SHORTFIN MAKO SHARK

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

(Information collated from reports of the Working Party on Ecosystems and Bycatch and other sources as cited)

CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Shortfin mako shark in the Indian Ocean are currently subject to a number of Conservation and Management Measures adopted by the Commission:

- Resolution 15/01 *on the recording of catch and effort data by fishing vessels in the IOTC area of competence* sets out the minimum logbook requirements for purse seine, longline, gillnet, pole and line, handline and trolling fishing vessels over 24 metres length overall and those under 24 metres if they fish outside the EEZs of their flag States within the IOTC area of competence. As per this Resolution, catch of all sharks must be recorded (retained and discarded).
- Resolution 15/02 *Mandatory statistical reporting requirements for IOTC Contracting Parties and Cooperating Non-Contracting Parties (CPCs)* indicated that the provisions, applicable to tuna and tuna-like species, are applicable to shark species.
- Resolution 11/04 *on a Regional Observer Scheme* requires data on shark interactions to be recorded by observers and reported to the IOTC within 150 days. The Regional Observer Scheme (ROS) started on 1st July 2010.
- Resolution 05/05 *Concerning the conservation of sharks caught in association with fisheries managed by IOTC* includes minimum reporting requirements for sharks, calls for full utilisation of sharks and includes a ratio of fin-to-body weight for shark fins retained onboard a vessel.

Extracts from Resolutions 15/01,15/02, 11/04 and 05/05

RESOLUTION 15/01 ON THE RECORDING OF CATCH AND EFFORT DATA BY FISHING VESSELS IN THE IOTC AREA OF COMPETENCE

Para. 1. Each flag CPC shall ensure that all purse seine, longline, gillnet, pole and line, handline and trolling fishing vessels flying its flag and authorized to fish species managed by IOTC be subject to a data recording system.

Para. 10 (start). The Flag State shall provide all the data for any given year to the IOTC Secretariat by June 30th of the following year on an aggregated basis.

RESOLUTION 11/04 ON A REGIONAL OBSERVER SCHEME

Para. 10. Observers shall:

b) Observe and estimate catches as far as possible with a view to identifying catch composition and monitoring discards, by-catches and size frequency

Resolution 15/02 MANDATORY STATISTICAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR IOTC CONTRACTING PARTIES AND COOPERATING NON-CONTRACTING PARTIES (CPCS)

Para. 2. Estimates of the total catch by species and gear, if possible quarterly, that shall be submitted annually as referred in paragraph 7 (separated, whenever possible, by retained catches in live weight and by discards in live weight or numbers) for all species under the IOTC mandate as well as the most commonly caught elasmobranch species according to records of catches and incidents as established in Resolution 15/01 *on the recording of catch and effort data by fishing vessels in the IOTC area of competence* (or any subsequent superseding Resolution).

RESOLUTION 05/05 CONCERNING THE CONSERVATION OF SHARKS CAUGHT IN ASSOCIATION WITH FISHERIES MANAGED BY IOTC

Para. 1. CPCs shall annually report data for catches of sharks, in accordance with IOTC data reporting procedures, including available historical data.

Para. 3. CPCs shall take the necessary measures to require that their fishermen fully utilise their entire catches of sharks. Full utilisation is defined as retention by the fishing vessel of all parts of the shark excepting head, guts and skins, to the point of first landing.

FISHERIES INDICATORS

Shortfin mako shark: General

Shortfin mako shark (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) is widely distributed in tropical and temperate waters warmer than 16°C (**Fig. 1**) and is one of the fastest swimming shark species. It is known to leap out of the water when hooked and is often found in the same waters as swordfish. This species is at the top of the food chain, feeding on fast-moving fishes such as swordfish and tunas and occasionally on other sharks. **TABLE 1** outlines some of the key life history traits of shortfin mako shark in the Indian Ocean.



Fig. 1. Shortfin mako shark: The worldwide distribution of the shortfin mako shark (source: www.iucnredlist.org).

TABLE 1. Shortfin mako shark: Biology of Indian Ocean shortfin mako shark (*Isurus oxyrinchus*).

Parameter	Description
Range and stock structure	Widely distributed in tropical and temperate waters warmer than 16°C. Makos prefer epipelagic and littoral waters from the surface down to depths of 500 meters. Shortfin mako is not known to school. It has a tendency to follow warm water masses polewards in the summer. Tagging results from the North Atlantic Ocean showed that makos migrated over long distances and this suggests that there is a single well-mixed population in this area. Area of overlap with IOTC management area = high. No information is available on stock structure of shortfin mako sharks in the Indian Ocean.
Longevity	Maximum lifespans reported for this species are 32 years for females and 29 years for males in the western North Atlantic.
Maturity (50%)	In the western South Indian Ocean, individuals were estimated to mature at about 250 cm FL or 15 years for females and 190 cm FL or 7 years for males. In other oceans sexual maturity is estimated to be reached at 18-19 years or 290-300 cm TL for females and 8 years or about 200 cm TL for males in the western North Atlantic and 19-21 years or 207-290 cm TL for females and 7-9 years or 180-190 cm TL for males in the western South Pacific. The length at maturity of female shortfin mako sharks differs between the Northern and Southern hemispheres.
Reproduction	Female shortfin mako sharks are aplacental viviparous. Developing embryos feed on unfertilized eggs in the uterus during the gestation period, whose length is subject to debate but is believed to last 15-18 months. Litter size ranges from 9 to 14 pups, with larger sharks producing more offspring. The nursery areas are apparently in deep tropical waters. The length of the reproductive cycle is up to three years. • Fecundity: medium (<25 pups) • Generation time: 23 years • Gestation Period: 15–18 months • Reproductive cycle is biennial or triennial
Size (length and weight)	Maximum size of shortfin mako sharks in Northwest Atlantic Ocean is 4 m and 570 kg. In South African waters females reached 311.3 cm FL (not aged) compared with 299 cm for males (17 years). In the tropical Indian Ocean a female individual of 248 cm FL and 130 kg TW was aged as 18 years old. Length–weight relationship for both sexes combined in the Indian Ocean is TW=0.349*10-4 * $FL^{2.76544}$. In South Africa von Bertalanffy growth model parameters were estimated as L_0=90.4 cm, L_∞=285.4 cm, and k=0.113y^{-1}. New-born pups are around 70 cm (TL).

Sources: Bass et al. 1973, Mollet et al. 2000, Mejuto et al. 2005, White 2007, Romanov & Romanova 2009, Groeneveld et al. 2014

Shortfin mako shark: Fisheries

Shortfin mako sharks are often targeted by some semi-industrial, artisanal and recreational fisheries and are a bycatch of industrial fisheries (pelagic longline tuna and swordfish fisheries and anecdotally by the purse seine fishery) (**TABLE 2**). In other oceans, the shortfin mako shark is considered one of the great gamefish of the world due to its energetic displays and edibility. There is little information on the fisheries prior to the early 1970s, and some countries do not collect shark data while others collect information but do not report it to IOTC. It appears that significant catches of sharks have gone unrecorded in several countries. Furthermore, many catch records probably under-represent the actual catches of sharks because they do not account for discards (i.e. do not record catches of sharks for which only the fins are kept or of sharks usually discarded because of their size or condition) or they reflect dressed weights instead of live weights. FAO also compiles landings data on elasmobranchs, but the statistics are limited by the lack of species-specific data and data from the major fleets.

The practice of shark finning is considered to be regularly occurring for this species (Clarke et al. 2006, Clarke 2008) and the bycatch/release injury rate is unknown but probably high.

Preliminary estimations of at-vessel haulback mortality showed that 56% of the shortfin mako shark specimens captured in longline fisheries targeting swordfish in the Indian Ocean are dead at the time of haulback (**TABLE 2**). The effects of size on the mortality rates have not been studied in the Indian Ocean, but were significant in the Atlantic Ocean with larger specimens having higher changes of surviving after capture (at-haulback) (Coelho et al. 2012).

TABLE 2. Shortfin mako shark: Estimated frequency of occurrence and bycatch mortality in the Indian Ocean pelagic fisheries.

Gears	PS	LL		BB/TROL/HAND	GILL	UNCL
		SWO	TUNA			
Frequency	rare	common		rare–common	unknown	unknown
At-vessel mortality	unknown	13 to 56 %	0 to 31%	unknown	unknown	unknown
Post release mortality	unknown	19%		unknown	unknown	unknown

Sources: Romanov 2002, 2008, Ariz et al. 2006, Dudley & Simpfendorfer 2006, Peterson et al. 2008, Romanov et al. 2008

Shortfin mako shark: Catch trends

The catch estimates for shortfin mako shark (**TABLE 3**) are highly uncertain as is their utility in terms of minimum catch estimates. Eight CPCs reported catches of shortfin mako sharks in 2015 (China, EU (Spain,UK,Portugal,France), I.R.Iran, Japan, Rep.Korea, Sri Lanka, Mauritius and South Africa).

For CPCs reporting catches of shortfin mako in 2015, 12.64% of the catch of sharks by longliners were shortfin mako sharks.

TABLE 3. Shortfin mako shark: Catch estimates for shortfin mako shark in the Indian Ocean for 2013 to 2015.

Catch		2013	2014	2015
Most recent catch (report)	Shortfin mako shark	1,510 t	1,672 t	1,268 t
	nei-sharks	52,204 t	43,691 t	59,138 t

Note that the catches recorded for sharks are thought incomplete. The catches of sharks are usually not reported and when they are they might not represent the total catches of this species but simply those retained on board. It is also likely that the amounts recorded refer to weights of processed specimens, not to live weights.

Shortfin mako shark: Nominal and standardised CPUE trends

Statistics not available at the IOTC Secretariat.

Historical data shows an overall decline in nominal CPUE and mean weight of mako sharks (Romanov et al. 2008). Nominal CPUE in South African protection net has been fluctuating without any trend (Holmes et al. 2009). The standardised CPUE series of shortfin mako catches by the Portuguese longline fleet in the Indian Ocean showed some significant variability between 1999–2012, with a declining trend from 1999 to 2004 and an increasing trend in more recent years until 2012 (**Fig. 2**; Coelho et al. 2013).

The Japanese standardised CPUE series (**Fig. 2**) suggest that the biomass declined from 1994 to 2003, and increased until 2010 with substantial fluctuations. (Kimoto et al. 2011).



Fig. 2. Shortfin mako shark: Standardised longline CPUE series for shortfin mako shark in the Indian Ocean for the Japanese fleet (1994–2010) (left) and the EU,Portugal fleets (1999–2012) (right).

Shortfin mako shark: Average weight in the catch by fisheries

Data not available.

Shortfin mako shark: Number of squares fished

Catch and effort data not available.

STOCK ASSESSMENT

No quantitative stock assessment for shortfin mako has been undertaken by the IOTC Working Party on Ecosystems and Bycatch.

LITERATURE CITED

Ariz J, Delgado de Molina A, Ramos ML, Santana JC (2006) Check list and catch rate data by hook type and bait for bycatch species caught by Spanish experimental longline cruises in the south-western Indian Ocean during 2005. IOTC–2006–WPBy–04

Bass AJ, D'Aubrey JD, Kistnasamy N (1973) Sharks of the east coast of southern Africa. I. The genus *Carcharhinus* (Carcharhinidae). Oceanogr Res Inst (Durban) Investig Rep 33: 168 pp

Cailliet GM, Cavanagh RD, Kulka DW, Stevens JD, Soldo A, Clo S, Macias D. Baum J, Kohin S, Duarte A, Holtzhausen JA, Acuña E, Amorim A, Domingo A (2009) *Isurus oxyrinchus*. In: IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. <www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 08 November 2012

Clarke S (2008) Use of shark fin trade data to estimate historic total shark removals in the Atlantic Ocean. Aquat Living Res 21:373-381

Clarke SC, McAllister MK, Milner-Gulland EJ, Kirkwood GP, Michielsens CGJ, Agnew DJ, Pikitch EK, Nakano H, Shivji MS, 2006. Global estimates of shark catches using trade records from commercial markets. Ecology Letters 9:1115-1126.

Coelho R, Lino PG, Santos MN (2011a) At-haulback mortality of elasmobranchs caught on the Portuguese longline swordfish fishery in the Indian Ocean. IOTC–2011–WPEB07–31

Coelho R, Fernandez-Carvalho J, Lino PG, Santos MN (2012) An overview of the hooking mortality of elasmobranchs caught in a swordfish pelagic longline fishery in the Atlantic Ocean. Aquat Living Resour 25 311–319.

Coelho R, Santos MN, Lino PG (2013) Standardized CPUE series for blue and shortfin mako sharks caught by the Portuguese pelagic longline fishery in the Indian Ocean, between 1999 and 2012. IOTC–2013–WPEB09–22, 18p.

Dudley SFJ, Simpfendorfer CA (2006) Population status of 14 shark species caught in the protective gillnes off KwaZulu-Natal beaches, South Africa. Mar Freshw Res 57:225-240

Groeneveld JCA, Cliff GB, Dudley SFJC, and Foulis AJA (2014) Population structure and biology of shortfin mako, Isurus oxyrinchus, in the south-west Indian Ocean. Mar. Freshw. Res. **in press**. http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/MF13341

Holmes BH, Steinke D, Ward RD (2009) Identification of shark and ray fins using DNA barcoding. Fish Res 95:280-288

IUCN (2007) IUCN Species Survival Commission's Shark Specialist Group. Review of Chondrichthyan Fishes

Kimoti A, Hiraoka Y, Ando T, Yokawa K (2011) Standardized CPUE of shortfin mako shark *(Isurus oxyrinchus*) caught by Japanese longliners in the Indian Ocean in the period between 1994 and 2010. IOTC–2011–WPEB–34

Mejuto J, Garcia-Cortes B, Ramos-Cartelle A (2005) Tagging-recapture activities of large pelagic sharks carried out by Spain in collaboration with the tagging programs of other countries. SCRS/2004/104 Col Vol Sci Pap ICCAT 58(3):974-1000

Mollet H, Cliff G, Pratt HL, Stevens JD (2000) Reproductive biology of the female shortfin mako, *Isurus oxytinchus*, with comments on the embryonic development of manoids. Fish Bull 98:299-318.

Murua H, Coelho, R., Santos, M.N., Arrizabalaga, H., Yokawa, K., Romanov, E., Zhu, J.F., Kim, Z.G., Back, P., Chavance, P., Delgado de Molina and Ruiz, J. (2012). Preliminary Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) for shark species caught in fisheries managed by the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC). IOTC–2012–SC15–INF10 Rev_1.

Petersen S, Nel D, Ryan P, Underhill L (2008) Understanding and mitigating vulnerable bycatch in southern African trawl and longline fisheries. 225 p. WWF South Africa Rep Ser

Romanov EV (2002) Bycatch in the tuna purse-seine fisheries of the western Indian Ocean. Fish Bull 100:90-105

Romanov EV (2008) Bycatch and discards in the Soviet purse seine tuna fisheries on FAD-associated schools in the north equatorial area of the Western Indian Ocean. Western Indian Ocean J Mar Sci 7:163-174

Romanov E, Bach P, Romanova N (2008) Preliminary estimates of bycatches in the western equatorial Indian Ocean in the traditional multifilament longline gears (1961-1989) IOTC Working Party on Ecosystems and Bycatch (WPEB) Bangkok, Thailand. 20-22 October, 2008. 18 p

Romanov E, Romanova N (2009) Size distribution and length-weight relationships for some large pelagic sharks in the Indian Ocean. IOTC–2009–WPEB–06. 12 p

White WT (2007) Biological observations on lamnoid sharks (Lamniformes) caught by fisheries in Eastern Indonesia. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. United Kingdom 87: 781–788.