

## IOTC-2019-CoC16-FL15[E]-MYS

Below are the feedbacks regarding compliance issues 2018:-

ISSUES	MALAYSIA FEEDBACK
Has not fully implemented the requirement for marking of vessels, as required by Resolution 15/04.	The requirement under the Resolution has been addressed in the licence and ATF.
Has not reported size frequency to IOTC Standard for the coastal fisheries, as required by Resolution 15/02.	The size frequencies for the coastal fisheries and longline fisheries have been submitted to the secretariat. Malaysia has now met the requirement under the Resolution 15/02.
Has not reported size frequency for the longline fisheries, as required by Resolution 15/02.	
Has not reported Nominal catch on sharks to IOTC Standard, as required by Resolution 17/05.	There is no interaction with shark reported by the Malaysia AFVs in 2017. Although sharks are not a target species, the requirements under the Resolution have been addressed in the licence conditions and ATF. All Malaysian fishing vessels operating in the IOTC area of competence have been notified regarding the requirements of this Resolution and they have been required to report catch of sharks in their logbook.
Has not reported Catch and effort on sharks to IOTC Standard, as required by Resolution 17/05.	
Has not reported Size frequency on sharks, as required by Resolution 17/05.	
Has not provided the data on interactions with cetaceans (all gears), as required by Resolution 13/04.	There is no interaction with cetaceans reported by Malaysian AFVs in 2017. The requirements under the Resolution have been addressed in the licence conditions and ATF.
Has not provided the data on interactions with whale sharks (all gears), as required by Resolution 13/05.	There is no interaction with whale sharks reported by Malaysian AFVs in 2017. The requirements under the Resolution have been addressed in the licence conditions and ATF.
Has not implemented the observer scheme, no deployment, as required by Resolution 11/04.	Malaysia had requested assistance from countries who have developed and implemented regional observer scheme. However, due to the limited numbers of port inspectors, the request could not be granted to Malaysia. Subsequently, Malaysia wrote to IOTC to request for the assistance.
Has not implemented the 5% coverage mandatory at sea, as required by Resolution 11/04.	
Has not provided observer report, as required by Resolution 11/04.	Although Malaysia has yet to conduct Observer scheme as required by Resolution 11/04, there are 6 fishing vessels involved in a programme for Transshipment by large-scale fishing vessels which indirectly being monitored by observer.
Has not provided inspection reports, as required by Resolution 16/11	Malaysia has submitted 10 Inspection reports to the secretariat via e-PSM system.

<p>Has not inspected at least 5% of landing/transshipment, as required by Resolution 16/11</p>	
<p>Has not provided the data on interactions with seabirds, as required by Resolution 12/06.</p>	<p>There is no interaction with seabirds and marine turtles reported by Malaysian AFVs in 2017. The requirements under the Resolution have been addressed in the licence conditions and ATF.</p>
<p>Has not provided the data on interactions with marine turtles (all gears), as required by Resolution 12/04.</p>	