

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous Status ¹		Current Status		Observations	CoC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
1. Implementation obligations									
1.1.	Art. X Agreement	Report of Implementation	Session - 60 ds (07.03.2013)	L	C	N/C	N/C	Mandatory report not provided.	
1.2.	Res. 10/09	Compliance Questionnaire	20.02.2013	L	C	N/C	N/C	Completed questionnaire not provided.	
2. Management Standards									
2.1.	Res. 01/02	Documents listed in this resolution on board ²	07.03.2013	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
		Marking of vessels ²		N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		
		Marking of gears ²		N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		
		Marking of FADs ²		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not have purse seiner.	
		Logbook on board ²		N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
2.2.	Res.12/12	Ban on large-scale driftnets ²	07.03.2013	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Gillnets used in Pakistan are often more than 2.5 km reaching 25 km. Some vessels operating in southern Indian Ocean. (Reported in IOTC–2012–WPEB08–13)	
2.3.	Res. 12/13	Legal and administrative measures to implement the area Closure	For LL 18.12.2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not have purse seiner or longliner.	
2.4.			For PS 17.09.2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3. Reporting on Vessels									
3.1.	Res. 10/08	List of Active vessels	15.02	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
3.2.	Res. 12/11	Fleet Development Plan (FDP)	By 31.12.2010 (10 years)	C	C	C	C		
3.3.	Res. 12/11	List of vessels ³ for Tropical Tuna during 2006	31.12.2009	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
		List of vessels ³ for SWO and ALB during 2007		N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
3.4.	Res. 07/02	List of Authorized vessels 24 metres in length overall or more	Since 01.07.2003	C	P/C	C	P/C	Ten vessels added to the Record of Authorised Vessels in March 2011.	

¹ C = Compliant; N/C= Non-compliant; N/A = Not Applicable; P/C = Partially Comply; L = Late

² Information is to be provided in the Report of Implementation.

³ 24 meters overall length and over, and under 24 meters if they fished outside their EEZ

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous Status ¹		Current Status		Observations	CoC Remarks	
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content			
								Some mandatory information missing: operating port.		
3.5.		List of Authorized vessels (less than 24m, operating in waters outside EEZ of the flag state)	Since 01.07.2006	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No vessel of less than 24m, operating in waters outside its EEZ.		
3.6.	Res. 12/07	List of foreign vessels licensed in EEZ	15.02	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.		
3.7.		List of foreign vessels denied a licence	15.02	N/C	N/C					
3.8.		Access Agreement information	07.03.2013			N/C	N/C	No information provided.		
4. Vessel Monitoring System										
4.1.	Res. 06/03	Adoption VMS for all vessels greater than 15 metres in length overall	Since 01.07.2007	P/C	P/C	P/C	P/C			
4.2.		VMS report on the progress and implementation	30.06 Vessels > 15 m	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.		
4.3.	Res. 12/13	Summary of VMS record ²	07.03.2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not have purse seiner or longliner.		
5. Mandatory statistical requirement										
5.1.	Res. 10/02	Nominal Catch								
		• Coastal fisheries		30.06	L	P/C	C	P/C	Catch for high seas and coastal fisheries reported combined.	
		• Surface fisheries		30.06	L	P/C	C	P/C		
		• LL	Provisional	30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not have longliner.	
			Final	30.12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
5.2.		Catch & Effort								
		• Coastal fisheries		30.06	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
		• Surface fisheries		30.06	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
		• LL	Provisional	30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not have longliner.	
			Final	30.12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
5.3.		Size Frequency								
		• Coastal fisheries		30.06	L	P/C	C	P/C	Catch for high seas and coastal fisheries reported combined.	
		• Surface fisheries		30.06	N/C	N/C	C	P/C	Size data not by IOTC grid.	
		• LL	Provisional	30.06	NA	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not have longliner.	
			Final	30.12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
5.4.		Fish Aggregating Devices (FAD)								
		Supply vessels		30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No supply vessel, purse seiner or longliner.	
		Days at sea by supply vessels		30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
		FADs set by type		30.06	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous Status ¹		Current Status		Observations	CoC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
6. Implementation of mitigation measures and bycatch of non-IOTC species									
6.1.	Res. 05/05	Submission of data regarding Sharks	30.06	N/C	N/C	C	P/C	Catches not by species.	
6.2.	Res. 12/09	Prohibition on thresher sharks of all the species of the family <i>Alopiidae</i>	Since 07.07.2010	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	Bigeye thresher is one of the most abundant species in bycatch (Reported in IOTC–2012–WPEB08–13).	
6.3.	Res. 12/04	Sea turtles report ²	07.03.2013	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
6.4.		Carry line cutters and de-hookers on board (Longliners)	Since 06.08.2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not have longliner.	
6.5.		Carry dip nets (Purse seiners)	Since 06.08.2009	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not have purse seiner.	
6.6.	Res. 10/06	Seabirds report ²	07.03.2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not have longliner.	
6.7.		Implementation of mitigation measures south of 25°S	Since 01.11.2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
7. Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Vessels									
7.1.	Res. 11/03	IUU listing	Session - 70 ds (8.02.2012)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No vessel or national identified in IUU fishing activities.	
7.2.	Res. 07/01	Compliance by nationals	07.03.2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
8. Transshipments									
8.1.	Res. 12/05	At sea transshipments – CPC report	Before15.09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not participating in the ROP.	
8.2.		Transshipments in port report ²	07.03.2013	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
8.3.		List of Authorised carrier vessels	Since 01.07.2008	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No carrier vessel.	
8.4.		Report on results of investigations on possible infractions	01.02.2013			N/A	N/A	Not participating in the ROP.	
9. Observers									
9.1.	Res. 11/04	Regional Observer Scheme ² (No. of vessels monitored and coverage by gear type)	07.03.2013	C	P/C	C	P/C	No information on level of coverage.	
9.2.		• 5% Mandatory, at sea (> 24m) ²	Since 01.07.2010	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	All vessels <24m	
9.3.		• 5% Phasing in, at sea (< 24m)	2013	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
9.4.		• 5 % Phasing in Artisanal landings ²	07.03.2013	C	C	C	C	Field sampling has started.	
9.5.		Observer reports	150 days after trip	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
10. Statistical document programme									
10.1.	Res. 01/06	1 st Semester report	01.04	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
10.2.		2 nd Semester report	01.10	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		
10.3.		Annual report ²	07.03.2013	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		

N°	Source	Information required	Deadline/ Remark	Previous Status ¹		Current Status		Observations	CoC Remarks
				Timeliness	Content	Timeliness	Content		
10.4.		Information on authorised institutions and personnel	01.07.2002	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		
11. Port inspection									
11.1.	Res. 05/03	Port inspection programme	01.07	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	No information provided.	
11.2.	Res. 10/11	List of designated ports	By 31.12.10	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		
11.3.		Designated competent Authority		N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		
11.4.		Prior notification periods		N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		
11.5.		Inspection report	3 days after inspection	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C		
11.6.		Denial of entry in port	Since 01/03/2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Feedback to Pakistan on the level of implementation of IOTC Conservation and Management Measures identified by the CoC09 in 2012.

Feedback: With regards to the level of compliance by Pakistan to the decisions of the Commission, the Compliance Committee noted certain issues that required attention. These concerns were communicated to Pakistan by the Chair of the Commission in a letter dated 26 April 2013.

The CoC ACKNOWLEDGED the important work that Pakistan had undertaken in addressing the concerns raised by CoC08 in 2011, as well as the difficulties that Pakistan continues to face in fully implementing a number of the CMMs adopted by the Commission, including the following:
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• IOTC Implementation Report (Article X of the IOTC Agreement) and IOTC Compliance Questionnaire: Noting that Pakistan has provided the two reports very late, the CoC URGED Pakistan to make special effort to respect the deadline for future submission.
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Resolution 01/02 (Management standards): Noting that Pakistan has not provided information on management standards according to the requirements of the resolution, the CoC URGED Pakistan to make further efforts to improve the situation for the following year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Resolution 09/05 (Large-scale drift nets): While noting that Pakistan has drafted a regulation implementing this measure and has issued a notice to fishermen, the CoC URGED Pakistan to continue its efforts and to provide a copy of the regulation when available.
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Resolution 10/02 (Minimum reporting requirements): Noting the progress that Pakistan has made over the past year in implementing and improving upon data collection and reporting systems, the CoC noted that Pakistan is not fully compliant with the IOTC data reporting requirements, in particular catch and effort, and size frequency data has not been reported, or only partially reported (driftnets fishery and coastal fishery). The CoC URGED Pakistan to make further improvements in data collection and reporting during the intersessional period.
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Resolution 10/12 (Thresher sharks): Noting that Pakistan has drafted a regulation on thresher sharks, the CoC URGED Pakistan to continue its efforts and to provide a copy of the regulation.
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Resolution 11/04 (Regional Observer Program): Noting the difficulties faced by Pakistan in implementing a regional observer program as outlined in this Resolution, which is largely due to the lack of adequately trained observers, the CoC took note of Pakistan's request for assistance from the IOTC to implement the Regional Observer Scheme according to IOTC standards. The CoC URGED Pakistan to make further improvements in the intersessional period, by seeking assistance from other CPCs and the Secretariat.

Response: No response to the letter of the Chair of the Commission was provided by Pakistan.

Current issues on the level of implementation by Pakistan of IOTC Conservation and Management Measures identified for discussion in the CoC10 in 2013.

Having reviewed the 2013 Compliance Report for Pakistan, the Chair of the Compliance Committee has identified the following significant non-compliance issues for discussion.

- | |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Has not provided its Report of Implementation, in accordance to Article X of the Agreement. |
|---|

• Has not provided the completed Compliance Questionnaire, as required by Res. 10/09.
• Has not reported catch and effort data as required by Res. 10/02.
• Has not transposed the ban on thresher sharks (Res. 12/09) into domestic legislation.
• Has not transposed the ban large scale driftnet (Res. 12/12) into domestic legislation.
• Has not provided its baseline capacities for tropical tunas and/or swordfish and albacore, as required by Res. 12/11.