DRAFT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: PELAGIC THRESHER SHARK



Status of the Indian Ocean pelagic thresher shark (PTH: Alopias pelagicus)

TABLE 1.Pelagic thresher shark: Status pelagic thresher shark(*Alopias pelagicus*) in the Indian Ocean.

Area ¹	Indicators		2016 stock status determination
	Reported catch 2015:	0 t	
Indian Ocean	Not elsewhere included (nei) sharks ² 2015:	57,125t	
	Average reported catch 2011–15:	69 t	
	Av. not elsewhere included (nei) sharks ² 2011-15:	49,785 t	
	MSY (1,000 t) (80% CI):		
	F _{MSY} (80% CI):		
	SB _{MSY} (1,000 t) (80% CI):	unknown	
	F_{2014}/F_{MSY} (80% CI):	ulikilowii	
	SB ₂₀₁₄ /SB _{MSY} (80% CI):		
	SB ₂₀₁₄ /SB ₀ (80% CI):		

¹Boundaries for the Indian Ocean = IOTC area of competence

²Includes all other shark catches reported to the IOTC Secretariat, which may contain this species (i.e., SHK: sharks various nei; RSK: requiem sharks nei).

Colour key	Stock overfished(SB _{year} /SB _{MSY} < 1)	Stock not overfished (SB _{year} /SB _{MSY} ≥ 1)	
Stock subject to overfishing(F _{year} /F _{MSY} > 1)			
Stock not subject to overfishing $(F_{year}/F_{MSY} \le 1)$			
Not assessed/Uncertain			

TABLE 2.Pelagic thresher shark: IUCN threat status of pelagic thresher shark (*Alopias pelagicus*) in the Indian Ocean.

	Scientific name	IUCN threat status ³		
Common name		Global status	WIO	EIO
Pelagic thresher shark	Alopias pelagicus	Vulnerable		

IUCN = International Union for Conservation of Nature; WIO = Western Indian Ocean; EIO = Eastern Indian Ocean ³The process of the threat assessment from IUCN is independent from the IOTC and is presented for information purpose only Sources:IUCN 2007, Reardon et al. 2009

NOTE: IOTC Resolution 12/09 On the conservation of thresher sharks (family Alopiidae) caught in association with fisheries in the IOTC area of competence, prohibits retention onboard, transhipping, landing, storing, selling or offering for sale any part or whole carcass of thresher sharks of all the species of the family Alopiidae¹.

INDIAN OCEAN STOCK - MANAGEMENT ADVICE

Stock status. There remains considerable uncertainty in the stock status due to lack of information necessary for assessment or to for the development of other indicators of the stock (Table 1). The ecological risk assessment (ERA) conducted for the Indian Ocean by the WPEB and SC in 2012 (Murua et al., 2012) consisted of a semi-quantitative risk assessment analysis to evaluate the resilience of shark species to the impact of a given fishery, by combining the biological productivity of the species and its susceptibility to each fishing gear type. Pelagic thresher shark received a high vulnerability ranking (No. 3) in the ERA rank for longline gear because it was characterised as one of the least productive shark species, and with a high susceptibility to longline gear. Despite its low productivity, pelagic thresher shark has a low vulnerability ranking to purse seine gear due to its low susceptibility for this particular gear. The current IUCN threat status of 'Vulnerable' applies to pelagic thresher shark globally (Table 2). There is a paucity of

¹Scientific observers shall be allowed to collect biological samples from thresher sharks that are dead at haulback, provided that the samples are part of the research project approved by the Scientific Committee (or the Working Party on Ecosystemsand Bycatch).

information available on this species and this situation is not expected to improve in the short to medium term. Pelagic thresher sharks are commonly taken by a range of fisheries in the Indian Ocean. Because of their life history characteristics – they are relatively long lived (+ 20 years), mature at 8–9 years, and have few offspring (2 pups every year), the pelagic thresher shark is vulnerable to overfishing. There is no quantitative stock assessment and limited basic fishery indicators currently available for pelagic thresher shark in the Indian Ocean therefore the stock status is **uncertain.**

Outlook. Current longline fishing effort is directed to other species, however pelagic thresher sharks is a common bycatch these fisheries. Hooking mortality is apparently very high, therefore IOTC regulation 10/12 prohibiting retaining of any part of thresher sharks onboard and promoting life release of thresher shark may be largely ineffective for species conservation. Maintaining or increasing effort can result in declines in biomass, productivity and CPUE. However there are few data to estimate CPUE trends, in view of IOTC regulation 10/12 and reluctance of fishing fleet to report information on discards/non-retained catch. The impact of piracy in the western Indian Ocean has resulted in the displacement and subsequent concentration of a substantial portion of longline fishing effort into other areas in the southern and eastern Indian Ocean. It is therefore unlikely that catch and effort on pelagic thresher shark will decline in these areas in the near future, which may result in localised depletion.

Management advice. The prohibition on retention of pelagic thresher shark should be maintain. Mechanisms need to be developed by the Commission to encourage CPCs to comply with their reporting requirement on sharks, so as to better inform scientific advice.

The following key points should also be noted:

- Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY): Not applicable. Retention prohibited.
- **Reference points**: Not applicable.
- Main fishing gear (2011–15): Gillnet-longline; longline-gillnet.
- Main fleets (2011–15): Sri Lanka.