Review of Japanese fisheries and tropical tuna catch in the Indian Ocean

by

Takayuki Matsumoto

National Research Institute of Far Seas Fisheries, 7-1, Orido 5-chome, Shimizu, Shizuoka, 424-8633, Japan

Summary

Fishing efforts, tropical tuna catch, CPUE and fish size was summarized for Japanese longline and purse seine fisheries operating in the Indian Ocean including recent trends. Japanese longline vessels have been targeting bigeye and yellowfin tunas along with albacore and southern bluefin tuna. The fishing effort for longline fishery fluctuated and sharply decreased in recent years, which is mainly by the decrease in the northwestern part (around Somalia) due to the effect of piracy activities. Both bigeye and yellowfin tuna catch peaked in 1968, sharply decreased in the 1970s especially as for yellowfin tuna, fluctuated after that, and sharply decreased around late 2000s. In the early period, the effort was deployed mainly in the tropical area, and then expanded to the south. High CPUE for bigeye and yellowfin tuna was observed mainly in the eastern and western Indian Ocean, respectively. Size data of bigeye and yellowfin tuna have been collected from on-board measurements by commercial and training longline vessels including those by scientific observers. Differences in fish size by season and area are partly observed. Japanese purse seine vessels have been targeting skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye tuna since 1970s. Fishing effort of purse seine sharply increased around 1990 and marked 1,370 sets in 1992, and then decreased rapidly to 170 sets in 2000, after that it showed comparatively stable trend. The annual catch of tropical tuna coincided with the trend of effort, which reached to 45,000 mt in 1992 and then decreased to 3,000 mt in 2000; it exhibited about 860 mt in 2013. The vessels mainly operated in the eastern part after 2000s. Set for logs or natural objects was main component before mid-1980s, and then FAD associated school become dominant.

1. Introduction

There are two kinds of Japanese tuna fisheries in the Indian Ocean, i.e. longline and purse seine fisheries. Both fisheries catch tropical tunas. The longline fishery commenced in 1952 in the eastern equatorial waters in the Indian Ocean. The fishing effort of the longline first expanded westward, and then southward. In the late 1960s, the effort covered entire fishing ground of the longline in the Indian Ocean. The annual amount of the effort has changed since the late 1960s. Also, annual catch of bigeye and yellowfin tuna have considerably changed especially as for yellowfin, which varied from 2,100 t to 59,000 t (Table 1), as well as catches of other tunas.

The purse seine fishery commenced in 1950s. In the early period, as far as data exist, operations were conducted in the eastern equatorial waters in the Indian Ocean. After 1978 the fishery in the Indian Ocean gradually developed and from the late 1980s to the middle 1990s the effort covered entire the Indian Ocean. After that the fishery was considerably contracted and stable but low level in effort after 2000. The annual catch of the tropical tuna were coincided with the trend of effort.

In this document, historical and spatial changes of tropical tuna catch and the fishing effort by longline and purse seine fisheries were described in conjunction with the catches of the other tunas and tuna-like species. In addition, the size of the fish caught by the longline and purse seine fisheries is shown to see general information of fish size including difference of size by area and season.

2. Data source

In order to count the effort (number of hooks for longline and number of sets for purse seine) and catches (in number by longline and in weight by purse seine), basic data used here is the logbook data that have been compiled at National Research Institute of Far Seas Fisheries (NRIFSF) based on the logbooks mandatory submitted by the fishermen of the longline and purse seine vessels larger than 20 gross ton (GRT). The data for longline fishery are so-called "raised" data, which is aggregated by month and $5^{\circ}x5^{\circ}$ block, and then expanded with coverage rate of the logbook. As for purse seine fishery, logbook coverage is 100%. The basic data is available for 1952-2013 for longline and 1967-2013 for purse seine. The geographical range as the "Indian Ocean" to count the amount of the effort and the catches from the basic data is shown in Fig. 1a. As for catch in weight by area for longline fishery, IOTC database was used.

There are a few sources of the size data for the bigeye and yellowfin tuna caught by longline fishery, i.e. onboard measurement on training and commercial vessels including measurement by scientific observers. The data are collected and compiled at NRIFSF and are available for 1965-2013. Data for 2013 are very preliminary. Area stratification to compute the area-specific sample number of the measurement is shown in Fig. 1b and Fig. 1c for bigeye and yellowfin tuna, respectively. Data for the fish whose size was measured at 1or 2cm or 1kg interval were used. Size data in weight was converted to length using the following equations, was aggregated with length data and was used to create length frequency of the fish in each stratum.

<u>Convert from length to weight:</u> Bigeye tuna: $W=3.661*10^{-5} * L^{2.901}$ Yellowfin tuna: $W=1.886*10^{-5} * L^{3.0195}$

Convert from product weight (gilled and gutted) to round weight: Bigeye tuna: W=GGT*1.13 Yellowfin tuna: W= GGT*1.13

where L is fork length in cm, W is body weight in kg, and GGT is product weight (gilled and gutted) in kg.

As for size data of the fish caught by purse seine fishery, port sampling data was compiled and used. At the port sampling, basically 100-200 fish were sampled and measured from each market category (e.g. skipjack 1.8kg over), and was raised to length frequency (catch at size) for entire cruise using the number and weight for sampled fish and landing statistics.

3. Trend of catch and effort

3.1. Longline fishery

Table 2 and Fig. 2a indicate that after the beginning of the exploitation by longline fishery in the Indian Ocean, annual fishing effort increased until 1967 and then fluctuated ranging from 40% to 99% of the peak year until 2009. However, fishing effort has been decreasing since 2007, and in 2013 (preliminary) it decreased to about 23% of the peak value. Main reason of the decrease in recent years is the effects of piracy activities in the western Indian Ocean (around Somalia). Fishing effort in the last three years (2011-2013) was almost constant. Yellowfin tuna catch (in number) peaked (1,714 thousands fish) in 1968, then sharply decreased to 85 thousands fish in 1977, corresponding to 5% of the level in peaked year, and then gradually increased with fluctuation. The catch in 2006 was 708 thousand fish, which corresponds to 41% of peak value and was highest since 1970. After that the catch decreased again and kept in a low level since 2010. Bigeye tuna catch (in number) peaked (541 thousands fish) in 1968, then sharply decreased to 61 thousands fish in 1976, corresponding to 11% of the level in

peaked year, and then fluctuated between about 100 and 400 thousands fish. Bigeye tuna catch also decreased recently (after 2007) and kept in a low level since 2010. Following is the description for the temporal and spatial changes of the catch and the effort including detailed description in recent years.

Fig. 3 shows geographical distribution of fishing effort (number of hooks), bigeye and yellowfin tuna catch and CPUE by each decade. In the 1950s, when the effort increased (Fig. 2a), the effort was deployed mainly in the region north of 15°S. The main component of the catch was yellowfin tuna in this fishing ground (Fig. 2b).

Following this period, the effort continued to increase up to 130 million hooks until the late 1960s (Fig. 2a). In this period, the total catch of four species of tunas, i.e., yellowfin, albacore, southern bluefin and bigeye tunas was historical highest, and species-specific catches were also the highest for yellowfin, albacore and bluefin tunas (Fig. 2b). Of the four species, yellowfin tuna was the most dominant catch in this period, followed by albacore and southern bluefin tuna. Also the catch of bigeye tuna in this period increased compared to the catch in the 1950s. In this period, fishing ground of this fishery expanded to southward, in the west side and the east side of the Indian Ocean, excluding the southern central of the Indian Ocean. Bigeye CPUE was high in the tropical area and in the region between 25°S and 35°S. The CPUE of yellowfin tuna was also high in the tropical area especially in the western part. In the west side of this region, main component of the catch was yellowfin tuna (Fig. 4), on the other hand, yellowfin and bigeye tunas were caught comparatively equally in the eastern equatorial area.

In the period from the late 1960s to the late 1970s, the effort decreased to about 60 million hooks, about 50% of the peak year (Fig. 2a). In this period, catch of yellowfin and bigeye drastically decreased compared to that in the previous period (Fig. 2b). This decrease was due to withdrawing in the effort from the fishing ground in the tropical area as well as decrease in CPUE.

In the period from the late 1970s to the mid 1980s, the effort increased again and reached to 130 million hooks (Fig. 2a), the same level as the previous peak in the 1960s. This increase was seen in the regions off Somalia and the south of 35°S, targeting bigeye tuna and high quality (=oily) southern bluefin tuna, respectively.

In the period from the mid-1980s to the early 1990s, the effort decreased again (Fig. 2a). This decrease was due to the decrease of the effort in the region south of 35°S, corresponding to the fishing ground for southern bluefin tuna, by introduction of the TAC for southern bluefin tuna in 1986.

In the period from the early to late 1990s the effort increased (Fig. 2a). The increase was seen in the regions off west coast of Australia probably targeting bigeye tuna, and south of Madagascar Island where yellowfin, albacore and bigeye were mainly caught (Fig. 4). During 1980s - 1990s effort in the tropical area is higher in the western part than in the eastern part.

In the period of 2000s the effort kept high until 2007, and sharply decreased during 2008-2010 (Fig. 2a). The decrease has been seen especially in the regions off Somalia (Fig. 5, Fig. 6). This is due to the effect of piracy activities in this area as mentioned above. However, high CPUE for bigeye and yellowfin tunas was seen in the eastern tropical area and in the area around Madagascar, respectively (Fig. 5). These may have caused similar level of CPUE for these species in the last few years compared with the previous period (Fig. 2c). Recent situation of the change in the proportion of effort by area due to pirates seems to be unusual. In recent years, the proportion of albacore is getting higher (Fig. 2b'). This is due to higher proportion of fishing effort in the temperate area as well as increased market demand and commercial value for this species, which increased targeting this species. In recent years, albacore has become one of target species.

3.2. Purse seine fishery

Table 3 and Fig. 7 indicate effort and catch by species caught by Japanese purse seine fishery in the Indian Ocean. Annual fishing effort (number of set) increased in 1990s and marked historical highest value (1,372 sets) in 1992, and then decreased rapidly to 171 sets in 2000, after that it kept in a low level with fluctuation. The annual catch of the tropical tuna coincided with the trend of effort, which reached to 45,000 mt in 1992 and then decreased to 3,000 mt in 2001. After that it ranged between about 2,000 and 6,000 mt, but the catch in 2013 was about 1,100 mt. Usually 60 to 70% of the catch (excluding species other than tropical tuna) is skipjack tuna. Increasing and decreasing trend for the proportion of bigeye and skipjack tuna, respectively, is seen (Fig. 7).

The number of Japanese purse seine vessels in the Indian Ocean from 1991 to 1992 was 11, and then sharply decreased to 2 in 2000, and then fluctuated from 1 to 3 after 2001.

Fig. 8 shows the proportion of the number of set by school type. Associated schools with natural objects were dominant until mid-1980s, and then FAD associated schools became dominant. The proportion of free swimming school was low (mostly less than 10%) over the entire period. Fig. 9 shows historical trend of nominal CPUE for tropical tunas. Increasing trend with fluctuation is observed for skipjack and bigeye tuna, although CPUE was comparatively stable for yellowfin tuna especially after early 1990s. In recent years, CPUE for tropical tunas combined is around 40 mt per set.

Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 show geographical distribution of catch by species for each decade. From late 1980s to mid-1990s, when the effort increased (Table 3), the effort was deployed in the whole equatorial area of the Indian Ocean, and then the effort mainly distributed in the eastern area of the Indian Ocean. The proportion of bigeye tuna was usually higher in the east side of the Indian Ocean. The change in fishing ground, along with the spread of FAFs, may be the reason for increasing proportion and CPUE for bigeye tuna.

4. Size data

4.1. Longline fishery

Table 4 shows the number of measurement for bigeye and yellowfin tunas caught by the longline vessel by sampling category. The number of samples for bigeye tuna peaked in 1985 (over 50,000 individuals), but then decreased to less than 3,000 individuals per year. On-board measurements by training longline vessels had been main data source until early 1990s, but recently almost no training longline vessels are operating in the Indian Ocean. Several fish were measured by scientific observers in recent years, which is almost only data source in recent years. As for yellowfin tuna, the annual number of samples had been usually over 20,000 until mid-1980s, but it decreased after that. In recent years around 1,000 or less fish were measured per year.

Fig. 12 shows length frequency of bigeye tuna stratified by decade, area and quarter. There was almost no change among decades, but the mode in 2010s was a bit smaller than those in other decades. The fish size in the Areas 4 (southeastern part) was a bit smaller than those in the other areas. Fig. 13 shows length distribution of bigeye tuna stratified by quarter and area. No distinct difference of fish size was observed, but a certain proportion of small fish (smaller than 100cm FL) were caught in Area 2 in the second to fourth quarter, in Area 3 in the first quarter and in Area 4 in the first and fourth quarter, all of which show comparatively clear mode.

Fig. 14 shows length frequency of yellowfin tuna stratified by decade, area and quarter. There was no distinct change among decades and areas. The proportion of smaller fish (smaller than 110cm FL) in the second quarter was larger than those in the other quarters. Fig. 15 shows length distribution of yellowfin tuna stratified by quarter and area. There are some differences of fish size among quarters. For example, the proportion of small fish (smaller than 110cm FL) was higher in the second and third quarter in Area 2 and Area 3. Also in the Areas 4 and

5, some smaller fish were caught in the first and second quarter.

Fig. 16 shows estimated (raised) length frequency of the fish caught by Japanese purse seine in the Indian Ocean and unloaded in June 2002. The fish smaller than 80cm FL are dominant for all three species, although some fish between 90 and 110cm were caught for yellowfin tuna.

Table 1. Catch in weight (t) for bigeye and yellowfin tuna caught by Japanese longline fishery. Western: FAO area No. 51 (mostly west of 80°E), eastern: FAO area No. 57 (mostly east of 80°E). Data source: IOTC database.

| Bigeye tuna | | | Yellowfin tuna | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Year | Western | Eastern | Total | Western | Eastern | Total | |
| 1952 | | 280 | 280 | | 3,683 | 3,683 | |
| 1953 | | 1,653 | 1,653 | | 6,757 | 6,757 | |
| 1954 | 592 | 6,158 | 6,750 | 5,660 | 16,006 | 21,666 | |
| 1955 | 4,045 | 5,494 | 9,539 | 32,404 | 11,759 | 44,163 | |
| 1956 | 5,481 | 6,764 | 12,245 | 42,805 | 16,680 | 59,485 | |
| 1957 | 3,811 | 7,279 | 11,090 | 15,291 | 16,573 | 31,864 | |
| 1958 | 4,782 | 5,371 | 10,153 | 12,273 | 10,371 | 22,644 | |
| 1959 | 4,050 | 4,310 | 8,300 | 14,379 | /,805 | 22,182 | |
| 1900 | 7,905 | 0,910 | 14,015 | 24,107 | 7 948 | 20,033 | |
| 1901 | J,918 7 878 | 9.401 | 13,048 | 24,002 | 15 317 | <i>32,730</i> <i>11</i> 101 | |
| 1963 | 5 296 | 6 304 | 11,279 | 16 052 | 5 929 | 21 981 | |
| 1964 | 7 536 | 8 473 | 16,009 | 15,052 | 6 751 | 22,163 | |
| 1965 | 9,100 | 8.467 | 17,567 | 18,522 | 6.404 | 24.926 | |
| 1966 | 14.887 | 6,500 | 21,387 | 33,543 | 7.219 | 40.762 | |
| 1967 | 13.102 | 8.697 | 21,799 | 22.223 | 7,940 | 30.163 | |
| 1968 | 15.489 | 8.125 | 23,614 | 42.349 | 5.977 | 48.326 | |
| 1969 | 10,860 | 3,493 | 14,353 | 19,625 | 3,489 | 23,114 | |
| 1970 | 4,973 | 7,736 | 12,709 | 4,569 | 5,771 | 10,340 | |
| 1971 | 6,901 | 4,285 | 11,186 | 9,793 | 3,577 | 13,370 | |
| 1972 | 6,701 | 1,647 | 8,348 | 6,171 | 1,713 | 7,884 | |
| 1973 | 3,395 | 1,767 | 5,162 | 2,472 | 1,462 | 3,934 | |
| 1974 | 3,464 | 3,422 | 6,886 | 2,904 | 2,045 | 4,949 | |
| 1975 | 2,972 | 2,552 | 5,524 | 4,304 | 2,116 | 6,420 | |
| 1976 | 1,175 | 933 | 2,108 | 1,903 | 876 | 2,779 | |
| 1977 | 2,030 | 1,107 | 3,137 | 1,656 | 444 | 2,100 | |
| 1978 | 7,637 | 3,268 | 10,905 | 3,880 | 740 | 4,620 | |
| 1979 | 2,297 | 1,910 | 4,207 | 2,583 | 712 | 3,295 | |
| 1980 | 3,433 | 2,466 | 5,899 | 1,944 | 1,292 | 3,236 | |
| 1981 | 5,860 | 1,915 | 1,775 | 3,855 | 1,062 | 4,917 | |
| 1982 | 8,955 | 2,439 | 11,394 | 6,034 5,070 | 1,240 | 7,280 | |
| 1985 | 0.313 | 4,388 4 700 | 16,552 | 5,979 | 1,014 | 7,795 | |
| 1964 | 9,515 | 4,709 | 14,022 | 7 205 | 2,008 | 0.465 | |
| 1985 | 12,047 | 3,592 | 17,239 | 8 276 | 2,200 2,428 | 10 704 | |
| 1987 | 11 179 | 4 330 | 15,750 | 5 723 | 2,420 | 8 309 | |
| 1988 | 9 481 | 2,773 | 12,254 | 6 885 | 2,300 | 9 255 | |
| 1989 | 6.269 | 1.432 | 7.701 | 3.443 | 1,149 | 4.592 | |
| 1990 | 5.837 | 2.385 | 8.222 | 4.395 | 1.941 | 6.336 | |
| 1991 | 4,915 | 2,853 | 7,768 | 3,487 | 901 | 4,388 | |
| 1992 | 4,011 | 1,618 | 5,629 | 5,193 | 548 | 5,741 | |
| 1993 | 4,243 | 4,074 | 8,317 | 5,349 | 365 | 5,714 | |
| 1994 | 9,946 | 7,536 | 17,482 | 8,903 | 814 | 9,717 | |
| 1995 | 7,742 | 9,469 | 17,211 | 6,265 | 1,761 | 8,026 | |
| 1996 | 8,090 | 8,364 | 16,454 | 11,396 | 1,411 | 12,807 | |
| 1997 | 10,741 | 8,063 | 18,804 | 13,942 | 1,658 | 15,600 | |
| 1998 | 11,103 | 6,021 | 17,124 | 15,347 | 1,457 | 16,804 | |
| 1999 | 6,234 | 7,762 | 13,996 | 11,990 | 2,673 | 14,663 | |
| 2000 | 6,540 | 7,019 | 13,559 | 12,602 | 2,873 | 15,475 | |
| 2001 | 5,441 | 7,601 | 13,042 | 11,858 | 2,082 | 13,940 | |
| 2002 | 6,360 | 7,521 | 13,881 | 12,763 | 1,170 | 13,933 | |
| 2003 | 6,/15 | 3,251 | 9,965 | 16,598 | 560 | 1/,159 | |
| 2004 | 1,582 | 3,263 1 704 | 10,045 | 15,556 | 4/9 | 10,034 | |
| 2003 | 0 455 | 1,/04 | 12,344 | 21,1/ð 21,609 | 514 612 | 21,492 22,210 | |
| 2000 2007 | 9,433 12 072 | 4,400 5 006 | 13,920 | 21,098 17 200 | 012 | 22,310 18 502 | |
| 2007 | 8 390 | 5 349 | 13 730 | 10.010 | 192 415 | 10,592 | |
| 2000 | 3 761 | 5 232 | 8 993 | 4 437 | 415 | 4 878 | |
| 2010 | 1 090 | 3 155 | 4 744 | 3 274 | 199 | 3 473 | |
| 2011 | 792 | 2,962 | 3,754 | 4.364 | 177 | 4,541 | |
| 2012 | 1.542 | 3,932 | 5,474 | 3.085 | 245 | 3,330 | |
| 2013 | 1,027 | 4,492 | 5,519 | 4,270 | 154 | 4,424 | |

| 1 | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
|------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|------|------|-----|
| | #ot hook | | | | C | atch in r | umber (| thousan | d) | | | |
| | (thousand) | SBT | ALB | BET | YFT | SWO | STM | BUM | BKM | SAI* | SBS* | SKJ |
| 1952 | 2,021 | 6 | 3 | 21 | 131 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 1 | | 0 |
| 1953 | 7,071 | 50 | 57 | 53 | 240 | 2 | 7 | 27 | 17 | 4 | | 0 |
| 1954 | 12,557 | 31 | 142 | 137 | 472 | 4 | 21 | 47 | 25 | 4 | | 1 |
| 1955 | 16,109 | 24 | 157 | 173 | 972 | 5 | 19 | 51 | 24 | 6 | | 1 |
| 1956 | 30,064 | 119 | 258 | 281 | 1,245 | 10 | 45 | 74 | 41 | 11 | | 6 |
| 1957 | 26.609 | 193 | 232 | 215 | 728 | 8 | 50 | 57 | 36 | 11 | | 5 |
| 1958 | 23,269 | 120 | 301 | 191 | 556 | 12 | 46 | 62 | 30 | 13 | | 4 |
| 1959 | 34 021 | 693 | 524 | 169 | 598 | 12 | 56 | 64 | 28 | 18 | | 7 |
| 1960 | 52 554 | 1 072 | 574 | 314 | 962 | 15 | 52 | 56 | 41 | 17 | | 5 |
| 1961 | 59 807 | 010 | 777 | 270 | 860 | 17 | 65 | 10 | 35 | 18 | | 7 |
| 1062 | 65 755 | /32 | 1 010 | 410 | 1 3 3 1 | 22 | 48 | 46 | 15 | 20 | | 6 |
| 1902 | 56 453 | 432 640 | 1,010 | 264 | 655 | 17 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 19 | | 2 |
| 1905 | 50,455 | 400 | 1 010 | 204 | 504 | 21 | 29 | 42 | 20 | 10 | | 3 |
| 1904 | 08,542 | 490 | 1,010 | 204 | 394 | 21 | 30 | 45 | 34 20 | 42 | | 4 |
| 1965 | 80,372 | 459 | 630 | 380 | /0/ | 25 | 81 | 50 | 30 | 42 | | 13 |
| 1966 | 93,511 | 428 | 152 | 4/9 | 1,156 | 29 | 105 | 50 | 31 | 49 | | 18 |
| 1967 | 129,496 | /8/ | 850 | 517 | 903 | 40 | 114 | 51 | 35 | // | | 22 |
| 1968 | 124,438 | 689 | 623 | 541 | 1,714 | 30 | 63 | 34 | 44 | 49 | | 31 |
| 1969 | 108,171 | 674 | 589 | 378 | 771 | 31 | 59 | 26 | 35 | 28 | | 25 |
| 1970 | 89,731 | 454 | 304 | 342 | 375 | 27 | 45 | 17 | 25 | 22 | | 25 |
| 1971 | 96,596 | 411 | 228 | 290 | 480 | 24 | 28 | 14 | 16 | 31 | | 22 |
| 1972 | 80,158 | 467 | 100 | 212 | 294 | 21 | 21 | 14 | 6 | 22 | | 32 |
| 1973 | 82,768 | 442 | 145 | 138 | 148 | 17 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 10 | | 4 |
| 1974 | 88,397 | 476 | 182 | 190 | 200 | 18 | 38 | 13 | 10 | 11 | | 5 |
| 1975 | 90,236 | 322 | 79 | 179 | 249 | 19 | 25 | 10 | 11 | 6 | | 4 |
| 1976 | 80,284 | 452 | 99 | 61 | 95 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 6 | | 2 |
| 1977 | 62.583 | 365 | 33 | 98 | 85 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 2 | | 1 |
| 1978 | 69.281 | 259 | 32 | 312 | 170 | 23 | 44 | 13 | 7 | 4 | | 2 |
| 1979 | 67 728 | 254 | 32 | 122 | 133 | 12 | 25 | 6 | 3 | 3 | | 0 |
| 1980 | 91 661 | 357 | 32 47 | 161 | 106 | 12 | 23 | 8 | 5 4 | 3 | | 1 |
| 1081 | 88 407 | 204 | 87 | 101 | 150 | 16 | 21 | 10 | | 2 | | 1 |
| 1082 | 88 257 | 224 | 105 | 283 | 228 | 22 | 15 | 15 | 4 | 23 | | 1 |
| 1902 | 116 621 | 250 | 105 | 400 | 220 | 22 | 15 | 22 | 4 | 3 | | 1 |
| 1903 | 110,031 | 206 | 141 | 420 | 239 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 11 | 4 | | 0 |
| 1984 | 110,209 | 290 | 130 | 540 410 | 243 | 20 | 23 | 19 | 11 | 4 | | 1 |
| 1985 | 128,438 | 250 | 1/6 | 410 | 281 | 4/ | 25 | 20 | 8 | 5 | | 1 |
| 1986 | 123,252 | 181 | 204 | 382 | 311 | 30 | 24 | 1/ | 5 | 4 | | 1 |
| 1987 | 109,888 | 152 | 160 | 382 | 238 | 30 | 16 | 13 | 4 | 2 | | 0 |
| 1988 | 93,254 | 141 | 99 | 295 | 266 | 33 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 2 | | 1 |
| 1989 | 82,513 | 143 | 68 | 182 | 129 | 21 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | | 0 |
| 1990 | 52,576 | 86 | 68 | 199 | 175 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | | 0 |
| 1991 | 62,434 | 98 | 61 | 208 | 122 | 20 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| 1992 | 59,284 | 102 | 127 | 133 | 142 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 0 |
| 1993 | 52,337 | 80 | 96 | 214 | 172 | 24 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| 1994 | 81,657 | 90 | 141 | 393 | 253 | 39 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1995 | 92,232 | 69 | 148 | 395 | 222 | 27 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1996 | 107,875 | 79 | 179 | 384 | 326 | 33 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997 | 126,309 | 97 | 275 | 433 | 382 | 46 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 1998 | 124,226 | 136 | 237 | 407 | 443 | 39 | 6 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| 1999 | 107.647 | 119 | 157 | 348 | 410 | 26 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| 2000 | 103.463 | 65 | 200 | 336 | 433 | 26 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| 2001 | 109,752 | 92 | 226 | 321 | 400 | 21 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 2001 | 105,990 | 62 | 220 | 328 | 397 | 23 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 2 | Ő |
| 2002 | 78 260 | 25 | 152 | 246 | 525 | 19 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 2003 | 08 727 | 01 | 102 201 | 240 260 | 333 407 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 2004 | 70,237 112 021 | 91 104 | 201 | 200 | 471 | 20 | 2 | 0 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2005 | 110,801 | 104 | 364 | 296 | 000 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| 2006 | 118,365 | /1 | 481 | 342 | /08 | 33 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 21 | 7 | 2 |
| 2007 | 117,675 | 51 | 399 | 456 | 596 | 45 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 28 | 1 | 2 |
| 2008 | 89,357 | 22 | 362 | 336 | 332 | 33 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 6 |
| 2009 | 64,951 | 37 | 240 | 233 | 160 | 22 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 9 |
| 2010 | 36,570 | 31 | 282 | 120 | 114 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| 2011 | 28,454 | 37 | 183 | 105 | 140 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 3 |
| 2012 | 31,466 | 28 | 257 | 139 | 112 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| 2013 | 29,640 | 17 | 192 | 140 | 137 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 |

Table 2. Annual fishing effort (number of hooks) for the Japanese longline fishery and its catch in number by species.

* Sailfish and spearfish were not separated until 1993

| | | | Catch (mt) | | | | | |
|------|-------------------|------------------|------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--|
| Year | Number of vessels | Number of set | SKJ | YFT | BET | Others | Total | |
| 1972 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1974 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1977 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 1978 | 1 | 107 | 918 | 215 | 5 | 0 | 1,138 | |
| 1979 | 1 | 56 | 566 | 103 | 1 | 8 | 678 | |
| 1980 | 1 | 50 | 421 | 122 | 8 | 4 | 555 | |
| 1981 | 1 | 8 | 46 | 32 | 1 | 7 | 85 | |
| 1982 | 1 | 45 | 453 | 120 | 21 | 11 | 605 | |
| 1983 | 1 | 52 | 592 | 198 | 54 | 1 | 845 | |
| 1984 | 1 | 72 | 696 | 242 | 215 | 28 | 1,181 | |
| 1985 | 1 | 19 | 315 | 75 | 168 | 12 | 570 | |
| 1986 | 1 | 43 | 562 | 160 | 142 | 3 | 868 | |
| 1987 | 1 | 82 | 884 | 260 | 122 | 18 | 1,284 | |
| 1988 | 1 | 112 | 2,250 | 389 | 277 | 74 | 2,990 | |
| 1989 | 3 | 225 | 3,449 | 883 | 581 | 73 | 4,986 | |
| 1990 | 4 | 612 | 11,187 | 3,222 | 1,225 | 120 | 15,754 | |
| 1991 | 11 | 899 | 15,877 | 5,061 | 1,269 | 36 | 22,242 | |
| 1992 | 11 | 1,372 | 31,403 | 11,746 | 1,732 | 348 | 45,229 | |
| 1993 | 11 | 1,329 | 31,485 | 11,086 | 1,984 | 64 | 44,618 | |
| 1994 | 8 | 1,199 | 20,110 | 5,343 | 4,182 | 5 | 29,640 | |
| 1995 | 6 | 1,229 | 15,972 | 4,719 | 3,576 | 7 | 24,274 | |
| 1996 | 5 | 681 | 7,515 | 4,035 | 1,386 | 15 | 12,951 | |
| 1997 | 3 | 526 | 6,713 | 2,612 | 1,251 | 20 | 10,596 | |
| 1998 | 2 | 412 | 5,748 | 1,949 | 915 | 2 | 8,614 | |
| 1999 | 3 | 376 | 4,588 | 1,501 | 899 | 11 | 6,999 | |
| 2000 | 2 | 171 | 2,332 | 953 | 747 | 10 | 4,042 | |
| 2001 | 2 | 161 | 1,830 | 603 | 592 | 2 | 3,027 | |
| 2002 | 1 | 143 | 1,937 | 445 | 649 | 2 | 3,033 | |
| 2003 | 1 | 167 | 2,443 | 651 | 812 | 0 | 3,906 | |
| 2004 | 1 | 89 | 1,459 | 327 | 524 | 0 | 2,310 | |
| 2005 | 1 | 141 | 3,149 | 894 | 849 | 0 | 4,892 | |
| 2006 | 3 | 59 | 1,982 | 266 | 547 | 0 | 2,795 | |
| 2007 | 3 | 179 | 4,362 | 963 | 987 | 0 | 6,312 | |
| 2008 | 3 | 239 | 3,133 | 1,175 | 1,009 | 0 | 5,317 | |
| 2009 | 2 | 185 | 3,434 | 557 | 1,571 | 0 | 5,562 | |
| 2010 | 1 | 92 | 1,731 | 481 | 868 | 0 | 3,080 | |
| 2011 | 1 | 105 | 1,675 | 352 | 1,130 | 0 | 3,157 | |
| 2012 | 1 | 72 | 1,437 | 232 | 536 | 1 | 2,206 | |
| 2013 | 1 | 27 | 861 | 95 | 197 | 2 | 1,155 | |

Table 3. Annual number of vessels operated, fishing effort (number of sets) and its catch in weight (t) by species for the Japanese purse seine fishery.

| | Bigeye tuna | | | | Yellowfi | n tuna | | |
|------|-------------|----------|------------------|--------|------------|----------|------------|---------|
| | Commercial | Training | Scientific | | Commercial | Training | Scientific | |
| Year | vessels | vessels | observer | Total | vessels | vessels | observer | Total |
| 1965 | 12,838 | 9,359 | 0 | 22,197 | 16,202 | 23,665 | 0 | 39,867 |
| 1966 | 12,077 | 8,877 | 0 | 20,954 | 16,737 | 21,410 | 0 | 38,147 |
| 1967 | 8,243 | 7,342 | 0 | 15,585 | 7,168 | 14,173 | 0 | 21,341 |
| 1968 | 12,469 | 11.191 | 0 | 23,660 | 14.207 | 22.863 | 0 | 37.070 |
| 1969 | 8.247 | 19,760 | 0 | 28.007 | 4,703 | 25.946 | 0 | 30.649 |
| 1970 | 6.739 | 17.853 | 0 | 24.592 | 5,165 | 23.448 | 0 | 28.613 |
| 1971 | 10.234 | 12,332 | 0 | 22,566 | 5,903 | 33,358 | 0 | 39.261 |
| 1972 | 1.361 | 15,972 | 0 | 17.333 | 3.275 | 31,711 | 0 | 34,986 |
| 1973 | 1,068 | 10,990 | 0 | 12.058 | 1.664 | 20.454 | ů 0 | 22,118 |
| 1974 | 1.357 | 11.625 | 0 | 12,982 | 1.886 | 15,938 | 0 | 17.824 |
| 1975 | 2.362 | 12,978 | 0 | 15,340 | 1,873 | 20.925 | ů 0 | 22,798 |
| 1976 | 1,779 | 9,904 | 0 | 11.683 | 355 | 26,168 | ů 0 | 26.523 |
| 1977 | 1,851 | 11,406 | 0 | 13,257 | 805 | 25,300 | ů 0 | 26,105 |
| 1978 | 2,210 | 18,833 | 0 | 21.043 | 1.418 | 18,996 | ů 0 | 20,414 |
| 1979 | 5,702 | 26.058 | 0 | 31,760 | 1,014 | 17.429 | ů 0 | 18,443 |
| 1980 | 2,269 | 27 297 | 0 | 29 566 | 455 | 10 905 | 0 | 11 360 |
| 1981 | 945 | 30.057 | 0 | 31,002 | 721 | 14 561 | 0 | 15 282 |
| 1982 | 787 | 37 518 | 0 | 38 305 | 4 749 | 14 245 | 0 | 18 994 |
| 1983 | 6 963 | 40 679 | 0 | 47 642 | 3 859 | 17,003 | 0 | 20.862 |
| 1984 | 17 870 | 26 421 | 0 | 44 291 | 16 586 | 18 572 | 0 | 35 158 |
| 1985 | 22 258 | 30 458 | 0 | 52 716 | 17,667 | 14 280 | 0 | 31 947 |
| 1986 | 20,233 | 28 405 | 0 | 49 142 | 16 444 | 6 785 | 0 | 23 229 |
| 1987 | 14 513 | 13 984 | 0 | 28 497 | 6 675 | 5 188 | 0 | 11 863 |
| 1988 | 15 371 | 14 105 | 0 | 29,476 | 11 306 | 3 852 | 0 | 15 158 |
| 1989 | 16 322 | 9 070 | 0 | 25 392 | 11,500 | 2,356 | 0 | 14 272 |
| 1990 | 10,322 | 8 710 | 0 | 18 845 | 15,035 | 2,336 | 0 | 17 220 |
| 1991 | 8 663 | 6 666 | 0 | 15 329 | 7 491 | 2,105 | 0 | 9 517 |
| 1992 | 7 658 | 2,359 | 265 | 10,282 | 5 132 | 587 | 11 | 5 730 |
| 1993 | 4 349 | 1 213 | 203 | 5 586 | 6 347 | 632 | 0 | 6 979 |
| 1994 | 4 267 | 313 | 112 | 4 692 | 5 007 | 152 | 0 | 5 1 5 9 |
| 1995 | 3 697 | 1 166 | 112 | 4 878 | 6 727 | 415 | 17 | 7 159 |
| 1996 | 1 358 | 1 315 | 73 | 2,746 | 4 869 | 255 | 5 | 5 129 |
| 1997 | 4 288 | 3 330 | 128 | 7 746 | 6 215 | 655 | 18 | 6 888 |
| 1998 | 7 440 | 748 | 278 | 8 466 | 11 615 | 368 | 18 | 12,001 |
| 1999 | 2.729 | 118 | 5 64 | 3.411 | 11,018 | 160 | 61 | 11.329 |
| 2000 | 7,560 | 326 | 582 | 8.468 | 15.442 | 942 | 1.666 | 18.050 |
| 2001 | 2,217 | 216 | 343 | 2,776 | 4.831 | 512 | 101 | 5.444 |
| 2002 | 1,995 | 44 | 71 | 2,110 | 1.377 | 25 | 49 | 1.451 |
| 2003 | 299 | 43 | 729 | 1.071 | 570 | 19 | 300 | 889 |
| 2004 | 874 | 41 | 1.198 | 2,113 | 1.333 | 19 | 339 | 1.691 |
| 2005 | 790 | 0 | 2,258 | 3 048 | 1,333 | 0 | 1 044 | 2,226 |
| 2005 | 246 | 0 | 2,230 | 2,867 | 1,102 | 0 | 1,670 | 2,220 |
| 2007 | 366 | 0 | 2,021 | 2,370 | 1,362 | 0 | 263 | 1 403 |
| 2008 | 96 | 0 | 2,004 466 | 562 | 1,140 | 0 | 205 | 1 752 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 1 093 | 1 093 | 1,0// | 0 | 312 | 312 |
| 2010 | 2 | 0 | 2.672 | 2,674 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 192 |
| 2011 | 62 | 0 | 1 694 | 1 756 | 38 | 0 | 192 | 231 |
| 2012 | 3 | 0 | <u>1,07</u> б | 9 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 166 |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 24 |

| Table 4. Number of size | data for bigeve and | l vellowfin tuna o | caught by Jap | panese longline fi | ishery. |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|
| | 0,2 | 2 | 0 2 | | ~ |



Fig. 1. The geographical range to count the amount of the effort and the catches (a), area definition to count the number of sample of length data for bigeye tuna (b) and yellowfin tuna (c).



Fig. 2. The number of hooks employed and catch of bigeye and yellowfin tuna (a), catch by species in number (b), species composition in number (b'), and nominal CPUE of bigeye and yellowfin tuna for 1952-2013 (c) and for 1970-2013 (d) caught by Japanese longline fishery.



Fig. 3. The average distribution of the effort (number of hooks) and bigeye and yellowfin tuna CPUE (number of fish/1000hooks) for each decadal period.



Fig. 3. The average distribution of the effort (number of hooks) and bigeye and yellowfin tuna CPUE (number of fish/1000hooks) for each decadal period.(continued)



Fig. 4. The distribution of amount of catch in number by species for each decade. Size of circle shows amount of total of catches i.e. southern bluefin tuna (SBT), albacore (ALB), bigeye tuna (BET), yellowfin tuna (YFT), swordfish (SWO) and billfishes (BILL).



Fig. 4. The distribution of amount of catch in number by species for each decade. Size of circle shows amount of total of catches i.e. southern bluefin tuna (SBT), albacore (ALB), bigeye tuna (BET), yellowfin tuna (YFT), swordfish (SWO) and billfishes (BILL).(continued)



Fig. 5. The geographical distribution of the effort (number of hooks) and bigeye and yellowfin tuna CPUE (number of fish/1000hooks) in recent years.



Fig. 5. The geographical distribution of the effort (number of hooks) and bigeye and yellowfin tuna CPUE (number of fish/1000hooks) in recent years. (continued)

IOTC-2014-WPTT16-10



Fig. 6. Annual recent distribution of amount of catch in number by species. Size of circle shows amount of total of catches i.e. southern bluefin tuna (SBT), albacore (ALB), bigeye tuna (BET), yellowfin tuna (YFT), swordfish (SWO) and billfishes (BILL).



Fig. 6. Annual recent distribution of amount of catch in number by species. Size of circle shows amount of total of catches i.e. southern bluefin tuna (SBT), albacore (ALB), bigeye tuna (BET), yellowfin tuna (YFT), swordfish (SWO) and billfishes (BILL).(continued)



Fig. 7. The number of purse seine efforts (sets) and catch of tropical tunas (skipjack, yellowfin and bigeye tuna) (upper panel) and species composition (lower panel) caught by Japanese purse seine fishery in the Indian Ocean.



Fig. 8. The proportion of the number of set by school type for Japanese purse seine fishery in the Indian Ocean. Log: associated school with natural objects, FAD: FAD associated school, Free: free swimming school, Oths: other types of school.



Fig. 9. The trends of nominal CPUE (catch per set) for Japanese purse seine fishery in the Indian Ocean. "CPUE_total" does not include other fish.

IOTC-2014-WPTT16-10



Fig. 10. The distribution of the amount of the catch in weight for the Japanese purse seine by species (SKJ; skipjack tuna, YFT; yellowfin tuna, BET; bigeye tuna) for each decade. Size of circles shows amount of total of catches (other fish are not included).

IOTC-2014-WPTT16-10



Fig. 11. Annual distribution of the amount of catch in weight for the Japanese purse seine by species (SKJ; skipjack tuna, YFT; yellowfin tuna, BET; bigeye tuna) in recent years. Size of the circles shows amount of total of catches (other fish are not included).



Fig. 12. Length frequency of bigeye tuna in the Indian Ocean caught by Japanese longline by decade (left), area (middle) and quarter (right). Area is shown in Fig. 1b.



Fig. 13. Length frequency of bigeye tuna in the Indian Ocean caught by Japanese longline by quarter and area.



Fig. 14. Length frequency of yellowfin tuna in the Indian Ocean caught by Japanese longline by decade (left), area (middle) and quarter (right). Area is shown in Fig. 1c.



Fig. 15. Length frequency of yellowfin tuna in the Indian Ocean caught by Japanese longline by quarter and area.



Fig. 16. Length frequency of the fish caught by Japanese purse seine in the Indian Ocean and unloaded in June 2002, which was estimated by port sampling and landing statistics.