


**STATUS OF SEABIRDS IN THE INDIAN OCEAN**
**TABLE 1.** Status of seabirds in the Indian Ocean – IUCN threat status for all seabird species reported as caught in fisheries within the IOTC area of competence.

Common name	Scientific name	IUCN threat status
<b>Albatross</b>		
Atlantic Yellow-nosed Albatross	<i>Thalassarche chlororhynchus</i>	Endangered
Black-browed albatross	<i>Thalassarche melanophrys</i>	Endangered
Indian yellow-nosed albatross	<i>Thalassarche carteri</i>	Endangered
Shy albatross	<i>Thalassarche cauta</i>	Near Threatened
Sooty albatross	<i>Phoebastria fusca</i>	Endangered
Tristan albatross	<i>Diomedea dabbenena</i>	Critically Endangered
Wandering albatross	<i>Diomedea exulans</i>	Vulnerable
White-capped albatross	<i>Thalassarche steadi</i>	Near Threatened
<b>Petrels</b>		
Cape/Pintado petrel	<i>Daption capense</i>	Least Concern
Great-winged petrel	<i>Pterodroma macroptera</i>	Least Concern
Grey petrel	<i>Procellaria cinerea</i>	Near Threatened
Northern giant-petrel	<i>Macronectes halli</i>	Least Concern
White-chinned petrel	<i>Procellaria aequinoctialis</i>	Vulnerable
<b>Others</b>		
Cape gannet	<i>Morus capensis</i>	Vulnerable
Flesh-footed shearwater	<i>Puffinus carneipes</i>	Least Concern

The WPEB **RECOMMENDED** the following management advice for seabirds in the Indian Ocean, for the consideration of the Scientific Committee:

**INDIAN OCEAN STOCK – MANAGEMENT ADVICE**

**Stock status.** No assessment has been undertaken by the IOTC WPEB for seabirds due to the lack of data being submitted by CPCs. However, the current International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) threat status for each of the seabird species reported as caught in IOTC fisheries to date is provided in Table 1. It is important to note that a number of international global environmental accords (e.g. Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)), as well as numerous fisheries agreements obligate States to provide protection for these species. While the status of seabirds is affected by a range of factors such as degradation of nesting habitats and targeted harvesting of eggs, the level of mortality of seabirds due to fishing gear in the Indian Ocean is poorly known, although where there has been rigorous assessment of impacts in areas south of 25 degrees (e.g. in South Africa), very high seabird bycatch rates have been recorded in the absence of a suite of proven bycatch mitigation measures.

**Outlook.** Resolution 10/06 *On Reducing the Incidental Bycatch of Seabirds in Longline Fisheries* includes an evaluation requirement (para. 8) by the Scientific Committee in time for the 2011 meeting of the Commission. However, given the lack of reporting of seabird interactions by CPCs to date, such an evaluation cannot be undertaken at this stage. Unless IOTC CPCs become compliant with the data collection and reporting requirements for seabirds, the WPEB will continue to be unable to address this issue. Notwithstanding this, it is acknowledged that the impact on seabird populations from fishing for tuna and tuna-like species, particularly using longline gear may increase if fishing pressure increases. Any fishing in areas with high abundance of procellariiform seabirds is likely to cause incidental capture and mortality of these seabirds unless measures that have been proven to be effective against Southern Ocean seabird assemblages are employed.

The WPEB **RECOMMENDED** that the Scientific Committee consider the following:

- The available evidence indicates considerable risk to the status of seabirds in the Indian Ocean.
- The primary source of data that drive the ability of the WPEB to determination a status for the Indian Ocean, total interactions by fishing vessels, is highly uncertain and should be addressed as a matter of priority.
- Current reported interactions (two in 2009) are a known to be a severe underestimate.

- Maintaining or increasing effort in the Indian Ocean without refining and implementing appropriate mitigation measures, will likely result in further declines in biomass.
- That appropriate mechanisms are developed by the Compliance Commission to ensure CPCs comply with their data collection and reporting requirements for seabirds.
- Resolution 10/06 on reducing the incidental bycatch of seabirds in longline fisheries includes an evaluation requirement (para. 8) by the Scientific Committee in time for the 2011 meeting of the Commission, noting that this deadline is now overdue.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

*(Information collated from reports of the Working Party on Ecosystems and Bycatch and other sources as cited)*

#### CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Seabirds in the Indian Ocean are currently subject to a number of conservation and management measures adopted by the Commission:

- Resolution 10/06 *On Reducing the Incidental Bycatch of Seabirds in Longline Fisheries* recognizes the threatened status of some of the seabird species found in the Indian Ocean and that longline fishing operations can adversely impact seabirds. The Resolution makes mandatory for vessels fishing south of 25°S, the use of at least two seabird bycatch mitigation measures selected from a table, including at least one measure from Column A (Table shown below) aimed at effectively reducing the mortality of seabirds due to longline operations. In addition, CPCs are required to provide to the Commission all available information on interactions with seabirds. However, it does not include a mandatory requirement for CPCs to record seabird interactions while fishing for tuna and tuna-like species in the IOTC area of competence, but rather to report “all available information on interactions with seabirds”.

Column A	Column B
Night setting with minimum deck lighting	Night setting with minimum deck lighting
Bird-scaring lines (Tori Lines)	Bird-scaring lines (Tori Lines)
Weighted branch lines	Weighted branch lines
	Blue-dyed squid bait
	Offal discharge control
	Line shooting device

- Resolution 10/02 *Mandatory Statistical Requirements For IOTC Members and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties (CPC’s)* encourages CPCs to record and report data on seabird interactions. However, if a CPC chooses not to record data on seabird interactions, as permitted under Resolution 10/02, then the requirements of Resolution 10/06 *on Reducing the Incidental Bycatch of Seabirds in Longline Fisheries* become void, as the wording of Resolution 10/06 only requires reporting of data where it is available.
- Resolution 11/04 *on a Regional Observer Scheme* (commenced on 1 July 2010) requires data on seabird interactions to be recorded by observers and reported to the IOTC within 150 days. The Regional Observer Scheme (ROS) aims to collect scientific observer data on catch and bycatch on, at least, 5% of the fishing operations of vessel over 24m and vessel under 24m fishing outside their EEZ. The requirement under Resolution 11/04 in conjunction with the reporting requirements under Resolution 10/06, means that all CPCs should be reporting seabird interactions as part of their annual report to the Scientific Committee.

**RESOLUTION 10/06 ON REDUCING THE INCIDENTAL BYCATCH OF SEABIRDS IN LONGLINE FISHERIES:**

7. CPCs shall provide to the Commission, as part of their annual reports, information on how they are implementing this measure and all available information on interactions with seabirds, including bycatch by fishing vessels carrying their flag or authorised to fish by them. This is to include details of species where available to enable the Scientific Committee to annually estimate seabird mortality in all fisheries within the IOTC area of competence;

**RESOLUTION 10/02 MANDATORY STATISTICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR IOTC MEMBERS AND COOPERATING NON-CONTRACTING PARTIES (CPC’S):**

3. Catch and effort data:

(...)CPC’s are also encouraged to record and provide data on species other than sharks and tunas taken as bycatch.

**RESOLUTION 11/04 ON A REGIONAL OBSERVER SCHEME**

10. Observers shall:

b) Observe and estimate catches as far as possible with a view to identifying catch composition and monitoring discards, by-catches and size frequency.

## CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURES IN OTHER REGIONS

Evidence from areas where seabird bycatch was formerly high but has been reduced (e.g. Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR) and South Africa) has shown that it is important to employ, simultaneously, a suite of mitigation measures. Research conducted in South Africa by Japanese and US researchers (Melvin et al. 2010) showed that bird scaring lines (BSL, also known as tori or streamer lines) displace seabird attacks on baits, but only as far astern as the BSL extends. If baits are sufficiently close to the surface behind the aerial extent of the BSL, the rate of attack by seabirds on baited hooks, and hence risk of bycatch, remains high. This research shows clearly that appropriate sink rates must be used in tandem with BSLs and that unweighted branch lines or those with small weights placed well away from the hook pose the highest risks to seabirds. The research also suggests no negative effect of line-weighting on target catches, but limited sample sizes preclude definitive analysis (Melvin et al. 2010). In addition, experience from CCAMLR and elsewhere has indicated a number of additional factors contribute to successful reduction of seabird bycatch (FAO 2008; Waugh et al. 2008). These include research to optimise the effectiveness of mitigation measures and their ease of implementation, the use of onboard observer programs to collect seabird bycatch data and evaluate the effectiveness of bycatch mitigation measures, training of both fishermen and observers in relation to the problem and its solutions, and ongoing review of the effectiveness of these activities. Mitigation measures recommended by ACAP (Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels) as effective include weighted branch lines that ensure that baits quickly sink below the reach of diving seabirds, night setting, and appropriate deployment of well designed BSLs.

Reduction of seabird bycatch may even bring benefits to fishing operations, for example by reducing the loss of bait to seabirds. Recent research in Brazil showed a reduction of 60% of the capture of seabirds and higher catch rates (20–30%) of target species when effective mitigation measures were applied (Mancini et al. 2009). However, more detailed economic assessments across a diversity of regions, fishing gears and seasons are required to get a fuller picture of economic benefits.

## INDICATORS – FOR SEABIRD SPECIES KNOWN OR LIKELY TO BE VULNERABLE TO MORTALITY FROM FISHING OPERATIONS IN THE IOTC AREA OF COMPETENCE.

Seabirds are species that derive their sustenance primarily from the ocean and which spend the bulk of their time (when not on land at breeding sites) at sea. Fifteen species of seabirds known to interact with longline fisheries for tuna and tuna-like species in the Indian Ocean are listed in Table 1. However, not all reports identify birds to species level and, overall, information on seabird bycatch in the IOTC area remains very limited (Gauffier 2007; IOTC–2011–SC13–R). Due to gaps in tracking and observer data, it is likely that there are other species at risk of bycatch which are not identified in this Executive Summary.

Worldwide, 18 of the 22 species of albatross are listed by the IUCN as globally threatened, with bycatch in fisheries identified as the key threat to the majority of these species (Robertson & Gales 1998). Impacts of longline fisheries on seabird populations have been demonstrated (e.g. Weimerskirch & Jouventin 1987; Weimerskirch et al. 1997; Croxall et al. 1990; Tuck et al. 2001; Nel et al. 2003). In general, other IOTC gear types (including purse seine, bait boats, troll lines, and gillnets) are considered to have low incidental catch of seabirds, however data remain limited.

### Range and stock structure

Eight seabird families occur within the convention area of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, either regularly or as breeding species. They are commonly referred to as penguins, albatrosses and petrels, tropicbirds, gannets and boobies, cormorants, frigatebirds, and skuas, gulls and terns. Of these, the procellariiformes (albatrosses and petrels) are the species most susceptible to being caught as bycatch in longline fisheries (Wooller et al. 1992, Brothers et al. 1999), and therefore are most susceptible to direct interactions with IOTC fisheries.

The southern Indian Ocean is of global importance in relation to albatross distribution: seven of the 18 species of southern hemisphere albatrosses have breeding colonies on Indian Ocean islands<sup>1</sup>. In addition, all but one<sup>2</sup> of the 18 southern hemisphere albatrosses forage in the Indian Ocean at some stage in their life cycle. The Indian Ocean is particularly important for Amsterdam albatross (*Diomedea amsterdamensis* – Critically Endangered) and Indian yellow-nosed albatross (*Thalassarche carteri* – Endangered), which are endemic to the southern Indian Ocean, white-capped albatross (*Thalassarche steadi* – endemic to New Zealand), shy albatross (*T. cauta* – endemic to Tasmania, and which forage in the area of overlap between IOTC and WCPFC), wandering albatross (*D. exulans* – 74% global breeding pairs), sooty albatross (*Phoebastria fusca* – 39% global breeding pairs), light-mantled sooty albatross (*P. palpebrata* – 32% global breeding pairs), grey-headed albatross (*T. chrysotoma* – 20% global breeding pairs) and northern and southern giant-petrel (*Macronectes halli* and *M. giganteus* – 26% and 30% global breeding pairs, respectively).

<sup>1</sup> Amsterdam, black-browed, grey-headed, Indian yellow-nosed, light-mantled, sooty and wandering albatrosses


<sup>2</sup> Atlantic yellow-nosed albatross (*Thalassarche chlororhynchus*)

In the absence of data from observer programs reporting seabird bycatch, risk of bycatch has been identified through analysis of the overlap between albatross and petrel distribution and IOTC longline fishing effort, based on data from the Global Procellariiform Tracking Database (ACAP 2007). A summary map indicating distribution is shown in Figure 1 and the overlap between seabird distribution and IOTC longline fishing effort is shown in Table 2. The 2007 analysis of tracking data indicated that albatrosses breeding on Southern Indian Ocean islands spent 70–100% of their foraging time within areas overlapping with IOTC longline fishing effort. The analysis identified the proximity of the Critically Endangered Amsterdam albatross and Endangered Indian yellow-nosed albatross to high levels of pelagic longline effort. Wandering, shy, grey-headed and sooty albatrosses and white-chinned petrels showed a high overlap with IOTC longline effort. Data on distribution during the non-breeding season was lacking for many species, including black-browed albatrosses and white-capped albatrosses (known from bycatch data to be amongst the species most frequently caught).

In 2009 and 2010, new tracking data were presented to the Working Party on Ecosystems and Bycatch (WPEB) which filled a number of gaps from the 2007 analysis, particularly for sooty albatross, and for distributions of juveniles of wandering, sooty and Amsterdam albatrosses, white-chinned and northern giant petrels (Delord & Weimerskirch 2009; 2010). This analysis indicated substantial overlap with IOTC longline fisheries.

**Longevity, maturity, breeding season**

Seabirds are long-lived, with natural adult mortality typically very low. Seabirds are characterised as being late to mature and slow to reproduce; some do not start to breed before they are ten years old. Most lay a single egg each year, with some albatross species only breeding every second year. These traits make any increase in human-induced adult mortality potentially damaging for population viability, as even small increases in mortality can result in population decreases.



**Fig. 1.** Distribution of breeding albatrosses, petrels and shearwaters in the Indian Ocean (see Table 2 for a list of species included), and overlap with IOTC longline fishing effort for all gear types and fleets (average annual number of hooks set per 5° grid square from 2002 to 2005).

**TABLE 2.** Overlap between the distribution of breeding and non-breeding albatrosses, petrels and shearwaters and IOTC fishing effort\* (Distributions derived from tracking data held in the Global Procellariiform Tracking Database).

<b>Species/Population – Breeding</b>	<b>Global Population (%)</b>	<b>Overlap (%)</b>
Amsterdam albatross (Amsterdam)	100	100
Antipodean (Gibson's) albatross		
Auckland Islands	59	1
Black-browed albatross		1
Iles Kerguelen	1	88
Macquarie Island	<1	1
Heard & McDonald	<1	
Iles Crozet	<1	
Buller's Albatross		2
Solander Islands	15	1
Snares Islands	27	2
Grey-headed albatross		7
Prince Edward Islands	7	70
Iles Crozet	6	
Iles Kerguelen	7	
Indian yellow-nosed albatross		
Ile Amsterdam	70	100
Ile St. Paul	<1	
Iles Crozet	12	
Iles Kerguelen	<1	
Prince Edward Island	17	
Light-mantled albatross	39	
Shy albatross		
Tasmania	100	67
Sooty albatross		
Iles Crozet	17	87
Ile Amsterdam	3	
Ile St. Paul	<1	
Iles Kerguelen	<1	
Prince Edward Island	21	
Wandering albatross		75
Iles Crozet	26	93
Iles Kerguelen	14	96
Prince Edward Islands	34	95
Northern giant petrel	26	
Southern giant petrel	9	
White-chinned Petrel		
Iles Crozet	?	60
Iles Kerguelen	?	
Prince Edward Island	?	
Short-tailed shearwater		
Australia	?	3
<b>Species/Population – Non-breeding</b>	<b>Global Population (%)</b>	<b>Overlap (%)</b>
Amsterdam albatross (Amsterdam)	100	98
Antipodean (Gibson's) albatross		9
Antipodes Islands	41	3
Auckland Islands	59	13
Black-browed albatross		
South Georgia (GLS data)	16	3
Heard & McDonald Islands	<1	
Iles Crozet	<1	
Iles Kerguelen	1	
Buller's albatross		13
Solander Islands	15	9
Snares Islands	27	15
Grey-headed albatross		
South Georgia (GLS data)	58	16

Iles Crozet	6	
Iles Kerguelen	7	
Prince Edward Island	7	
Indian yellow-nosed albatross		
Light-mantled albatross		
Northern royal albatross		3
Chatham Islands	99	3
Taiaroa Head	1	1
Shy albatross		
Tasmania	100	72
Sooty albatross		
Southern royal albatross		
Wandering albatross		59
White-capped albatross		
Northern giant petrel		
Southern giant petrel		
White-chinned petrel		
Westland petrel		
Short-tailed shearwater		

\*Fishing data are based on the average annual number of hooks set per 5° grid square from 2002 to 2005. Overlap is expressed as the percentage of time spent in grid squares with longline effort, and is given for each breeding site as well the species' global population where sufficient data exists. Shaded squares represent species/colonies for which no tracking data were available).

**Availability of information on the interactions between seabirds and fisheries for tuna and tuna-like species in the Indian Ocean**

**Bycatch data from onboard observer programs**

Globally it is recognized that onboard observer programs are vital for collecting data on catches of non-target species, particularly those species which are discarded at sea. More specifically, observers need to observe hooks during setting and monitor hooks during the hauling process to adequately assess seabird bycatch and evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation measures in use. Levels of observer coverage significantly in excess of 5% are likely to be needed to accurately monitor seabird bycatch levels in IOTC fisheries.

The IOTC has implemented data collection measures using onboard observers to better understand the nature and extent of the interactions between fisheries for tuna and tuna-like species in the Indian Ocean and seabirds. Subsequently, IOTC members have implemented a number of national observer programmes that are providing information on the levels of seabird interactions. Observer data from all fleets and gears remains very low with only Australia and South Africa reporting levels of seabird interactions to date (Table 3). However, data from other sources and in other regions indicate that threats to seabirds are highest from longline gear.

**TABLE 3.** Members and Cooperating Non-Contracting Parties reporting of seabird interactions for the years 2008–2010 to the IOTC (to be updated before the 14th Session of the SC in December 2011).

CPC's	2008	2009	2010
Australia	0	2	0
Belize			
China			0
Taiwan,China			
Comoros			
European Union**			
Eritrea			
France (territories)			
Guinea			
India			
Indonesia			
Iran, Islamic Republic of			
Japan			
Kenya			
Korea, Republic of			
Madagascar			
Malaysia			
Maldives, Republic of			

Mauritius			
Oman, Sultanate of			
Pakistan			
Philippines			
Seychelles			
Sierra Leone			
Sri Lanka			
Sudan			
Tanzania			
Thailand			
Vanuatu			
Mozambique*			
Senegal*			
South Africa*			

Green = CPC reported level of seabird interactions; Red = CPC did not report level of seabird interactions

\*Cooperating non-Contracting Party

\*\*Observer data was reported for the French purse-seine fleet for 2009 as well as for the La Réunion longline fleet. Moreover, the observer programme on-board the EU Purse-seine fleet has been discontinued because of piracy activities.

### Longline

Observer data from longline fisheries occurring north of 20°S is very sparse (Gauffier 2007). While seabird bycatch rates in tropical areas are generally assumed to be low, a number of threatened seabirds forage in these northern waters. Due to their small population sizes, bycatch at significant levels could be occurring but not, or almost never being observed.

### Others gears

The impact of purse-seine fishing on tropical seabird species, including larids (gulls, terns and skimmers) and sulids (gannets and boobies), is generally considered to be low, but data remain sparse and there are anecdotal observations which suggest that these interactions might merit closer investigation. However, no observation of incidental catch of seabird in the purse-seine fishery has been made in the Indian Ocean since the beginning of the fishery 25 years ago. The scale and impacts of gillnet fishing impacts on seabirds in the IOTC convention area is unknown. Outside the convention area, gillnet fishing has been recorded as catching high numbers of diving seabird species, including shearwaters and cormorants (e.g. Berkenbusch and Abraham 2007). The large coastal gillnet fisheries in the northern part of the IOTC clearly merit closer investigation, and should be considered a priority, as should the impact of lost or discarded gillnets (ghost fishing) on seabirds.

### Indirect impacts of fisheries

Many tropical seabird species forage in association with tunas, which drive prey to the surface and thereby bring them within reach of the seabirds. The depletion of tuna stocks could therefore have impacts on these dependent species. More widely, the potential ‘cascade’ effects of reduced shark and tuna abundances on the ecosystem is largely unknown. Although these kinds of impacts are difficult to predict, there are some examples that suggest meso-predator release has occurred in the Convention area (e.g. Romanov and Levesque 2009)

### ASSESSMENT

A number of comprehensive assessments of the status of Indian Ocean seabirds are available, in addition to the IUCN threat status:

- Modelling work on Crozet wandering albatrosses and impact of longline fisheries in the IOTC zone (Tuck et al. 2011).
- ACAP Species assessment for: Amsterdam Albatross, Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross, Northern Royal Albatross, Southern Royal Albatross, Shy Albatross, Sooty Albatross, Wandering Albatross, Northern Giant Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel, Grey Petrel, Spectacled Petrel, White-chinned Petrel (<http://www.acap.aq/acap-species>).

### LITERATURE CITED

- ACAP 2007. Analysis of albatross and petrel distribution and overlap with longline fishing effort within the IOTC area: results from the Global Procellariiform Tracking Database. Paper submitted to the Third Session of the IOTC Working Party on Ecosystems and Bycatch, Victoria, Seychelles, 11-13 July 2007.
- ACAP 2010. Review of seabird bycatch mitigation measures for pelagic longline fishing operations.
- Baker GB, Double MC, Gales R, Tuck GN, Abbott CL, Ryan PG, Petersen SL, Robertson CJR & Alderman R, 2007. A global assessment of the impact of fisheries-related mortality on shy and white-capped albatrosses: conservation implications. *Biological Conservation* 137: 319-333.

- Berkenbusch K & Abraham E, 2007. The incidental capture of seabirds and marine mammals in non-commercial fisheries: a literature review, p. 34. Unpublished report to the New Zealand Ministry of Fisheries, Dragonfly, Wellington, NZ.
- Brothers NP, Cooper J & Løkkeborg S, 1999. The incidental catch of seabirds by longline fisheries: worldwide review and technical guidelines for mitigation. FAO Fisheries Circular No. 937, Rome.
- Croxall JP, Rothery P, Pickering SPC & Prince PA, 1990. Reproductive performance, recruitment and survival of Wandering Albatrosses *Diomedea exulans* at Bird island, South Georgia. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 59: 775–796.
- Delord K & Weimerskirch H, 2009. New information on the distribution of southern seabirds and their overlap with the IOTC zone. Paper presented to the fifth meeting of the IOTC WPEB, Mombasa, Kenya 12 - 14 October 2009. IOTC–2009–WPEB07–13.
- Delord K & Weimerskirch H, 2010. New information on the distribution of southern seabirds and their overlap with the IOTC zone seasonal changes in distribution and the importance of the non-breeders and juveniles in assessing overlap between seabirds and longliners. Paper presented to the sixth meeting of the IOTC WPEB, Victoria, Seychelles, 27-31 October 2010. IOTC–2010–WPEB04–14.
- FAO 2008. Report of the expert consultation on best practice technical guidelines for IPOA/NPOA-Seabirds. Bergen, Norway, 2-5 September 2008. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Report No. 880.
- Gauffier P, 2007. A review of the information on Bycatch in the Indian Ocean IOTC Secretariat. Paper submitted to the third meeting of the IOTC Working Party on Ecosystems and Bycatch, 11-13 July 2007, Victoria. IOTC-2007-WPEB-11.
- Mancini PL, Neves T & Nascimento LA, 2009. Update of seabird bycatch and the effect of light toriline on seabird bycatch and fish catch rates in the pelagic longline fishery off southern Brazil. Paper presented to the SC-ECO intersessional meeting of the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas. Recife, Brazil, 9-12 June 2009, SCRS-09-060.
- Melvin EF, Guy, TJ & Read LB, 2010. Shrink and defend: a comparison of two streamer line designs in the 2009 South Africa tuna fishery. SBWG-3 Doc 13 rev1. Seabird Bycatch Working Group Meeting 3, Mar del Plata, Argentina. <http://www.acap.aq/meeting-documents/english/working-groups/seabird-bycatch-working-group/seabird-bycatch-meeting-3/sbwg-3-meeting-documents>.
- Nel, D. C., Taylor, F., Ryan, P. G. & Cooper, J. 2003. Population dynamics of wandering albatrosses *Diomedea exulans* at sub- Antarctic Marion Island: long-line fishing and environmental influences. *Afr. J. Mar. Sci.* 25: 503–517.
- Robertson G, Candy S & Wienecke B, 2010a. Effect of line shooter and mainline tension on the sink rates of pelagic longlines, and implications for seabird interactions. Paper presented to the sixth meeting of the IOTC WPEB, Victoria, Seychelles, 27-31 October 2010. IOTC-2010-WPEB-07.
- Robertson G, Candy S, Wienecke B & Lawton K, 2010b. Experimental determinations of factors affecting the sink rates of baited hooks to minimise seabird mortality in pelagic longline fisheries. Paper presented to the sixth meeting of the IOTC WPEB, Victoria, Seychelles, 27-31 October 2010. IOTC-2010-WPEB-06.
- Robertson G & Gales R, 1998. Albatross Biology and Conservation. Surrey Beatty and Sons, NSW, Australia.
- Romanov EV & Levesque JC, 2009. Crocodile shark (*Pseudocarcharias kamoharai*) distribution and abundance trends in pelagic longline fisheries. Paper presented to the fifth meeting of the IOTC WPEB, Mombasa, Kenya 12 - 14 October 2009. IOTC–2009–WPEB05–Inf01.
- Tuck GN, Polacheck T, Croxall JP & Weimerskirch H, 2001. Modelling the impact of fishery by-catches on albatross populations. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 38: 1182-1196.
- Tuck GN, Thomson RB, Barbraud C, Delord K, Louzao M & Weimerskirch H, 2011. Modelling work on Crozet wandering albatrosses and impact of longline fisheries in the IOTC zone. IOTC–2011–WPEB07–41. Paper presented to the seventh meeting of the IOTC WPEB, Maldives 24–27 October, 2011.
- Waugh SM, Baker GB, Gales R & Croxall JP, 2008. CCAMLR process of risk assessment to minimise the effects of longline fishing mortality on seabirds. *Marine Policy* 32: 442-454.
- Weimerskirch H & Jouventin P, 1987. Population dynamics of the wandering albatross, *Diomedea exulans* of the Crozet Islands: causes and consequences of the population decline. *Oikos* 49: 315–322.
- Weimerskirch H, Brothers N & Jouventin P, 1997. Population dynamics of Wandering albatross *Diomedea exulans* and Amsterdam albatross *D. amsterdamensis* in the Indian Ocean and their relationships with long-line fisheries: conservation implications. *Biological Conservation*, 1997. 79: p. 257-270.
- Wooller, R.D., Bradley, J.S., Croxall, J.P. 1992. Long-term population studies of seabirds. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 7: 111-114.