

#	Feedback from IOTC	Response from India
1.	<i>Has not adopted VMS for all vessels > 24 m and < 24 m fishing high seas, as required by Resolution 15/03</i>	All the four authorised vessels are monitored using Automatic Identification System (AIS) etc. The status report on VMS implementation is submitted to the IOTC vide FSI's letter F.No.43-3/2020 Fy.II dated 21.8. 2020. Further no fishing vessel < 24 meter is authorised to fish in the high seas.
2.	<i>Has not provided the VMS report on implementation and technical failures in 2016, as required by Resolution 15/03.</i>	All the four authorised vessels are monitored using AIS etc. The status report on VMS implementation is submitted to the IOTC vide FSI's letter F.No.43-3/2020 Fy.II dated 21.8. 2020.
3.	<i>Has not provided the VMS implementation plan, as required by Resolution 15/03.</i>	The Plan for setting up of VMS is under consideration.
4.	<i>Has not reported Catch & Effort for Coastal fisheries, as required by Resolution 15/02.</i>	India has provided the catch & effort data on coastal fisheries in the prescribed format to the IOTC vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 30.06.2020.
5.	<i>Has not reported Size Frequency for Coastal fisheries to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 15/02.</i>	India regularly collects size frequency data for coastal fisheries as per standard procedures. Required data furnished in the Form_4SF (below 24 m OAL – 8 forms vide e.mail sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 30.06.2020.
6.	<i>Has not reported data regarding Sharks – Nominal catch to IOTC standard, as required by Resolution 17/05.</i>	India has provided the nominal catch of sharks by longline fisheries vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 30.06.2020.
7.	<i>Has not reported data regarding Sharks – Catch & effort, as required by Resolution 17/05.</i>	India has provided the catch and effort data of sharks vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 30 June, 2020.
8.	<i>Has not reported data regarding Sharks – Size frequency, as required by Resolution 17/05.</i>	Data of <i>Sharks – Size frequency</i> is being compiled and will be submitted shortly.
9.	<i>Has not provided the data on interactions with marine turtles on coastal fishery, as required by Resolution 12/04.</i>	The report has been submitted vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 30 June, 2020. Besides, a report on progress of implementation of the FAO Guidelines on this resolution 12/04 is also attached herewith. It may also be noted that all the five species of sea turtles occurring in the Indian EEZ, including the Olive Ridley turtles are protected under Schedule I of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 (WLPA, 1972).
10.	<i>Has not provided the data on interactions with Cetaceans, as required by Resolution 13/04</i>	The report has been submitted vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 30 June, 2020. India has legislation to protect all the marine cetaceans.
11.	<i>Has not provided the data on interactions with Whale Sharks, as required by Resolution 13/05.</i>	The report has been submitted vide email sent by the Director General, Fishery Survey of India dated 30 June, 2020. It may also be noted that India has legislation to

		protect whale sharks.
12.	<i>IUU Listing - had 1 vessel retained on the IOTC IUU list in 2018, Resolution 18/03.</i>	India has provided action taken report against one vessel during CoC16, and the same has already been delisted from IOTC IUU list.
13.	<i>ROP fee – has an outstanding due in 2016, Resolution 17/06.</i>	Outstanding due, if any, is being examined and the outcome will be communicated separately.
14.	<i>Has not provided Observer reports, as required by Resolution 11/04.</i>	The reports of the exploratory surveys undertaken by the four authorized vessels are compiled and submitted to IOTC annually by way of National Reports and the additional data will be provided separately.