




IOTC Compliance Report for URUGUAY (Compliance Committee Session 8, 2011) CoC42rev1 | E |

1. General information	
Status: Cooperating non-Contracting Party since May 2007 Number of authorised vessels: 0 A Fleet Development Plan exists: No Number of active vessels reported: 1 (2006) Baseline capacity for tropical tunas available: Yes Baseline capacity for albacore and swordfish available: No	Catch of IOTC species (average 2005-2008): 36 t Large tunas: 10 t Billfish: 26 t Neritic tuna: 0 t



2. Annual Reports	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 th Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
2.1. Level of participation in the Commission meetings.	Non-compliant	25%	Create an assistant fund to facilitate participation of scientists in the SC, WGs and meetings of the Commission.	
2.2. Report of Implementation	Non-compliant	Has not submitted its Report of Implementation for the 14 th of the Commission.	CPCs that did not submit their national report should fulfil their obligation as soon as possible.	
2.3. Level of participation in the Scientific Committee meetings.	Non-compliant	0%	Create an assistant fund to facilitate participation of scientists in the SC, WGs and meetings of the Commission.	
2.4. National Report to the Scientific Committee	Non-compliant	Has not submitted its National Report for the 13 th of the SC.	The SC recalled that it was agreed at the 4 th session that all CPCs would provide written national reports to the SC even when not attending the meeting.	
2.5. IOTC Compliance Questionnaire	Non-compliant	Has not submitted its Compliance Questionnaire.	The Compliance Committee will now review annually the compliance of each CPC with the conservation and management measures adopted by the Commission.	

3. Resolutions on control of fishing capacity and flag State responsibilities	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 th Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
3.1. Res. 09/02 and earlier resolutions: On Fleet Development Plan (FDP).		Has not submitted a FDP.	A new deadline for submission of FDPs and revised FDPs was set for the 31 st December 2010; unless the concerned CPCs indicated an earlier deadline.	
3.2. Res. 09/02 and earlier resolutions: On the limitation of fishing capacity.	Partially comply	Has reported its list of active vessels targeting tropical tunas during 2006. Has not reported a list of active vessels targeting swordfish and albacore during 2007.	CPCs were encouraged to submit the missing information within the shortest possible time.	
3.3. Res. 10/08 and earlier resolutions: On monitoring of domestic vessels.	Partially comply	Last reported a list of one active vessel for 2006.	CPCs were encouraged to submit the missing information within the shortest possible time.	
3.4. Res.06/03: On a satellite-based vessel monitoring system (VMS).	Non-compliant	No information on if a VMS exists.		

3. Resolutions on control of fishing capacity and flag State responsibilities	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 th Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
3.5. Res. 10/07 and earlier resolutions: On licensing of foreign vessels.	Not applicable	<i>Not an IOTC coastal State</i>	The Committee expressed great concern about the low levels of compliance of some CPC and urged them to provide the information required within the shortest time possible	
3.6. Res. 07/02: On the IOTC Record of Vessels Authorised to operate in the IOTC Area.	Non-compliant	Does not have any vessels on the Record of Authorised Vessels. <i>Had reported an active vessel in the IOTC Area in 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2006.</i>	The Committee also reiterated the importance of reporting vessel volume as Gross Tonnage (GT) instead of GRT, as GT is the standard measurement of vessel volume, in accordance with Resolution 07/02.	
3.7. Res. 09/03: On establishing a list of vessels presumed to have carried out illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing in the IOTC Area.		Has not provided any information to list vessels on the 2011 Draft IUU Vessels List. None of its vessels have been proposed for the 2011 Draft IUU Vessels List.		
3.8. Res. 08/02: On a Regional Observer Programme (ROP) to monitor at-sea transhipments.		Is not participating in the ROP.	All at-sea transhipments should be in conformity with the IOTC regional observer programme for monitoring at-sea transhipments.	
3.9. Res. 01/02 and 05/07: Concerning Management Standards and controls of fishing vessels.		No information has been provided on the level of implementation during 2009.		
4. Resolutions relating to scientific data	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 th Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
4.1. Res. 10/02 and earlier resolution, plus other related resolutions (R.05/05; 09/06 and 10/05/06): On Mandatory Statistical Requirements.	Not applicable Non-compliant	<i>Does not have an active fleet in the IOTC Area. Nominal catch – Data not submitted. Catch and effort – Data not submitted. Size frequency – Data not submitted. By-catch of sharks – Data not submitted. By-catch of marine turtles – Data not submitted. By-catch of seabirds – Data not submitted.</i>	The Committee reiterated its concern that many data sets received from Members were incomplete, in particular catch-and-effort and size frequency data. The Committee urged all CPCs to take the necessary steps to meet IOTC fisheries data requirements.	
4.2. Res. 10/04 and earlier resolution: On a Regional Observer Scheme.	Not applicable Non-compliant	<i>Does not have an active fleet in the IOTC Area. Has not provided information on the level of implementation.</i>		
4.3. Res. 10/03 (PS) & Res. 08/04 (LL) On the Recording of catch by fishing vessels.	Not applicable Non-compliant	<i>Does not have an active fleet in the IOTC Area. Has not provided information on the level of implementation.</i>		

4. Resolutions relating to scientific data	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 th Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
4.4. Res. 10/06 On Reducing incidental bycatch of sea-birds in longline fisheries.	Not applicable Non-compliant	<i>Does not have an active fleet in the IOTC Area. Has not reported information for 2009.</i>	Resolution 10/06, which supersedes Res. 08/03, increased the range of applicability of the mitigation measures.	
5. Resolutions relating to port State controls	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 th Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
5.1. Res. 05/03: The establishment of an IOTC programme of inspection in port.	Not applicable	<i>Not an IOTC coastal State</i>	The Committee expressed great concern about the low levels of compliance of some CPC and urged them to provide the information required within the shortest time possible	
5.2. Res. 10/11: Port State measures to prevent, deter and eliminate IUU fishing.	Not applicable	<i>Not an IOTC coastal State</i>		
6. Resolutions relating to market measures	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 th Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
6.1. Res. 01/06; 03/03: Concerning the IOTC bigeye tuna statistical document programme.		List of institution(s) and individual(s) authorised to validate IOTC statistical documents has not been submitted		
6.2. Res. 10/10. Concerning Market Related Measures.		No CPCs have reported products having been landed or transhipped by Uruguayan vessels in their ports that would have resulted in a proposed identification of Uruguay.		
7. Other Issues	Status	Observations	Actions adopted at CoC 07 and 14 th Commission meeting	Progress Evaluation – Post CoC 08
7.1. There are no other issues to report on for Uruguay.				