

#	Feedback from IOTC	Response from India
1.	<i>Has not fully implemented the requirement on documents listed in this resolution on board, as required by Resolution 15/04</i>	The Indian authorised fishing vessels carry the required document on-board as per Resolution 15/04.
2.	<i>Has not fully implemented the requirement on Marking of vessels, as required by Resolution 15/04</i>	The Indian authorised fishing vessels fully comply with the marking requirement for vessels as provided for in the Resolution 15/04.
3.	<i>Has not fully implemented the requirement on Marking of gears, as required by Resolution 15/04</i>	The Indian authorised fishing vessels fully comply with the marking requirements for gear as provided for in the Resolution 15/04.
4.	<i>Has not fully implemented the requirement on Logbook on board, as required by Resolution 15/04</i>	The Indian authorised fishing vessels fully comply with the requirement of logbook on board as provided for in the Resolution 15/04.
5.	<i>Has not fully implemented the requirement on Official authorisation to fish outside National Jurisdictions, as required by Resolution 15/04.</i>	The Government of India has not authorised any fishing vessel to operate outside national jurisdictions.
6.	<i>Has not fully implemented the requirement on IMO number for eligible vessels, as required by Resolution 15/04</i>	The authorised fishing vessels have not been permitted to fish outside national jurisdiction, hence IMO number not required.
7.	<i>Has not fully implemented the requirement on Official fishing logbooks, as required by Resolution 15/01</i>	The Indian authorised fishing vessels fully comply with the requirement of official fishing logbook as provided for in the Resolution 15/01.
8.	<i>Has not provided the Report on methods for achieving the YFT catch reductions, as required by Resolution 17/01 (Has declared not applicable)</i>	India still considers implementation of resolution 17/01 as 'NOT APPLICABLE'. Explanation- India has no purse seine fleet and supply vessels of more than 24m OAL, or tuna fishing vessels of less than 24m OAL authorized to fish beyond the national jurisdiction. There were only four authorized tuna long liners to fish within the EEZ during 2017.

9.	<i>Has not provided the List of Active vessels in 2017, as required by Resolution 10/08</i>	India has provided the List of Active Vessels of 2017 vide email sent by Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 6 April 2018.
10.	<i>Has not provided a List of Authorized vessels 24 metres in length overall or more to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 15/04</i>	India has provided the List of Active Vessels of 2017 vide email sent by Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 6 April 2018.
11.	<i>Has not provided the List of Authorized vessels less than 24m, operating in waters outside EEZ of the flag state (Has 2 vessels operating in ABNJ), as required by Resolution 15/04</i>	India has not authorized any vessel of less than 24 metres in length overall to operate in waters outside the Indian EEZ.
12.	<i>Has not adopted VMS for all vessels > 24 m and < 24 m fishing high seas, as required by Resolution 15/03</i>	All the four authorised vessels are monitored using Automatic Identification System (AIS) etc. Further no fishing vessel < 24 meter is authorised to fish in the high seas.
13.	<i>Has not provided the VMS report on implementation and technical failures in 2016, as required by Resolution 15/03</i>	All the four authorised vessels are monitored using AIS etc.
14.	<i>Has not provided the VMS implementation plan, as required by Resolution 15/03</i>	The Plan for setting up of VMS is under consideration.
15.	<i>Has not reported Nominal Catch for Longline fisheries to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 15/02</i>	India has provided the mandatory data in the prescribed format to the IOTC in respect of longline fisheries vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 09 January 2019.
16.	<i>Has not reported Catch & Effort for Coastal fisheries, as required by Resolution 15/02</i>	India has provided the catch & effort data on coastal fisheries in the prescribed format to the IOTC vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 09 January 2019.
17.	<i>Has not reported Catch & Effort for Longline fisheries to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 15/02</i>	India has provided the catch & effort data on longline fisheries in the prescribed format to the IOTC vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 09 January 2019.
18.	<i>Has not reported Size Frequency for Coastal fisheries to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 15/02</i>	While India regularly collects size frequency data for coastal fisheries as per standard procedures, the same might not have been reported to IOTC due to oversight.
19.	<i>Has not reported Size Frequency for Longline fisheries, as required by Resolution 15/02</i>	India has provided the size frequency data on longline fisheries vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 09 January 2019.
20.	<i>Has not reported data regarding Sharks – Nominal catch to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 17/05</i>	India has provided the nominal catch of sharks by longline fisheries vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 09 January 2019.

21.	<i>Has not reported data regarding Sharks – Catch & effort, as required by Resolution 17/05</i>	India has provided the catch and effort data of sharks by longline fisheries vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 09 January 2019.
22.	<i>Has not reported data regarding Sharks – Size frequency, as required by Resolution 17/05</i>	India has provided the size frequency data for sharks from longline fisheries vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 09 January 2019.
23.	<i>Has not fully implemented the Prohibition on thresher sharks of all the species of the family Alopiidae, as required by Resolution 12/09</i>	A research project is under preparation by the Fishery Survey of India to look into the various aspects of its conservation and management.
24.	<i>Has not provided the Data on interactions with marine turtles to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 12/04</i>	India has legislation protecting all the five species of marine turtles.
25.	<i>Has not provided the Data on interactions with Cetaceans, as required by Resolution 13/04</i>	India has legislation to protect all the marine cetaceans.
26.	<i>Has not provided the Data on interactions with Whale Sharks, as required by Resolution 13/05</i>	India has legislation to protect whale sharks.
27.	<i>IUU Listing - had 10 vessels included on the IOTC IUU list in 2017, Resolution 17/03</i>	India has provided action taken report on the Indian flagged vessels presumed to have carried out IUU fishing to IOTC vide emails dated 24 April, 2018 sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India. Of the ten vessels, nine have already been de-listed from the IUU list during inter-session period.
28.	<i>Compliance by nationals - had 10 vessels with nationals included on the IOTC IUU list in 2017, no information on actions against the crew, Resolution 17/03</i>	The matter of action against crew is being examined in consultation with the Ministry of Law & Justice, Government of India.
29.	<i>ROP fee – has an outstanding due in 2016, Resolution 17/06.</i>	Outstanding due, if any, is being examined and the outcome will be communicated separately.
30.	<i>Has not implemented the Regional Observer Scheme, No. of vessels monitored and coverage by gear type, as required by Resolution 11/04</i>	All the four authorized vessels have scientific observers on-board with the task of monitoring/ recording the catch and other parameters.
31.	<i>Has not implemented the 5% Mandatory coverage at sea (All vessels), as required by Resolution 11/04</i>	India provides 100% observer coverage.
32.	<i>Has not provided Observer reports, as required by Resolution 11/04</i>	The reports of the exploratory surveys undertaken by the four authorized vessels are compiled and submitted to IOTC annually by way of National Reports and the additional data provided separately.

33. <i>Has reported at sea transshipment in 2016, no name of LSTLVs provided, no request for observer deployment submitted, as required by Resolution 17/06</i>	The matter is being examined in consultation with the other concerned agencies and the outcome will be communicated separately.
---	---