Ocean. We then applied a multivariate random generator in R to obtain a large number ($n_{sim} = 1000 \times 1000$) of random deviates y'_{stock} , such that:

$$y'_{stock} \sim MVN(\hat{y}_{stock}, Cov_{stock})$$
(18)

To further exclude implausible sets of history parameters given the stock specific size information (e.g. observed mean length $> L_{oo}$), we extracted a random subset of 1000 generated life history

parameters, based on the condition that L'_{∞} falls within the 2.5th and 97.5th percentile of lognormal distribution with a CV of 15% around the log of the LBB-based L_{oo} estimate. From this subset, we extracted the vectors random deviates of L'_{∞} , k', a'_{mat} , a'_{max} and M' as input for the ASEM.

The remainder of required ASEM input parameters were parameterized as follows. The theoretical age at zero length was set to $a_0 = -1$ (Eq. 12) and the weight-length parameters were set to $\omega = 0.01$ and $\beta = 3$ (Eq. 11). In both cases, the choice of parameter is predicted to have little influence on the results as these are expressed in the form of relative quantities. The slope of the maturity ogive was set to $\delta_{\psi} = 0.01 a_{mat}$ (Eq. 13) to approximate knife-edge maturation. Finally, we generated random selectivity input parameters (Eq. 14) as function of:

$$L'_c = L'_{\infty} \frac{L_c}{L_{\infty}}$$
 and $\delta'_s = L'_{\infty}/\alpha_s$ (19)

where L_c/L_{∞} and α_s are the LBB estimates and L'_{∞} is the vector randomly generated L_{oo} deviates from the stock-specific *FishLife* model predictions

2.4 Monte-Carlo procedure for prior generation

Prior distributions for *r* and corresponding proxies for B_{MSY}/K (and *m*) were obtained as a function of random deviates of MSY/SB_{MSY} and SB_{MSY}/SB_0 that were generated from Monte-Carlo simulation based on 1000 iterations. This was done using the following of steps: (1) iteratively input the 1000 stock parameters deviates into the ASEM , (2) iteratively maximize Eq. 6 over a range of *F* values to obtain deviates of MSY' and the corresponding F'_{MSY} , (3) input the resulting F'_{MSY} into the ASEM to obtain the associated SB'_{MSY} through Eq. 9, (4) set *F* = 0 to obtain SB'_0 through Eq. 9, (5) calculate ratios MSY'/SB'_{MSY} and SB'_{MSY}/SB'_0 and (5) iteratively solve Eq. 2 for SB'_{MSY}/SB'_0 to derive *m*' and input *m*' and the ratio MSY'/SB'_{MSY} into Eq. 2 to obtain *r*'. Note that ratios of MSY'/SB'_{MSY} and SB'_{MSY}/SB'_0 are insensitive to the choice of R_0 (in Eq. 10) and thus the absolute quantity of SB_0 , hence there is not loss of generality by setting $R_0 = 1$.

The Monte-Carlo simulation was repeated of the range of fixed input values of h = 0.4-0.8 in steps of 0.1. In addition, we added h = 0.86 so as to match the stock-recruitment assumption for the stock synthesis (SS3; Methot and Wetzel, 2013) reference run for the 2017 IOTC striped marlin assessment (Wang, 2017).

3. Results and Discussion

The LBB approximations of L_{oo} were 331.5 cm for MLS and 363.3 cm for BLM and the size-at-50%selectivity was estimated as ratios of L_c/L_{oo} = 0.46 and 0.37, respectively (Figure 4). The pairwise predictions of six selected variables (Loo, k, Lmat, amat, amat life traits, which are represented in FishLife by the covariance (Figs. 5-6). The MLS life history predictions mostly overlapped between species and stock level, suggesting that the model-update based on Loo estimate from LBB had little influence on the predictions (Fig. 5). This was notably different for BLM, where the predictions on species level initially produced a large proportion of surprisingly small L_{oo} deviates (Fig. 6). In particular, L_{oo} values below 190 cm appear implausible for the Indian Ocean stock when compared the observed size data shown in Fig .4. The reason for this discrepancy is likely related to limited, highly variable life history records for BLM in FishBase. Updating *FishLife* and subsetting the generated sets parameters based on the L_{oo} estimate from LBB seemingly produced more realistic stock-specific life history estimates for BLM (Fig. 6). Kernel density distributions of the subsets of stock-specific ASEM input parameters are shown in Figs. 7-8. The resulting relationships of length-at-age, maturity-at-age, unfished numbers-at-age and selectivity-at-age provide an illustration of the ranges of uncertainty that are propagated into the r priors for MLS (Fig. 9) and BLM (Fig. 10).

The results from ASEM demonstrate that the iterative (*F*) solution of a 14-parameter yield curve of an age-structured stock can be closely approximated by the closed-form solution of the 3-parameter Pella surplus production curve over a wide range of steepness *h* assumptions for both stocks (Figs. 11-12). The larger *r* priors for MLS (Fig. 11) suggest that the stock is more resilient to exploitation than BLM (Fig. 12), with minimal overlap between expected mean *r* values of 0.21-0.31 for MLS and 0.15-0.22 for BLM over the same range of steepness *h* input values (Table 1). In addition, the precision of the *r* priors was substantially higher for MLS (CV ~ 15%) than for BLM (CV ~ 30%), which is probably an adequate reflection of the quality and quantity of available biological information for the two species.

Our results also revealed an approximately linear relationship between steepness *h* and B_{MSY}/K , which was comparable between the stocks. For example, a steepness value of *h*=0.5 closely approximated a Fox-type surplus production function with an inflection points at about $B_{MSY}/K \sim 0.37$ for MLS and BLM; and the same was found in the case of Atlantic blue marlin (Winker et al., 2018b). This agrees previous findings by Forrest et al. (2010) and Mangel et al. (2013), who showed that steepness is a strong predictor for SB_{MSY}/SB_0 in general. Whereas the inflection point B_{MSY}/K and thus the shape *m* of the surplus production function appear to be mainly governed by the choice of the

9

the steepness parameter *h*, we found that *r* is influenced by all key life history traits including growth, longevity, natural mortality and *h*. In addition, *r* is inherently impacted by the selectivity function, a fact that is widely ignored in approaches for predicting *r* (McAllister et al., 2001). The effect of *h* on *r* can be inferred from the notable change in central *r* values over the range steepness assumptions (**Figs. 11-12**). However, this also implies that *r* and *m* are interdependent and it follows that, for example, the biologically inferred *r* prior for a Schaefer SPM is not directly transferrable to a Fox SPM without incurring misspecifications between these two widely used SPM formulations.

As is common practice, the Schaefer ($B_{MSY}/K = 0.5$) and the Fox model ($B_{MSY}/K = 0.37$) were also considered as alternative scenarios in previous SPM-based assessments of Indian Ocean MLS and BLM (Andrade, 2017; Yokoi and Nishida, 2016). For both stocks, we could closely approximate the Fox model based on a steepness input value of h = 0.5, whereas the lowest considered steepness value h = 0.4 resulted in $B_{MSY}/K = 0.40-0.41$ and thus still well below the Schaefer model assumption of $B_{MSY}/K = 0.5$. The derived lognormal r priors of 0.253 (CV = 0.15) for MLS and 0.184 (CV = 0.3) for BLM, corresponding to h = 0.5 (Table 1), therefore appear to be a natural choice for a reference case consistent with previous SPM-based assessment. The inflection point of $B_{MSY}/K = 0.4$ (h = 0.4) matches the mean estimate of B_{MSY}/K derived by meta-analysis of 147 fish stock (Thorson et al., 2012) and is widely considered as a reference point for B_{MSY} that is fairly robust to recruitment overfishing (Clark, 1991; Punt et al., 2013). As such, the r priors for r for h = 0.4 (Table 1) would provide a plausible option for sensitivity runs based on a lower resilience assumption. The high h =0.86 was included here to match the stock-recruitment assumption for the SS3 reference model for 2017 IOTC striped marlin assessment (Wang, 2017). While perhaps reasonable for striped marlin, the here inferred life history traits for black marlin rather point towards more similarity to the less productive Atlantic blue marlin stock, for which a steepness of h = 0.6 was considered the upper plausible limit by the ICCAT Billfish Working Group (Mourato et al., 2018; Winker et al., 2018b). We therefore propose to only consider the r prior specific to h = 0.86 for striped marlin, but choose the more conservative h = 0.4 input to specify the *r* prior for black marlin.

Literature cited

Andrade, H.A., 2017. Stock assessment of striped marlin (*Tetrapturus audax*) caught in the Indian Ocean using a Bayesian state-space production model. IOTC-2017-WBB15-35 1–22.

- Brodziak, J., Ishimura, G., 2012. Development of Bayesian production models for assessing the North Pacific swordfish population. Fish. Sci. 77, 23–34. doi:10.1007/s12562-010-0300-0
- Chang, Y.-J., Brodziak, J., O'Malley, J., Lee, H.-H., DiNardo, G., Sun, C.-L., 2014. Model selection and multi-model inference for Bayesian surplus production models: A case study for Pacific blue and striped marlin. Fish. Res. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2014.08.023
- Clark, W.G., 1991. Groundfish exploitation rates based on life history parameters. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 48, 734–750.
- Forrest, R.E., Mcallister, M.K., Dorn, M.W., Martell, S.J.D., Stanley, R.D., 2010. Hierarchical Bayesian estimation of recruitment parameters and reference points for Pacific rockfishes (Sebastes spp.) under alternative assumptions about the stock – recruit function 1634, 1611–1634. doi:10.1139/F10-077
- Fox, W.W., 1970. An Exponential Surplus-Yield Model for Optimizing Exploited Fish Populations. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 99, 80–88. doi:10.1577/1548-8659(1970)99<80:AESMFO>2.0.CO;2
- Froese, R., Winker, H., Coro, G., Demirel, N., Tsikliras, A.C., Dimarchopoulou, D., Scarcella, G., Probst, W.N., Dureuil, M., Pauly, D., 2018. A new approach for estimating stock status from length frequency data. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 10.1093/ic. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsy078
- Henriquez, V., Licandeo, R., Cubillos, L.A., Cox, S.P., 2016. Interactions between ageing error and selectivity in statistical catch-at-age models: simulations and implications for assessment of the Chilean Patagonian toothfish fishery. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 73, 1074–1090.
- Mangel, M., MacCall, A.D., Brodziak, J., Dick, E., Forrest, R.E., Pourzard, R., Ralston, S., Chang, Y., Lee, H., Mangel, M., MacCall, A.D., Brodziak, J., Dick, E., Forrest, R.E., Pourzard, R., Ralston, S., 2013. A Perspective on Steepness, Reference Points, and Stock Assessment. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 940, 930–940. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2012-0372
- McAllister, M.K., Pikitch, E.K., Babcock, E.A., 2001. Using demographic methods to construct Bayesian priors for the intrinsic rate of increase in the Schaefer model and implications for stock rebuilding. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58, 1871–1890. doi:10.1139/cjfas-58-9-1871
- Methot, R.D., Wetzel, C.R., 2013. Stock synthesis: A biological and statistical framework for fish stock assessment and fishery management. Fish. Res. 142, 86–99. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2012.10.012
- Mourato, B.L., Winker, H., Carvalho, F., Ortiz, M., 2018. Stock Assessment of blue marlin (Makaira nigricans) using a Bayesian State-Space Surplus Production Model JABBA. ICCAT-SCRS/2018/091 1–25.
- Pella, J.J., Tomlinson, P.K., 1969. A generalized stock production model. Inter-American Trop. Tuna Comm. Bull. 13, 421–458.
- Punt, A.E., Smith, A.D.M., Smith, D.C., Tuck, G.N., Klaer, N.L., 2013. Selecting relative abundance proxies for BMSY and BMEY. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 71, 469–483.

doi:10.1093/icesjms/fst162

- Punt, A.E., Su, N.-J., Sun, C.-L., 2015. Assessing billfish stocks: A review of current methods and some future directions. Fish. Res. 166, 103–118. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2014.07.016
- Thorson, J.T., Cope, J.M., Branch, T.A., Jensen, O.P., Walters, C.J., 2012. Spawning biomass reference points for exploited marine fishes, incorporating taxonomic and body size information. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 69, 1556–1568. doi:10.1139/f2012-077
- Thorson, J.T., Munch, S.B., Cope, J.M., Gao, J., 2017. Predicting life history parameters for all fishes worldwide. Ecol. Appl. 27, 2262–2276. doi:10.1002/eap.1606
- Wang, S., 2017. Stock assessment of Striped marlin (Tetrapturus audax) in the Indian Ocean using the Stock Synthesis. IOTC-2017-WPB15-32_Rev1 1-26.
- Winker, H., Carvalho, F., Kapur, M., 2018a. JABBA: Just Another Bayesian Biomass Assessment. Fish. Res. 204, 275–288.
- Winker, H., Carvalho, F., Sow, F.N., Ortiz, M., 2018b. Unifying parameterizations between age-structured and surplus production models: An application to Atlantic blue marlin (Makaira nigricans). ICCAT-SCRS/2018/092 1–16.
- Winker, H., Carvalho, F., Thorson, J.T., Kapur, M., Parker, D., Kerwath, S., Booth, A.J., Kell, L., 2017. JABBA-Select: an alternative surplus production model to account for changes in selectivity and relative mortality from multiple fisheries. MARAM/IWS/2017/Linefish/P2 1–28.
- Yokoi, H., Nishida, T., 2016. Stock assessments of black marlin (*Makaira indica*) in the Indian Ocean using A Stock-Production Model Incorporating Covariates (ASPIC). IOTC-2016-WPB14-24_Rev1 1-13.

a) Striped marlin (MLS)						
Parameters	<i>h=</i> 0.4	<i>h=</i> 0.5	<i>h=</i> 0.6	<i>h=</i> 0.7	<i>h=</i> 0.8	<i>h=</i> 0.86
prior mean r	0.209	0.252	0.28	0.297	0.307	0.308
log.sd r	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16
$B_{\rm MSY}/B_0$	0.4	0.36	0.32	0.28	0.24	0.21
shape m	1.18	0.95	0.76	0.61	0.46	0.38
b) Black marlin (BLM)						
Parameters	<i>h=</i> 0.4	<i>h=</i> 0.5	<i>h=</i> 0.6	<i>h=</i> 0.7	<i>h=</i> 0.8	<i>h=</i> 0.86
prior mean r	0.145	0.174	0.193	0.204	0.21	0.211
log.sd r	0.29	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.31
$B_{\rm MSY}/B_0$	0.41	0.37	0.34	0.3	0.26	0.24
shape <i>m</i>	1.23	1.01	0.84	0.69	0.55	0.47

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation (log.sd) for lognormal *r* prior approximations and associated input values for the inflection point B_{MSY}/B_0 as determined by the shape *m*, derived for each of steepness parameter input scenarios for (a) striped marlin (MLS) and (b) black marlin.



Figure 1. Surplus production as a function of biomass for different values of the shape parameter m = 0.1 - 4 (from left to right) based the JABBA Pella–Tomlinson model formulation (Winker et al. 2018a)



Fig. 2. Schematic of functional relationships between the productivity parameter r and the shape parameter of the surplus production function and the Age-Structured Equilibrium Model (ASEM; i.e. yield- and spawning biomass-per-recruit models with integrated spawner recruitment relationship). Numbers in boxes denote the sequence of deriving deviates of r and m from life history and selectivity parameter inputs into the ASEM.



Fig. 3. Schematic illustrating the steps for objectively deriving informative *r* priors and associated input values of B_{MSY}/K as a function ("~") of the shape parameter *m* of Pella-Tomlinson surplus function. ASEM: Age-Structured Equilibrium Model; LBB: Length-based Bayesian length frequency analysis (Froese et al., 2018); *FishLife*: Multivariate life history prediction model (Thorson et al. in press); \hat{y}_{stock} : Expected stock-specific means of life history parameters predicted by the *FishLife* multivariate model, Cov_{stock} : Covariance matrix for \hat{y}_{stock} predicted by *FishLife*; MVN: random Multivariate Normal number generator applied to generate random deviates of life history as input for the ASEM.



Fig. 4 Observed length (cm) frequency data from longline fleet of Taiwan, China (upper panel), which were binned into 3 cm length interval (L_i) and pooled for the period 2000-2016 for striped marlin (MLS; left) and black marlin (BLM; right). The lower panel shows the LBB fits (red line) to ratios of L_i / L_{oo} , denoting the estimated relative length-at-50%-selectivity L_c / L_{oo} for MLS (left) and BLM (right).



Fig. 5. Predictive distribution for six selected life-history variables on stock, species, genus and family level for Indian Ocean striped marlin, illustrating the parameter space and correlation for different combination of life parameters. The distributions were generated from a Multivariate Normal random generator based on predicted means and covariance matrices derived from the R package *FishLife*.



Fig. 6. Predictive distribution for six selected life-history variables on stock, species, genus and family level for Indian Ocean black marlin, illustrating the parameter space and correlation for different combination of life parameters. The distributions were generated from a Multivariate Normal random generator based on predicted means and covariance matrices derived from the R package *FishLife*.



Fig. 7. Stock-specific kernel density distributions of generated life history parameter form a multivariate normal distribution for striped marlin (MLS).



Fig. 8. Stock-specific kernel density distributions of generated life history parameter form a multivariate normal distribution for black marlin (BLM).



Fig. 9. Basic population dynamic functions for striped marlin (MLS) showing generated variations in length-at-age, maturity-at-age, unfished numbers-per-recruit as a function of natural mortality *M* and selectivity-age.



Fig. 10. Basic population dynamic functions for striped marlin (BLM) showing generated variations in length-at-age, maturity-at-age, unfished numbers-per-recruit as a function of natural mortality *M* and selectivity-age.



Fig. 11. Monte-Carlo simulation results from the Age-Structured Equilibrium Model (ASEM) for striped marlin (MLS), showing comparisons of the 14-parameter ASEM yield curve and 3-parameter Pella-Tomlinson surplus production over a range of steepness *h* values (upper left), the corresponding density distributions of simulated *r* values (upper right), boxplots of randomly generated ratios of SB_{MSY}/SB_0 for each of the fixed steepness *h* input (low left) and the approximately linear relationship between of the steepness *h* and the means of the means of the generated SB_{MSY}/SB_0 ratios.



Figure 12. Monte-Carlo simulation results from the Age-Structured Equilibrium Model (ASEM) for black marlin (BLM), showing comparisons of the 14-parameter ASEM yield curve and 3-parameter Pella-Tomlinson surplus production over a range of steepness h values (upper left), the corresponding density distributions of simulated r values (upper right), boxplots of randomly generated ratios of SB_{MSY}/SB_0 for each of the fixed steepness h input (low left) and the approximately linear relationship between of the steepness h and the means of the generated SB_{MSY}/SB_0 ratios.