

**DRAFT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: MARINE TURTLES****Status of marine turtles in the Indian Ocean****TABLE 1.** Marine turtles: IUCN threat status for all marine turtle species reported as caught in fisheries within the IOTC area of competence.

<b>Common name</b>	<b>Scientific name</b>	<b>IUCN threat status<sup>1</sup></b>
Flatback turtle	<i>Natator depressus</i>	Data deficient
Green turtle	<i>Chelonia mydas</i>	Endangered
Hawksbill turtle	<i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i>	Critically Endangered
Leatherback turtle	<i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>	Vulnerable
Loggerhead turtle	<i>Caretta caretta</i>	Endangered
Olive Ridley turtle	<i>Lepidochelys olivacea</i>	Vulnerable

Sources: Marine Turtle Specialist Group 1996, Red List Standards & Petitions Subcommittee 1996, Sarti Martinez (Marine Turtle Specialist Group) 2000, Seminoff 2004, Abreu-Grobois & Plotkin 2008, Mortimer et al. 2008, IUCN 2014, The IUCN Red List of Threatened species. Version 2015.2 <[www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org)>. Downloaded on 15 July 2015.

**INDIAN OCEAN STOCK – MANAGEMENT ADVICE**

**Stock status.** No assessment has been undertaken by the IOTC WPEB for marine turtles due to the lack of data being submitted by CPCs. However, the current International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) threat status for each of the marine turtle species reported as caught in IOTC fisheries to date is provided in Table 1. It is important to note that a number of international global environmental accords (e.g. Convention on Migratory Species (CMS), Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)), as well as numerous fisheries agreements obligate States to provide protection for these species. In particular, there are now 35 Signatories to the Memorandum of Understanding on the Conservation and Management of Marine Turtles and their Habitats of the Indian Ocean and South-East Asia (IOSEA MoU). Of the 35 Signatories to the IOSEA MoU, 23 are also members of the IOTC. While the status of marine turtles is affected by a range of factors such as degradation of marine turtle natural habitats and targeted harvesting of eggs and turtles, the level of mortality of marine turtles due to capture by gillnets is likely to be substantial as shown by the Ecological Risk Assessment undertaken in 2012/13, and an order of magnitude higher than longline and purse seine gears for which mitigation measures are in place.

**Outlook.** Resolution 12/04 *On the conservation of marine turtles* includes an annual evaluation requirement (para. 17) by the Scientific Committee (SC). However, given the lack of reporting of marine turtle interactions by CPCs to date, such an evaluation cannot be undertaken. Unless IOTC CPCs become compliant with the data collection and reporting requirements for marine turtles, the WPEB and the SC will continue to be unable to address this issue. Notwithstanding this, it is acknowledged that the impact on marine turtle populations from fishing for tuna and tuna-like species may increase if fishing pressure increases, or if the status of the marine turtle populations worsens due to other factors such as an increase in fishing pressure from other fisheries or anthropological or climatic impacts.

The following should be noted:

- The available evidence indicates considerable risk to marine turtles in the Indian Ocean.
- The primary source of data that drive the ability of the WPEB to determine a status for the Indian Ocean, total interactions by fishing vessels, is highly uncertain and should be addressed as a matter of priority.
- Current reported interactions are known to be a severe underestimate.
- From the limited data received, longlining posed the greater apparent risk to marine turtles. The ERA estimated that ~3,500 marine turtles are caught by longline vessels annually, while it was estimated that ~250 marine turtles p.a. are observed in purse seine operations, 75% being released alive (Bourjea et al. 2014). The Ecological Risk Assessment conducted by Nel et al. (2013) set out two separate approaches to

<sup>1</sup> The process of the threat assessment from IUCN is independent from the IOTC and is presented for information purpose only

estimate gillnet impacts on marine turtles, based on very limited data. The first calculated that 52,425 marine turtles p.a. and the second that 11,400–47,500 turtles p.a. are caught in gillnets (with a mean of the two methods being 29,488 marine turtles p.a.). Anecdotal/published studies reported values of >5000–16,000 marine turtles p.a. for each of India, Sri Lanka and Madagascar. Of these reports, green turtles are under the greatest pressure from gillnet fishing, constituting 50–88% of catches for Madagascar. Loggerhead, hawksbill and olive Ridley turtles are caught in varying proportions depending on the region.

- Maintaining or increasing fishing effort in the Indian Ocean without appropriate mitigation measures in place, will likely result in further declines in the number of individuals.
- That appropriate mechanisms are developed by the Compliance Committee to ensure CPCs comply with their data collection and reporting requirements for marine turtles.