



Review the possible infractions detected under the Regional Observer Programme in 2020

PREPARED BY: IOTC SECRETARIAT¹, 26 JANUARY 2021

PURPOSE

To provide participants at the Working Party on the Implementation of Conservation and Management Measures (WPICMM) with the list of possible infractions, against IOTC CMMs, detected under the Regional Observer Programme (ROP) in 2020 and as recorded by observers deployed under the at-sea transhipment programme.

BACKGROUND

The component 10, sub-component 10.1, of the work Plan of the WPICMM comprise the following activities:

10	Monitor the development of, and recommend actions for the list of Large Scale Tuna Longline Vessels (LSTLVs)/carrier vessels presumed to have committed infractions of IOTC CMMs, as recorded by observers deployed under the at-sea transhipment programme
10.1	List of possible infractions under the ROP
10.1.1	Review of the evidence to be presented on vessels presumed to have committed the infractions
10.1.2	Request for further evidence from the CPCs on the list of vessels presumed to have committed infractions
10.1.3	Recommend actions for the CoC on the list of vessels presumed to have committed infractions
10.1.4	Monitor the development of recommended actions on the vessels that have committed infractions
10.1.5	Recommend that the list of possible infraction under the ROP is presented to the WPICMM

DISCUSSION

The work plan of the Working Party on the Implementation of Conservation and Management measures (WPICMM), component 10 "Monitor the development of, and recommend actions for the list of Large Scale Tuna Longline Vessels (LSTLVs)/carrier vessels presumed to have committed infractions of IOTC CMMs, as recorded by observers deployed under the at-sea transhipment programme", sub-component 10.1 makes provision for CPCs to review the list of possible infractions detected under the ROP and Recommend actions for the CoC on the list of vessels presumed to have committed infractions (Activity 10.1.3).

In spite of the above, the Sixteenth Session of the Compliance Committee (CoC) made the following recommendation:

Notwithstanding the timing of the WPICMM meeting and the deadline for responses, the CoC **RECOMMENDED** that the responses to the possible infractions be analyse by the IOTC Secretariat, CoC16.08 (Para 31).

In view of the above recommendation the IOTC Secretariat has provided the list of possible infractions reported up to the 31th December 2020 (Annex I), in order to receive the results of the investigations by 15th January 2021, from the concerned fleets.

In line with the requirement of IOTC Resolution 19/06 *On establishing a programme for transhipment by large-scale fishing vessels*, this document provides a summary of possible infractions against IOTC Resolutions by Large Scale Tuna Longline Vessels (LSTLVs) and carrier vessels, as recorded by observers deployed under the Programme during 2020.

¹ IOTC-Secretariat@fao.org

Paragraph 26. The IOTC Secretariat shall, when providing CPCs with copies of all raw data, summaries and reports in accordance with paragraph 10 of **Annex IV** to this Resolution, also indicate evidence indicating possible infraction of IOTC regulations by LSTLVs/carrier vessels flagged to that CPC. Upon receiving such evidence, each CPC shall investigate the cases and report the results of the investigation back to the IOTC Secretariat three months prior to the IOTC Compliance Committee meeting. The IOTC Secretariat shall circulate among CPCs the list of names and flags of the LSTLVs/Carrier vessels that were involved in such possible infractions as well as the response of the flag CPCs 80 days prior to the IOTC Compliance Committee meeting.

The summary of possible infractions are presented under four distinct categories (Possible infractions relating to authorisation to fish (ATF); Possible infractions relating to Vessel Monitoring System (VMS); Possible infractions relating to fishing logbooks; Possible infractions relating to marking of fishing vessels; by fleets, in Table 1. Detailed information of these possible infractions are provided in Annex I. The observations that have been made by observers are in fulfilment of observer tasks, as provided for in Resolution 19/06.

Annex IV, Paragraph 5. The observer tasks shall be in particular to:

- a) On the Fishing Vessel intending to tranship to the carrier vessel and before the transhipment takes place, the observer shall:
 - i. check the validity of the fishing vessel's authorisation or licence to fish tuna and tuna like species in the IOTC Area of competence;
 - ii. check and note the total quantity of catch on board, and the quantity to be transferred to the carrier vessel;
 - iii. check that the VMS is functioning and examine the logbook;
 - iv. verify whether any of the catch on board resulted from transfers from other vessels, and check documentation on such transfers;
 - v. in the case of an indication that there are any violations involving the fishing vessel, immediately report the violations to the carrier vessel's master,
 - vi. report the results of these duties on the fishing vessel in the observers report.

In all, up to the 31th December 2020², a total of 59 possible infractions were recorded (2019: 178; 2018: 235), of which:

- 20 related to fishing logbook (2019: 33; 2018: 58),
- 19 related to marking of vessels (2019: 51; 2018: 51),
- 20 related to ATF (2019: 22; 2018: 32),
- 0 related to VMS (2019: 70; 2018: 68),

These were communicated to the concerned fleets participating in the Programme, as and when the concerned deployment reports were approved by the IOTC Secretariat.

Of the 59 possible infractions notified to the participating fleets, 58 (98%) responses were received. The fleets of Oman has not yet provided the response for the case observed, as indicated in Table 1. Five fleets, China, Japan, Korea and Taiwan, Province of China, have provided their responses before the deadline of 15th January 2021, and these are provided in paper IOTC-2021-WPICMM04-04b.

² <u>Note</u> observer deployment was suspended in March 2020 (Circular 2020-14) due to the COVID-19 pandemic consequently the number of possible infractions may not be reflective of what would be detected in a "normal" year.



Table 1 – Summary of possible infractions by category of possible infraction and by participating fleets in 2020.

		China	Taiwan, Province of China	Japan	Kenya	Korea	Malaysia	Oman	Seychelles	Total by Category
A the death of Eth (ATE)	Possible infractions	20								20
Authorisation to Fish (ATF)	Responses received	20								20
Vessel Monitoring System (VMS)	Possible infractions									
vesser Worldoning System (VWS)	Responses received									
	Possible infractions	2	5	4		1		1	7	20
Fishing Logbook	Responses received	2	5	4		1		0	7	19
	Possible infractions	9	9						1	19
Marking of vessel	Responses received	9	9						1	19
Taballandia	Possible infractions	31	14	4		1		1	8	59
Total by fleet	Responses received	31	14	4		1		0	8	58

No possible infraction notified

Fleet(s) with missing response(s) to possible infraction(s) notified





RECOMMENDATION

That the WPICMM04:

- 1) **NOTE** paper IOTC-2021-WPICMM04-04a.
- 2) **NOTE** that one CPC, Oman, has yet to submit the results of their investigations.
- 3) **NOTE** that five fleets, China, Japan, Korea, Seychelles and Taiwan, Province of China, have provided their responses before the deadline of 15th January 2020.







Annex I

List of possible infractions detected under the Regional Observer Programme in 2020

Rows highlighted in orange indicate that no response was received from the concerned fleet / Rows not highlighted indicate that a response was received from the concerned fleet.

CHINA

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking:	TAI HONG 6	610 - Seiyu	04/05/20	07/05/2020
Inspection comment:	The number 2 of the IRCS markings was parti	ally worn away. Enough to make the f	ull name not legible from a distance.		
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF ⊠Marking:	TAI XIANG 7	610 - Seiyu	04/05/20	07/05/2020
Inspection comment:	Markings on bow were partially obscured by	algal growth making them illegible at	a distance		
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking:	XIN SHI JI 37	610 - Seiyu	04/05/20	07/05/2020
Inspection comment:	Markings on stern were partially covered wit	n rust making them illegible at a dista	nce.		
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking:	XIN SHI JI 81	610 - Seiyu	04/05/20	07/05/2020
Inspection comment:	Markings on bow were partially covered with	rust making them illegible at a distan	ce.		
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking:	LU RONG YUAN YU 169	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:	The first two letters of the vessel name on t	he bow was partially worn and not leg	gible at a distance.	•	
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response

						10 T O Tu
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking:	LU RONG YUAN YU 875	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:	FT-200146	on the ATF differed from the NRN provided b is while the IOTC vessel list provided the current istoric records also did not show any NRN w	ent NRN (LU)CHUAN DENG (JI) (2014) F	T-200036 with the validity period as	•	
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 878	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:	"LU)CHUAN	J)CHUANDENG (JI) (2016) FT-200149" was pi DENG (JI) (2014) FT-200039" provided in the concurred with the NRN on the ATF	current IOTC list (validity 01/07/2018	to 31/03/2021). The IOTC historic re	cords also did r	not show any
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 879	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:	validity of 01/	he ATF differed from the observer's IOTC dat 07/2018 to 31/03/2021. The ATF supplied, p The IOTC historic records also did not show a	rovided the NRN as "(LU)CHUANDENG	(JI) (2016) FT-200150 with the ATF v	, , ,	
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 877	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:	200038" for tl	he ATF differed from the observer's IOTC dat he validity period of 01/07/2018 to 31/03/20 148". The IOTC historic records also did not	21. The ATF which reflected the same	validity period, provided the NRN as		-
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 880	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:		played the International Radio Call Sign (IRCS) the same IRCS as the one displayed on the sh	•	stent with the IRCS "BCFF4" provided	by the IOTC ve	essel list. The
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 880	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:	FT-200041"	U)CHUANDENG (JI) (2016) FT-200151" was li for the validity period 01/07/2018 to 31/03/ y NRN which concurred with the NRN on the	2021, which is the same as the validity	•	-	

				1010 2021	VVI ICIVIIVI	10-1 0-14
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 876	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:	provided by t	d in the ATF supplied was "(LU) CHUANDENG he IOTC vessel list. The validity period of 1/07 how any NRN which concurred with the NRN (/2018 to 31/03/2021 was provided by			
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 878	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment: Fleet:		F listed the NRN "(LU) CHUANDENG (JI) (2016) he IOTC vessel list for the same validity period on the ATF. Type of possible infraction:		, ,		
Tiect.		Type of possible infraction.	Name of vesser	of carrier vessel:	fleet	response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 879	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:		F listed the NRN "(LU) CHUANDENG (JI) (2016) he IOTC vessel list for the same validity period on the ATF				
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook ⊠ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 875	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:		F listed the NRN "(LU) CHUANDENG (JI) (2016) he IOTC vessel list for the same validity period on the ATF.		` ,		
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 880	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection of	comment:	The LSTLV displayed the IRCS "BCKF4" which	did not concur with the IRCS "BCFF4" p	provided by the IOTC vessel list		
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook ⊠ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 880	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020

Inspection comment:		ed the NRN as "(LU) CHUANDENG (JI) (2016) F7 the same validity period of 1/07/2018 to 31/0			• •	
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 877	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection comment:	200038" liste	U) CHUANDENG (JI) (2016) FT-200148" was lised by the IOTC vessel list for the validation per with the NRN on the ATF	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	* *		·
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 877	611 – Bao Win	23/06/20	29/06/2020
Inspection c	omment:	None of the logbook pages were not numbere	ed.			
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking □ :	LU RONG YUAN YU 877	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection comment:	"(LU)CHUAN E the IOTC webs "(LU)CHUAN E The LSTLV was	tional Registration Number (NRN) "(LU)CHUAN DENG (JI) (2014)FT-200038" provided by the IC site at the end of the deployment. The IOTC w DENG (JI) (2014)FT-200038" Is using the NRN "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2018)FT The Transhipment Declaration (TD)	OTC vessel list supplied to the observer ebsite provided the current NRN as "(L	at the start of the deployment. The U)CHUANDENG(JI)(2018)FT-200220'	NRN was also c ' and a historica	hecked on al NRN as
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 878	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection comment:	concur with IOTC online 200039"	poard reflected the NRN of the LSTLV as "(LU)0 the NRN "(LU)CHUAN DENG (JI) (2014)FT-2000 vessel list provided the current NRN as "(LU)Conaster was making use of the NRN "2018FT-20	039" listed by the vessel list provided to HUANDENG(JI)(2018)FT-200222" as we	o the observer at the start of the voy	age and also no	ot with the
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 880	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021

Inspection comment:		tional Radio Call Sign (IRCS) "BCKF4" was displayed by the IOTC vessel list. The IRCS "BCKF4" was		flected by the ATF. This did not corre	espond with the	e IRCS
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 880	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection comment:	to the observentury (LU)CHUAND 200041"(30/1	pard provided the NRN as "(LU)CHUANDENG(Jer at the start of the voyage provided the NRN DENG(JI)(2018)FT-200224" as the current NRN .0/2015 to 01/07/2020). CHUANDENG(JI)(2016)FT-200151" was used a	I "(LU)CHUAN DENG (JI) (2014)FT-2000- (02/07/2020 to 31/03/2021) and the h	41" while the IOTC website provided	the NRN	st provided
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name	Date sent to	Date of
				of carrier vessel:	fleet	response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 879	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Fleet:	The LSTLV w	DENG (JI) (2014)FT-200038" ras using the NRN "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2018) the Transhipment Declaration (TD). Type of possible infraction:	FT-200220" to complete the logbook, b	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 266	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection c	comment:	None of the logbook pages seen by the obser	ver were numbered in the spaces provi	ided by the logbook template		
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 875	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection comment:	the start of th "(LU)CHUAND The LSTLV ma	pard listed the NRN as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2) to voyage provided the NRN "(LU)CHUAN DENDENG(JI)(2018)FT-20221" (02/07/2020 to 31/0) ister was using the NRN "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2000) omplete the TD	$^{'}$ G (JI) (2014)FT-200036", while the IOTC 3/2021) and a historic NRN as "(LU)CHU	website provided the current NRN a JAN DENG (JI) (2014)FT-200036" (30,	as /10/2015 to 01	/07/2020)
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response

CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 877	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection comment:	provided by th which provide	N "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2016)FT-200148" as pose IOTC vessel list supplied to the observer at a difference of the current NRN as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2018)FT using the NRN "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2018)FT are TD.	the start of the voyage. The NRN also d 018)FT-200220" and a historical NRN as	id not concur with the NRN provided "(LU)CHUAN DENG (JI) (2014)FT-200	d by the IOTC w 0038"	ebsite
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 879	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection comment:	to the observe "(LU)CHUAND 200040"(30/1	ard provided the NRN as "(LU)CHUANDENG(Jier at the start of the voyage provided the NRN ENG(JI)(2018)FT-200223" as the current NRN 0/2015 to 01/07/2020). ICHUANDENG(JI)(2016)FT-200150" was used a logbook.	1 "(LU)CHUAN DENG (JI) (2014)FT-20004 (02/07/2020 to 31/03/2021) and the hi	10" while the IOTC website provided storic NRN as "(LU)CHUAN DENG (JI)	the NRN) (2014)FT-	,
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook 図ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 878	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection comment:	Inspection The ATF on board reflected the NRN of the LSTLV as "(LU)CHUAN DENG (JI) (2016)FT-200149" and this NRN was used to complete the TD. This NRN did not					
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 880	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection comment:		nal IRCS "BCKF4" displayed on the side of the IRCS "BCKF4" was also used to complete the	·	d not correspond with the IRCS "BCF	F4" listed by th	e IOTC
Fleet:		Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
CHINA		□VMS □Logbook ⊠ATF □Marking	LU RONG YUAN YU 880	633 – Bao Win	07/09/2020	11/01/2021
Inspection comment:		ard provided the NRN as "(LU)CHUANDENG(Jer at the start of the voyage provided the NRN	,,	•		st provided

"(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2018)FT-200224" as the current NRN (02/07/2020 to 31/03/2021) and the historic NRN as "(LU)CHUAN DENG (JI) (2014)FT-200041"(30/10/2015 to 01/07/2020).
The NRN "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2016)FT-200151" was used as reference to complete the TD

JAPAN

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to	Date of response
Japan	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking □:	SHOHO MARU No.1	593 - Hsiang Hao	02/03/2020	7/1/2021
Inspection comment:	The previous logbook facilitated by Japan Gov	verment was finished in August. The r	new logbook was printed but unbounde	d.	
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel		Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Japan	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking Others □:	SHOHO MARU No.1	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	09/03/2020
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV's computer fishing logbook pages v	were not marked with consecutively r	numbered pages		
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Japan	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking Others □:	MATSUFUKU MARU No. 28	604 - Taisei Maru No.24	24/02/2020	27/02/2020
Inspection comment:	In addition to the electronic fishing logbook, to logbook were not numbered with consecutive		in a binder type folder. In both instance	es, the pages o	f the fishing
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Japan	□VMS ☑Logbook □ATF □Marking Others □:	Taiyo Maru No 88	604 - Taisei Maru No.24	24/02/2020	27/02/2020
Inspection comment:	The pages of the (electronic) fishing logbook	were not numbered with consecutive	ely numbered pages.		

KOREA

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Korea	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking:	NO.211 DONGWON	650 - IBUKI	18/12/20	07/01/2021

Inspection comment:	The logbook pages were not numbered with sequential page numbers
---------------------	--

OMAN

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Oman	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF:	Sinaw 16	650 - Ibuki	18/12/20	No response received by the deadline of 15/01/2021
Inspection comment:	The logbook pages were not numbered with sequential page numbers.				

SEYCHELLES

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
Seychelles	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking:	WOENFULL NO. 668	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	31/12/2020		
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV name was recorded as "WOENFULL 668" in the fishing logbook entries and not 'WOENFULL NO.668" as provided by the IOTC vessel list.						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
Seychelles	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking	SHUENN PERNG NO. 202	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	31/12/2020		
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV name was recorded as "SHUENN PERNG 202" in the fishing logbook entries. This did not correspond with the name "SHUENN PERNG NO. 202" provided by the IOTC vessel list or the ATF on board.						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
Seychelles	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking:	NEPTUNE NO. 2	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	31/12/2020		
Inspection comment:	The vessel identity information (Vessel name, IRCS, NRN and licence number etc.) and trip information was not completed on pages 131010, 131012 and 131013 of the fishing logbook. This in effect made it impossible to ascertain that the fishing logbook belonged to the LSTLV						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
Seychelles	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking:	NEPTUNE NO. 2	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	31/12/2020		
Inspection comment:	On the pages of the fishing logbook where the vessel name was recorded (131014 and 131011), the vessel name was recorded as "NEPTUNE 2" and not "NEPTUNE NO. 2" as reflected in the IOTC Records.						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
Seychelles	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking:	NEPTUNE No. 1	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	31/12/2020		
Inspection comment:	The fishing logbook entries were designated by weekday and no dates were recorded. The dates of the daily log entries could therefore not be determined. The LSTLV Captain had to be asked to specify the date of last entry						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
Seychelles	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking:	NF EXPERT TUNA	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	31/12/2020		
Inspection comment:	In the fishing logbook entries, the LSTLV name was recorded as "NFEXRTUNA" and not "NF EXPERT TUNA" on all the pages checked. On page no 056017/1, the NRN was incorrectly recorded as "S70P" and the IRCS was recorded as "1203" (They were switched around).						

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
Seychelles	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking	NF TUNA PEAK	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	31/12/2020		
Inspection comment:	The fishing logbook month and year were left blank on page 006027/1, 006026/1, 006025/1 and 006024/1. The LSTLV's official number was entered as "S7JF" (not "50269") and the IRCS was entered as "1198" (not "S7JF") on all fishing logbook entries checked. The LSTLV vessel name was entered as "NF TANA POAK" on the fishing logbook entries of pages 006024/1 and 006023/1. This name did not concur with the name "NF TUNA PEAK" given by the IOTC vessel list and the ATF on board						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
Seychelles	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	Farquhar No. 2	606 - Harima	07/05/20	06/01/2020		
Inspection comment: TAIWAN, PROVINCE OF	was allocated to the Taiwan, China flagged vessel MAAN FARN NO.666.						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	DAR LONG CHENG NO.288	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	12/03/2020		
Inspection comment:	The vessel name markings on the bow was worn and not legible						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking	YING FA HSIANG NO.368	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	12/03/2020		
Inspection comment:	The NRN was recorded as "CT-0588" in the	The NRN was recorded as "CT-0588" in the LSTLV fishing logbook, and not "CT7-0588" as provided by the IOTC record					
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	HWA SHAN NO.222	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	12/03/2020		
Inspection comment:	The vessel name markings on the bow was not legible due to fouling on the hull						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking	HWA SHAN NO.301	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	12/03/2020		
Inspection comment:	In the fishing logbook entries, the LSTLV NRN was recorded as "CT-7/2569". This did not concur with the NRN "CT7-0569" shown on the LSTLV ATF and given in the IOTC vessel list						

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking	SHIN SHUEN FAR NO.16	592 - Chitose	02/03/2020	12/03/2020		
Inspection comment:	The fishing logbook entry on page 1070048 did not contain the date and the LSTLV Captain's signature was absent on all pages						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking	CHAAN YING (Transshipment 5 & 44)	605 – Chen Yu No7	15/05/2020	01/06/2020		
Inspection comment:	None of the fishing logbook pages (Figure 5) nor the logbook cover page (Figure 6) shown to the observer contained the name of the ship or the registration number information in the spaces provided. In effect, this did not allow the logbook to be associated with the vessel						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS ⊠Logbook □ATF □Marking	SHIN SHUEN FAR	605 – Chen Yu No7	15/05/2020	01/06/2020		
Inspection comment:	None of the fishing logbook pages (Figure 7) nor the cover page (Figure 8) shown to the observer contained the name of the ship or the registration number information in the spaces provided. In effect, this did not allow the logbook to be associated with the vessel.						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	YU HSING HSIANG NO.168	605 – Chen Yu No7	15/05/2020	01/06/2020		
Inspection comment:	The vessel name marking on the bow was slightly worn, rendering the marking as illegible at a distance						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	KWANG HARNG NO.7	605 – Chen Yu No7	15/05/2020	01/06/2020		
Inspection comment:	The vessel name displayed on the starboard bow of the LSTLV was partially covered by fouling and was not legible from a distance						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	CHUAN YI	620 – Chen Yu No. 7	08/09/2020	2020.10.22		
Inspection comment:	The bow markings were partially covered in fouling and not legible at a distance						
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response		
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	HONG RONG NO.168	620 – Chen Yu No. 7	08/09/2020	2020.10.22		

Inspection comment:	The bow name markings were worn and not legible at a distance					
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response	
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	FENG KUO NO.888	620 – Chen Yu No. 7	08/09/2020	2020.10.22	
Inspection comment:	The vessel name on the bow was not clearly legible due to fouling covering the markings					
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response	
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking	ЈОНО	620 – Chen Yu No. 7	08/09/2020	2020.10.22	
Inspection comment:	The bow name markings were worn away and not were not legible					
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response	
TAIWAN, CHINA	□VMS □Logbook □ATF 図Marking□	YU HSING HSIANG NO.168	622 - YACHIYO	12/10/2020	2020.10.22	
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV vessel name marking on the bow as well as the International Radio Call Sign (IRCS) on the starboard side of the vessel were worn and not clearly legible at a distance.					