



Review compliance requirements to identify reporting and implementation obligations that should be included in the Compliance Assessment Process

PREPARED BY: IOTC SECRETARIAT¹, 25 JANUARY 2019

PURPOSE

To encourage participants at the Working Party on The Implementation of Conservation and Management Measures (WPICMM) to review the draft list of compliance requirements not included in the compliance assessment.

BACKGROUND

The component 18 / sub-component 18.1 of the work Plan of the WPICMM comprise the following activities:

| 18 | Review compliance requirements to identify reporting and implementation obligations that should be included in the Compliance Assessment Process | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 18.1 | Identify reporting and implementation obligations | | | | | |
| | EU to provide a draft list of compliance requirements not included in the compliance | | | | | |
| 18.1.1 | assessment process | | | | | |
| 18.1.2 | Review of the list by the WPICMM | | | | | |
| | Submission of the recommendations to the Compliance Committee to include additional | | | | | |
| 18.1.3 | reporting and implementation obligations in the Compliance Assessment Process | | | | | |

DISCUSSION

The work plan of the Working Party on Implementation of Conservation and Management measures (WPICMM), component 18 "Review compliance requirements to identify reporting and implementation obligations that should be included in the Compliance Assessment Process", sub-component 18.1 makes provision for the European Union to provide a draft list of compliance requirements not included in the compliance assessment process.

On 7 September 2018, the European Union (EU) was invited to provide the draft list of compliance requirements no later than 31st December 2018. The overall objective is to include additional reporting and implementation obligations in the Compliance Assessment Process of the Compliance Committee for its consideration and possible recommendation to the Commission.

The EU has provided the paper "STRENGTHENING THE IOTC COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT PROCESS" of which an explanatory memorandum is reproduced below. The paper is available in full at Appendix I.

Please note that the paper IOTC–2019–WPICMM02–13_Rev1 does not contain the list of compliance requirements not included in the compliance assessment process.

Explanatory Memorandum

The Working party on the implementation of Conservation and Management Measures (WPICMM) recommended a complete review of the list of active CMMs to identify reporting requirements and implementation obligations that are not currently being assessed, to be submitted to the Compliance

¹ secretariat@iotc.org

Committee (CoC) in 2019. The Compliance Committee at its 15th meeting adopted this recommendation.

Point 18.1.1 of the WPICMM workplan tasked the EU to provide a list of compliance requirements not included in the current compliance assessment process in order to make the process more comprehensive and exhaustive.

At the 22nd Session of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, the EU proposed to amend appendix V of the Compliance Committee terms of reference and rules of procedure. The purpose of the proposal was to streamline and strengthen the CPCs compliance assessment in IOTC, as well as to improve the existing IOTC compliance assessment procedure and ensure appropriate follow-up when instances of non-compliance are detected. The Commission recommended the proposal be referred to the WPICMM and the CoC for further deliberations.

The report from the independent consultant *Strengthening the IOTC Compliance Assessment Methodology* makes a set of recommendations, notably on a graduated approach to issues of noncompliance and on possible corrective actions and incentives to improve compliance.

In view of the above, the EU is putting forward this document as basis for discussion on the way forward. The aim of the proposals contained in this paper is to ensure first: an assessment of the compliance status that take into account different levels of non-compliance and related follow-up; and second: a more comprehensive analysis of obligations for conservation and management, by breaking down obligations by paragraphs and looking into the concrete implementation of measures.

The first component of this document is a table that defines the criteria to assess compliance by CPCs with the IOTC obligations. The table is the same that was annexed to the proposal presented by the EU at the 22nd Commission meeting with some modifications to take into account comments received. The purpose is to differentiate between different categories and seriousness of non-compliance and define an appropriate follow-up for each of the cases.

The second component of the document is an <u>example</u> of the compliance assessment that would result from the approach proposed (Table 2). Resolution 17/05 on the conservation of sharks caught in association with fisheries managed by IOTC; Resolution 17/04 on a ban on discards of bigeye tuna, skipjack tuna, yellowfin tuna, and non-targeted species caught by purse seine vessels in the IOTC area of competence; Resolution 13/06 on a scientific and management framework on the conservation of shark species caught in association with IOTC managed fisheries; and Resolution 11/04 on a Regional Observer Scheme, have been used as illustrations.

For each Resolution, the table contains a breakdown by paragraph and related obligations. In addition, examples are given of elements to be taken into account in order to establish the compliance status.

It is also suggested that the assessment would be based on the following criteria:

- (i) For a CPC-level quantitative limit or collective CPCs quantitative limit, such as a limit on fishing capacity, fishing effort, or catch, verifiable data indicating that the limit has not been exceeded.
- (ii) For other obligations:
 - a. Implementation where an obligation applies, the CPC is required to provide information showing that it has adopted, in accordance with its own national policies and procedures, binding measures that implement that obligation; and
 - b. Monitor and ensure compliance the CPC is required to provide information showing that it has a system or procedures to monitor compliance of vessels and persons with these binding measures, a system or procedures to respond to instances of non-compliance and has taken action in relation to potential infringements.

The IOTC Secretariat would be therefore required to prepare the assessment based on those criteria and highlight any possible infringements of those obligations on the basis of suitably documented information available (i.e. observer reports, inspection reports, etc).

Based on the WPICMM discussions and recommendations on the best approach to be followed, a revised and more comprehensive proposal will be submitted to the CoC and the Commission.

We would like to invite the WPCIMM02 to review the paper of the European Union.

RECOMMENDATION

That the WPICMM02:

- 1) **NOTE** paper IOTC-2019-WPICMM02-13, Review compliance requirements to identify reporting and implementation obligations that should be included in the Compliance Assessment Process,
- 2) **REVIEW AND DISCUSS** the proposal to strengthen the IOTC compliance assessment process and make a **RECOMMENDATION** on the way forward to strengthen the IOTC compliance assessment process.

APPENDIX I

STRENGTHENING THE IOTC COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Explanatory Memorandum

The Working party on the implementation of Conservation and Management Measures (WPICMM) recommended a complete review of the list of active CMMs to identify reporting requirements and implementation obligations that are not currently being assessed, to be submitted to the Compliance Committee (CoC) in 2019. The Compliance Committee at its 15th meeting adopted this recommendation.

Point 18.1.1 of the WPICMM workplan tasked the EU to provide a list of compliance requirements not included in the current compliance assessment process in order to make the process more comprehensive and exhaustive.

At the 22nd Session of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, the EU proposed to amend appendix V of the Compliance Committee terms of reference and rules of procedure. The purpose of the proposal was to streamline and strengthen the CPCs compliance assessment in IOTC, as well as to improve the existing IOTC compliance assessment procedure and ensure appropriate follow-up when instances of non-compliance are detected. The Commission recommended the proposal be referred to the WPICMM and the CoC for further deliberations.

The report from the independent consultant *Strengthening the IOTC Compliance Assessment Methodology* makes a set of recommendations, notably on a graduated approach to issues of noncompliance and on possible corrective actions and incentives to improve compliance.

In view of the above, the EU is putting forward this document as basis for discussion on the way forward. The aim of the proposals contained in this paper is to ensure first: an assessment of the compliance status that take into account different levels of non-compliance and related follow-up; and second: a more comprehensive analysis of obligations for conservation and management, by breaking down obligations by paragraphs and looking into the concrete implementation of measures.

The first component of this document is a table that defines the criteria to assess compliance by CPCs with the IOTC obligations. The table is the same that was annexed to the proposal presented by the EU at the 22nd Commission meeting with some modifications to take into account comments received. The purpose is to differentiate between different categories and seriousness of noncompliance and define an appropriate follow-up for each of the cases.

The second component of the document is an <u>example</u> of the compliance assessment that would result from the approach proposed (Table 2). Resolution 17/05 on the conservation of sharks caught in association with fisheries managed by IOTC; Resolution 17/04 on a ban on discards of bigeye tuna, skipjack tuna, yellowfin tuna, and non-targeted species caught by purse seine vessels in the IOTC area of competence; Resolution 13/06 on a scientific and management framework on the conservation of shark species caught in association with IOTC managed fisheries; and Resolution 11/04 on a Regional Observer Scheme, have been used as illustrations.

For each Resolution, the table contains a breakdown by paragraph and related obligations. In addition, examples are given of elements to be taken into account in order to establish the compliance status.

It is also suggested that the assessment would be based on the following criteria:

(i) For a CPC-level quantitative limit or collective CPCs quantitative limit, such as a limit on fishing capacity, fishing effort, or catch, verifiable data indicating that the limit has not been exceeded.

(ii) For other obligations:

- a. Implementation where an obligation applies, the CPC is required to provide information showing that it has adopted, in accordance with its own national policies and procedures, binding measures that implement that obligation; and
- b. Monitor and ensure compliance the CPC is required to provide information showing that it has a system or procedures to monitor compliance of vessels and persons with these binding measures, a system or procedures to respond to instances of non-compliance and has taken action in relation to potential infringements.

The IOTC Secretariat would be therefore required to prepare the assessment based on those criteria and highlight any possible infringements of those obligations on the basis of suitably documented information available (i.e. observer reports, inspection reports, etc).

Based on the WPICMM discussions and recommendations on the best approach to be followed, a revised and more comprehensive proposal will be submitted to the CoC and the Commission.

TABLE 1. COMPLIANCE STATUS CATEGORIES

| Compliance status ² | Criteria | Suggested action |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Compliant | A CPC will be deemed Compliant with an obligation if the following criteria have all been met: a. reporting or submission deadlines; b. implementation of obligations through national laws or regulations and no infraction detected in the implementation; c. submission of all mandatory information or data required, in the agreed format, as applicable. | No action required |
| Non-Compliant Non-Compliant | A CPC will be deemed Non Compliant with an obligation if any of the following have occurred, as applicable: a. a CPC has failed to comply with an obligation or category of obligations not specifically identified as Priority Non-Compliant; b. information or data for the obligation has been submitted or reported in a way that is incomplete, incorrect. c. a CPC has failed to meet reporting or submission deadlines. | No further action: the CPC demonstrates that it has already taken appropriate response and no further action is required; Corrective action needed: the CPC shall address the non-compliance situation within a given period of time, and at the latest before the following annual meeting, unless otherwise decided by the Commission. The corrective action shall be appropriate to the relevant infraction and shall include one or more, but are not limited to, of the following responses taking into account the history, circumstances, extent, and gravity of the act or omission: Remedies to be applied by the CPC remedies proposed by the CPC and endorsed by the CoC; the completion of an investigation by the CPC regarding a non-compliance situation and reporting back to the Commission; enhanced monitoring of the fleet including increased VMS frequency, the placement of observers, increased inspection requirements, restrictions on landings and others; amendments to the domestic procedures, legislation or policy including sanctions and penalties; Remedies to be applied by the Commission the provision of capacity building or technical assistance to address structural needs to ensure compliance; the undertaking of an external review of the domestic system in relation with the IOTC obligations; any other remedy as deemed appropriate. |

² For the purposes of the IOTC Provisional Compliance Report, "Compliance Status" refers to compliance with

the IOTC provisions by CPCs vessels and administrations, and "suggested action" takes into account CPCs' responses and corrective actions to address compliance issues identified.

| Serious non-compliant | A CPC will be serious Non-Compliant with an obligation if any of the following have occurred, as applicable: a. exceeding quantitative limit established by the Commission; b. repeated failure to provide nominal catch data, including zero catches, for one or more species for a given year; c. failure to submit the standard questionnaire on compliance; d. failure to report back on how previous instances of non-compliance have been addressed; e. repeated non-compliance with an obligation for two or more consecutively assessed years; or f. any other non-compliance identified as Serious Non-Compliance by the Commission. | In addition to the remedies applicable for the cases of non-compliant, the Commission will determine additional remedies/corrective actions to address serious issues of non-compliance, including possible sanctions. | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Additional information needed | Where there exists no/insufficient information for verification and assessment of CPC compliance | Review by the Compliance Committee and Commission and seek further information and action(s) by the CPC | |
| CMM Review | There is lack of clarity on the requirement of an obligation | The Commission shall review that obligation and clarify its requirements | |
| N/A | The measure does not apply to the CPC | | |





TABLE 2. Assessment criteria for the compliance report

| Rec. | Para. | Obligation | Status of Compliance | Result of the assessment (examples) | Action / Follow-up |
|---|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Resolution 17/05 | Res. 17/05 | Transposition into national legislation | Compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ including reference to national legislation | No action required |
| On the conservation of sharks caught in association | | | Non-compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ no legal reference provided, conflicting information between IR/CQ; no information provided; no transposition into national legislation | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| with fisheries managed by IOTC | | | Serious non- compliant | No information provided or no transposition into national legislation for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | It is not clear from the information provided whether the measure has been transposed or not | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | This measure would apply to all CPCs so in this case this is not applicable | No action required |
| | 3a | Sharks landed fresh: | Compliant | No evidence of finning | No action required |
| | | CPCs shall prohibit the removal of shark fins on board vessels. CPCs shall prohibit the landing, retention onboard, transhipment and carrying of shark fins which are not naturally attached to the shark carcass until the first point of landing. | Non-compliant | Evidence (e.g. Observer reports, inspections) revealed than finning occurred on board vessels. | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | | Serious non- compliant | Evidence (e.g. Observer reports, inspections) revealed than finning occurred on board vessels, for at least 2 consecutive years. | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | | No action taken and/or reported on measures taken to correct infringement | |
| | | | Additional information required | There is some ambiguity on whether the CPC has respected the obligation and clarification is needed | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | The obligation is clear, this category in this case would not apply | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC does not land fresh sharks. | No action required |
| | 3b | Sharks landed frozen: CPCs shall require their vessels to not have on | Compliant | Evidence has been given on the compliance and no infringement has been detected | No action required |

| Rec. | Para. | Obligation | Status of Compliance | Result of the assessment (examples) | Action / Follow-up |
|------|-------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | | board fins that total more than 5% of the weight of sharks on board, up to the first point of landing. | Non-compliant | Evidence (e.g. Observer reports, inspections) revealed fins on board for more than 5% of the weight of sharks on board. | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | politico i analingi | Serious non- compliant | Observer reports, inspections revealed fins on board for more than 5% of the weight of sharks on board for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | There is some ambiguity on whether the CPC has respected the obligation and clarification is needed | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC does not land frozen sharks | No action required |
| | 6 | CPCs shall report data for catches of sharks no later than 30 June of the following year, including all available historical data, estimates and life status of discards (dead or alive) and size frequencies. | Compliant | Has provided sharks NC by gears, by species | No action required |
| | | | Non-compliant | Has provided sharks NC but some gear missing/aggregated and/or some species aggregated; no information provided | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | | Serious non- compliant | No information provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC is not an IOTC Coastal State and no vessels in the IOTC record of authorised vessels. | No action required |
| | 7 | CPCs shall prohibit the purchase, offer for sale and sale of shark fins which have been removed on-board, retained on-board, transhipped or landed, in contravention to this Resolution. | Compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ including reference to national legislation and no infringement detected. | No action required |
| | | | Non-compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ no legal reference provided, conflicting information between IR/CQ; No information provided; Infringement detected. | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | | Serious non- compliant | No information provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | It is not clear from the information provided whether the measure has been transposed or not | Review by COC |

| Rec. | Para. | Obligation | Status of Compliance | Result of the assessment (examples) | Action / Follow-up |
|--|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC does not land frozen sharks | No action required |
| | 11 | CPCs shall undertake research to a) identify ways to make fishing gears | Compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ including reference to national program of research showing compliance with the obligation | No action required |
| | | more selective, where appropriate, including research into the effectiveness of prohibiting wire | Non-compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ with no reference provided, conflicting information between IR/CQ, No information provided. No compliance with the obligation. | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | leaders; b) improve knowledge on key biological/ecological | Serious non- compliant | No information provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | parameters, life- history and behavioural traits, migration patterns of key shark species; c) identify key shark mating, pupping and nursery areas; and d) improve handling practices for live sharks to maximise post-release survival. | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC is not an IOTC Coastal State and no vessels in the IOTC record of authorised vessels. | No action required |
| Resolution 17/04 | Res. 17/04 | Transposition into national legislation | Compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ including reference to national legislation | No action required |
| On a ban on discards of bigeye tuna, skipjack | | | Non-compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ no legal reference provided, conflicting information between IR/CQ, No information provided | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| tuna, yellowfin tuna, and non-targeted species | | | Serious non- compliant | No information provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| caught by purse seine vessels in | | | Additional information required | It is not clear from the information provided whether the measure has been transposed or not | Review by COC |
| the IOTC area of competence | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| μ 21330 | | | Not applicable | No PS vessel on the IOTC record of authorised vessels | No action required |
| | 1 | CPCs shall require all purse seine | Compliant Non-compliant | (Recording of discard not mandatory according to resolution 15/02 making | - |

| Rec. | Para. | Obligation | Status of Compliance | Result of the assessment (examples) | Action / Follow-up |
|--|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | | vessels to retain on board and then land all | Serious non- compliant | the exercise of compliance on the implementation impossible) | - |
| | | bigeye tuna, skipjack tuna, and yellowfin tuna caught, except fish considered unfit | Additional information required | | |
| | | fish considered until | CMM review | Need to amend Resolution 15/02 | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | No PS vessel on the IOTC record of authorised vessels | No action required |
| | 5 | Where the captain of the vessel determines that fish should not be | Compliant | Information recorded in the logbook (estimated tonnage, species of discarded and retained fish) | No action required |
| | | retained on board in accordance with Clause 4.b (i) and (ii), the captain shall record the event in the | Non-compliant | Information recorded in the logbook but partial; No information recorded in the logbook | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | relevant logbook including estimated tonnage and species composition of discarded fish; and estimated tonnage and species composition of retained fish from that | Serious non- compliant | No information provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | set. | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | No PS vessel on the IOTC record of authorised vessels | No action required |
| Resolution 13/06 | Res. 13/06 | Transposition into national legislation | Compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ including reference to national legislation | No action required |
| On a scientific and management framework | | | Non-compliant | Information provided in IR/CQ no legal reference provided, conflicting information between IR/CQ; No information provided | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| on the conservation of shark species caught in | | | Serious non- compliant | No information provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| association with IOTC managed | | | Additional information required | It is not clear from the information provided whether the measure has been transposed or not | Review by COC |
| fisheries | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC is not an IOTC Coastal State and no vessels in the IOTC record of authorised vessels | No action required |

| Rec. | Para. | Obligation | Status of Compliance | Result of the assessment (examples) | Action / Follow-up |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 3 | CPCs shall prohibit, as an interim pilot measure, all fishing vessels flying their flag | Compliant | Nominal catch data reveal no transhipment or landing of oceanic whitetip sharks. No infringement detected. | No action required |
| | | and on the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels, or authorised to fish for tuna or tuna-like species | Non-compliant | Nominal catch data reveal transhipment or landing of oceanic whitetip sharks or no information is provided. Infringement detected. | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | managed by the IOTC on the high seas to retain onboard, tranship, land or store | Serious non- compliant | Nominal catch data reveal transhipment or landing of oceanic whitetip sharks or no information is provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | any part or whole carcass of oceanic whitetip sharks with the exception of | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | paragraph 7. The provisions of this | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | measure do not apply to artisanal fisheries operating exclusively in their respective Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) for the purpose of local consumption. | Not applicable | State and no vessels in the IOTC record of authorised vessels | No action required | |
| | 8 | The CPCs, especially those targeting sharks, shall submit data for sharks, as required by IOTC data reporting procedures. | See reporting o | obligation resolution 17/05, paragraph 6. | |
| Resolution 11/04 | 2 | At least 5 % of the number of | Compliant | CPC has provided coverage => 5% for vessel >24 m | No action required |
| On a Regional Observer Scheme | | operations/sets for each gear type by the fleet of each CPC while fishing in the IOTC area of competence of 24 meters overall length and over shall be covered by this observer scheme. | Non-compliant | CPC has provided coverage but below 5% for vessel >24 m.; CPC has not provided information on coverage. | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | | Serious non- compliant | Coverage below 5% or no information provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | It is not clear from the information provided whether the measure has been complied with or not | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC has no vessel > 24m in the record of authorised vessels or active | No action required |

| Rec. | Para. | Obligation | Status of Compliance | Result of the assessment (examples) | Action / Follow-up |
|------|-------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|
| | 2 | At least 5 % of the number of | Compliant | CPC has provided coverage => 5% for vessel < 24 m | No action required |
| | | operations/sets for each gear type by the fleet of each CPC for vessels under 24 | Non-compliant | CPC has provided coverage but below 5% for vessel<24 m; CPC has not provided information on coverage. | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | meters if they fish outside their Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) shall be covered by this observer scheme. | Serious non- compliant | Coverage below 5% or no information provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC has no vessel > 24m in the record of authorised vessels or active | No action required |
| | 4 | The number of the artisanal fishing vessels landings shall also be monitored at the landing place by field samplers. The indicative level of the coverage of the artisanal fishing vessels should progressively increase towards 5% of the total levels of vessel activity (i.e. total number of vessel trips or total number of vessels active). | Compliant | CPC has monitored and provided data on landings and coverage => 5 %. | No action required |
| | | | Non-compliant | CPC has not monitored or not provided data on landings and coverage < 5 %. CPC has not provided data on landing and information on coverage. | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | | Serious non- compliant | Coverage below 5% or no information provided for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC is not an IOTC coastal State | No action required |
| | 5 c | CPCs shall: | Compliant | No evidence of infringement | No action required |
| | | c) Take all necessary measures to ensure that observers are able to carry out their duties in a competent and safe manner; | Non-compliant | Evidence (e.g. in observer report) of infringements | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | | Serious non- compliant | One or several observer reports reveals important security issues, or important security issues have been reported for at least 2 consecutive years. | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |

| Rec. | Para. | Obligation | Status of Compliance | Result of the assessment (examples) | Action / Follow-up |
|------|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | Not applicable | CPC has no vessel in the record of authorised vessels or active | No action required |
| | 5 d | CPCs shall: d) Endeavour to ensure that the observers alternate vessels between their | Compliant | Evidence provided that efforts have been made to ensure that observers alternate vessels between assignments and they did not perform duties other than those of paras 10 and 11 | No action required |
| | | assignments. Observers are not to perform duties, other than those described | Non-compliant | In more than 10% of the case, observers did not alternate vessels | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | in paragraphs 10 and 11 below; NOT SURE THAT THIS IS A REAL OBLIGATION | Serious non- compliant | In more than 10% of the case, observers did not alternate vessels, for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | AS IT'S ONLY "ENDEVOUR" | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC has no vessel in the record of authorised vessels or active | No action required |
| | 5 e | cPCs shall: e) Ensure that the vessel on which an observer is placed shall provide suitable food and lodging during the observer's deployment | Compliant | Evidence provided that the obligation is being complied with/ No infringement detected | No action required |
| | | | Non-compliant | Infringement are detected, e.g. an observer report reveals cooperation issues | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | at the same level as the officers, where possible. Vessel masters shall ensure that all necessary cooperation is | Serious non- compliant | One or several observer reports reveals important cooperation issues, or important cooperation issues have been reported for at least 2 consecutive years. | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | e ii c s p r r | extended to observers in order for them to carry out their duties safely including providing access, as required, to the retained catch, and catch which is intended to be discarded. | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | CPC has no vessel in the record of authorised vessels or active | No action required |
| | 9 | CPCs shall provide to the IOTC Executive Secretary and the IOTC | Compliant | CPC has provided the report of the No. of vessels monitored and coverage by gear type | No action required |
| | | Scientific Committee annually a report of | Non-compliant | CPC has not provided the report of the No. of vessels monitored and coverage | No action needed / illustration of |

| Rec. | Para. | Obligation | Status of Compliance | Result of the assessment (examples) | Action / Follow-up |
|------|-------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|
| | | the number of vessels monitored and the coverage achieved by gear type in accordance with the | | by gear type, CPC has provided only one of the information No. of vessels monitored and coverage by gear type. CPC has not provided the No. of vessels monitored and coverage by gear type | corrective action needed |
| | | provisions of this Resolution. | Serious non- compliant | CPC has not provided the No. of vessels monitored and coverage by gear type for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | | Additional information required | | Review by COC |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | The CPCs shall send within 150 days at the latest each report, as far as continuous flow of report from observer placed on the longline fleet is ensured | Not applicable | CPC has no vessel in the record of authorised vessels or active | No action required |
| | 11 | | Compliant | CPC has provided observer reports within the deadline and in the format required | No action required |
| | | | Non-compliant | CPC has provided observer report but not according to the template, or late or with substantial amount of information missing. CPC has not provided observer reports | No action needed / illustration of corrective action needed |
| | | | Serious non- compliant | CPC has not provided observer reports for at least 2 consecutive years | Illustration of corrective action and/or additional remedies required |
| | | Additional information required | | Review by COC | |
| | | | CMM review | | Review by the Commission |
| | | | Not applicable | | No action required |
| | | | Compliant | CPC has no active vessel in the record of authorised vessels | No action required |