



**IOTC Compliance Report for MALAYSIA (Compliance Committee Session 8, 2011)** **CoC30rev1 [E]**

1. General information		
<b>Status:</b> Member since May 1998	<b>Catch of IOTC species (average 2005-2008):</b> 21,889 t	<p>Trends in catches of IOTC species</p>
<b>Number of authorised vessels:</b> 55	<b>Large tunas:</b> 2,577 t	
<b>A Fleet Development Plan exists:</b> Yes	<b>Billfish:</b> 398 t	
<b>Number of active vessels reported:</b> 59 (2009)	<b>Neritic tuna:</b> 18,915 t	
<b>Baseline capacity for tropical tunas available:</b> Yes		
<b>Baseline capacity for albacore and swordfish available:</b> No		

2. Annual Reports	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at the SC13, CoC 07 and 14 <sup>th</sup> Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
2.1. Level of participation in the Commission meetings.	<b>Compliant</b>	83%	Create an assistant fund to facilitate participation of scientists in the SC, WGs and meetings of the Commission.	
2.2. Report of Implementation	<b>Non-compliant</b>	Has not submitted its Report of Implementation for the 14 <sup>th</sup> of the Commission.	CPCs that did not submit their national report should fulfil their obligation as soon as possible.	
2.3. Level of participation in the Scientific Committee meetings.	<b>Non-compliant</b>	15%	Create an assistant fund to facilitate participation of scientists in the SC, WGs and meetings of the Commission.	
2.4. National Report to the Scientific Committee	<b>Non-compliant</b>	Has not submitted its National Report for the 13 <sup>th</sup> of the SC.	The SC recalled that it was agreed at the 4th session that all CPCs would provide written national reports to the SC even when not attending the meeting.	
2.5. IOTC Compliance Questionnaire	<b>Non-compliant</b>	Has not submitted its Compliance Questionnaire.	The Compliance Committee will now review annually the compliance of each CPC with the conservation and management measures adopted by the Commission.	

3. Resolutions on control of fishing capacity and flag State responsibilities	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 <sup>th</sup> Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
3.1. Res. 09/02 and earlier resolutions: On Fleet Development Plan (FDP).	<b>Partially comply</b>	Has submitted a FDP in April 2008 and resubmitted the same FDP in December 2010. <i>No capacity value is provided for the vessels in the FDP.</i>	A new deadline for submission of FDPs and revised FDPs was set for the 31 <sup>st</sup> December 2010; unless the concerned CPCs indicated an earlier deadline.	
3.2. Res. 09/02 and earlier resolutions: On the limitation of fishing capacity.	<b>Partially comply</b>	Has reported its list of active vessels targeting tropical tunas during 2006. Has not reported its list of active vessels targeting swordfish and albacore during 2007.	CPCs were encouraged to submit the missing information within the shortest possible time.	
3.3. Res. 10/08 and earlier resolutions: On monitoring of domestic vessels.	<b>Compliant</b>	Last reported a list of 59 active vessels for 2009.	CPCs were encouraged to submit the missing information within the shortest possible time.	

3. Resolutions on control of fishing capacity and flag State responsibilities	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 <sup>th</sup> Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
3.4. Res.06/03: On a satellite-based vessel monitoring system (VMS).	<b>Compliant</b>	A VMS exist.		
3.5. Res. 10/07 and earlier resolutions: On licensing of foreign vessels.	<b>Partially comply</b>	Last reported a list of licensed foreign vessels for 2006. <i>Data reported is not to IOTC standard the licensed vessel was flagged to a non-IOTC CPC.</i>	The Committee expressed great concern about the low levels of compliance of some CPC and urged them to provide the information required within the shortest time possible	
3.6. Res. 07/02: On the IOTC Record of Vessels Authorised to operate in the IOTC Area.	<b>Partially comply</b>	Currently have 55 fishing vessels. <i>Some mandatory information missing and some not to IOTC standard.</i>	The Committee also reiterated the importance of reporting vessel volume as Gross Tonnage (GT) instead of GRT, as GT is the standard measurement of vessel volume, in accordance with Resolution 07/02.	
3.7. Res. 09/03: On establishing a list of vessels presumed to have carried out illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing in the IOTC Area.	<b>Partially comply</b>	Has not provided any information to list vessels on the 2011 Draft IUU Vessels List. None of its vessels have been proposed for the 2011 Draft IUU Vessels List. Has one vessel in the 2010 IOTC IUU Vessels List. <i>Has not provided their opinion on requests to remove two vessels listed in the 2010 IOTC IUU Vessels List.</i>		
3.8. Res. 08/02: On a Regional Observer Programme (ROP) to monitor at-sea transshipments.		Is not participating in the ROP. Nonetheless, a Malaysian vessel has transhipped under the IOTC ROP.	All at-sea transshipments should be in conformity with the IOTC regional observer programme for monitoring at-sea transshipments.	
3.9. Res. 01/02 and 05/07: Concerning Management Standards and controls of fishing vessels.		No information has been provided on the level of implementation during 2009.		
4. Resolutions relating to scientific data	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 <sup>th</sup> Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
4.1. Res. 10/02 and earlier resolution, plus other related resolutions (R.05/05; 09/06 and 10/05 <sup>06</sup> ): On Mandatory Statistical Requirements.	<b>Partially comply</b>	Nominal catch – Data partially submitted. Catch and effort – Data partially submitted. Size frequency – Data partially submitted By-catch of sharks – Data partially submitted.	The Committee reiterated its concern that many data sets received from Members were incomplete, in particular catch-and-effort and size frequency data. The Committee urged all CPCs to take the necessary steps to meet IOTC fisheries data requirements.	
	<b>Non-compliant</b>	By-catch of marine turtles – Data not submitted. By-catch of seabirds - Data not submitted.		
4.2. Res. 10/04 and earlier resolution: On a Regional Observer Scheme.	<b>Non-compliant</b>	Has not provided information on the level of implementation.		

<b>4. Resolutions relating to scientific data</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Observations</b>	<b>Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14<sup>th</sup> Commission meeting</b>	<b>Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08</b>
4.3. Res. 10/03 (PS) & Res. 08/04 (LL) On the Recording of catch by fishing vessels.	<b>Non-compliant</b>	Has not reported information for 2009.		
4.4. Res. 10/06 On Reducing incidental bycatch of sea-birds in longline fisheries.	<b>Non-compliant</b>	Has not provided information on the level of implementation.	Resolution 10/06, which supersedes Res. 08/03, increased the range of applicability of the mitigation measures.	
<b>5. Resolutions relating to port State controls</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Observations</b>	<b>Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14<sup>th</sup> Commission meeting</b>	<b>Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08</b>
5.1. Res. 05/03: The establishment of an IOTC programme of inspection in port.	<b>Non-compliant</b>	Has not reported a list of foreign vessels, which have landed IOTC species in its port(s).	The Committee expressed great concern about the low levels of compliance of some CPC and urged them to provide the information required within the shortest time possible	
5.2. Res. 10/11: Port State measures to prevent, deter and eliminate IUU fishing.	<b>Non-compliant</b>	Has not provided information on its designated port(s)		
<b>6. Resolutions relating to market measures</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Observations</b>	<b>Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14<sup>th</sup> Commission meeting</b>	<b>Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08</b>
6.1. Res. 01/06; 03/03: Concerning the IOTC bigeye tuna statistical document programme.		List of institution(s) and individual(s) authorised to validate IOTC statistical documents has not been submitted.		
6.2. Res. 10/10. Concerning Market Related Measures.		No CPCs have reported products having been landed or transhipped by Malaysian vessels in their ports that would have resulted in a proposed identification of Malaysia.		
<b>7. Other Issues</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Observations</b>	<b>Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14<sup>th</sup> Commission meeting</b>	<b>Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08</b>
7.1. There are no other issues to report on for Malaysia.				