

# Report of the 18th Session of the Standing Committee on Administration & Finance

By Videoconference, 2 June 2021

---

---

## **DISTRIBUTION:**

Participants in the Session  
Members of the Commission  
Other interested Nations and International Organizations  
FAO Fisheries Department  
FAO Regional Fishery Officers

## **BIBLIOGRAPHIC ENTRY**

IOTC 2021. Report of the 18<sup>th</sup> Session of the Standing  
Committee on Administration and Finance. 2 June 2021.  
*IOTC–2021–SCAF18–R[E]*, 17pp.

---

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication and its lists do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) or the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

This work is copyright. Fair dealing for study, research, news reporting, criticism or review is permitted. Selected passages, tables or diagrams may be reproduced for such purposes provided acknowledgment of the source is included. Major extracts or the entire document may not be reproduced by any process without the written permission of the Executive Secretary, IOTC.

The Indian Ocean Tuna Commission has exercised due care and skill in the preparation and compilation of the information and data set out in this publication. Notwithstanding, the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, employees and advisers disclaim all liability, including liability for negligence, for any loss, damage, injury, expense or cost incurred by any person as a result of accessing, using or relying upon any of the information or data set out in this publication to the maximum extent permitted by law.

Contact details:

Indian Ocean Tuna Commission  
Le Chantier Mall  
PO Box 1011  
Victoria, Mahé, Seychelles  
Tel.: +248 4225 494  
Fax: +248 4224 364  
Email: [iotc-secretariat@fao.org](mailto:iotc-secretariat@fao.org)  
Website: <http://www.iotc.org>

## ACRONYMS

ABNJ	Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction
CLAV	Consolidated List of Active Vessels
CMM	Conservation and Management Measure (of the IOTC; Resolutions and Recommendations)
CNCP	Cooperating Non-Contracting Parties
CoC	Compliance Committee, of the IOTC
CPCs	Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties
CSM	Compliance Support Mission
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
ICRU	Improved Cost Recovery Uplift
IOTC	Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
MPF	Meeting Participation Fund
MSE	Management Strategy Evaluation
PSC	Project Servicing Costs
SC	Scientific Committee of the IOTC
SCAF	Standing Committee on Administration and Finance, of the IOTC

### HOW TO INTERPRET TERMINOLOGY CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT

The following report has been written using the following terms and associated definitions so as to remove ambiguity surrounding how particular paragraphs should be interpreted.

**Level 1: *From a subsidiary body of the Commission to the next level in the structure of the Commission:***

**RECOMMENDED, RECOMMENDATION:** Any conclusion or request for an action to be undertaken, from a subsidiary body of the Commission (Committee or Working Party), which is to be formally provided to the next level in the structure of the Commission for its consideration/endorsement (e.g. from a Working Party to the Scientific Committee; from a Committee to the Commission). The intention is that the higher body will consider the recommended action for endorsement under its own mandate, if the subsidiary body does not already have the required mandate. Ideally this should be task specific and contain a timeframe for completion.

**Level 2: *From a subsidiary body of the Commission to a CPC, the IOTC Secretariat, or other body (not the Commission) to carry out a specified task:***

**REQUESTED:** This term should only be used by a subsidiary body of the Commission if it does not wish to have the request formally adopted/endorsed by the next level in the structure of the Commission. For example, if a Committee wishes to seek additional input from a CPC on a particular topic, but does not wish to formalise the request beyond the mandate of the Committee, it may request that a set action be undertaken. Ideally this should be task specific and contain a timeframe for the completion.

**Level 3: *General terms to be used for consistency:***

**AGREED:** Any point of discussion from a meeting which the IOTC body considers to be an agreed course of action covered by its mandate, which has not already been dealt with under Level 1 or level 2 above; a general point of agreement among delegations/participants of a meeting which does not need to be considered/adopted by the next level in the Commission's structure.

**NOTED/NOTING:** Any point of discussion from a meeting which the IOTC body considers to be important enough to record in a meeting report for future reference.

**Any other term:** Any other term may be used in addition to the Level 3 terms to highlight to the reader of an IOTC report, the importance of the relevant paragraph. However, other terms used are considered for explanatory/informational purposes only and shall have no higher rating within the reporting terminology hierarchy than Level 3, described above (e.g. **CONSIDERED; URGED; ACKNOWLEDGED**).

## Table of contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	4
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	5
<b>1. OPENING OF THE SESSION .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2. CREDENTIALS AND ADMISSION OF OBSERVERS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4. REPORT OF THE IOTC SECRETARIAT ON 2020 .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1 Financial statement: Fiscal year 2020 .....	6
5.1.1 On FAO Employer Contributions.....	7
5.2 Contributions Outstanding.....	7
5.3 IOTC Meeting Participation Fund.....	8
<b>6. PROGRAMME OF WORK AND BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 2022 AND TENTATIVELY FOR 2023 .....</b>	<b>8</b>
6.1 Programme of Work and Budget.....	8
<b>7. FINALISATION OF THE IOTC FINANCIAL REGULATIONS (2019) .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>8. ANY OTHER BUSINESS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
8.1 Election of the SCAF Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson.....	9
<b>9. ADOPTION OF THE REPORT.....</b>	<b>9</b>
Appendix 1 Agenda for 17th Session Standing Committee on Administration and Finance.....	10
Appendix 2 List of Documents .....	11
Appendix 3 List of Participants.....	12
Appendix 4 Proposed budget for 2022 and indicative budget for 2023 (in US\$).....	13
Appendix 5 Scale of Contributions for 2021 (in US\$).....	14

### Executive summary

The 18<sup>th</sup> Session of the Standing Committee on Administration and Finance (SCAF) of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) was held by videoconference on 2 June 2021. Credentials were received for 204 delegates, from 24 Contracting Parties (Members), 8 Observers and invited experts. The meeting was Chaired by the SCAF Chairperson, Mr Hussain Sinan (Maldives).

#### **The following requests were made by the SCAF.**

The SCAF **NOTED** the increased levels of participation in IOTC meetings in 2020 due to the use of videoconference platforms. The SCAF **REQUESTED** the Secretariat to explore the use of videoconference platforms for extending participation in meetings and capacity development activities in the future (para 7).

The SCAF **REQUESTED** Bangladesh, Mozambique, Somalia and Tanzania to provide an update to the Commission on the status of their respective contribution payments (para 23).

The SCAF **REQUESTED** the Secretariat to obtain legal advice from FAO regarding the implications of omitting Members that are not engaging with the Commission from the IOTC Schedule of contributions and provide advice on this matter to SCAF19 (para 25).

The European Union informed the SCAF that it intends to make a voluntary contribution of the order of magnitude of at least €500,000 towards the Science Committee's workplan and capacity building activities in 2022. The SCAF welcomed this generous offer and **REQUESTED** the EU to work with the Secretariat to develop a project compatible with the Commission's objectives (para 36).

#### **The SCAF made the following recommendations to the Commission.**

The SCAF **NOTED** the tremendous effort made by the Secretariat in obtaining the contributions of ex-members in recent years. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that due to the lack of success by the Secretariat, the Commission cease pursuing the outstanding contributions of ex-Members: Belize, Guinea, Vanuatu and Sierra Leone, but ensure that the debt be maintained and reported annually in a separate 'outstanding contributions' table (para 26).

The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that any ex-Member must pay all outstanding debts before re-joining the Commission. The SCAF also **RECOMMENDED** that the above actions relating to ex-Members should not set a precedent for Members (para 27).

#### ***On the Programme of Work and Budget Estimates for 2022 and tentatively for 2023***

The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission adopt the Programme of Work and Budget and the scheme of contributions for 2022 and 2023 (indicative) as outlined in Appendix 4 and Appendix 5, respectively, while **NOTING** that the Program of Work for the IOTC Secretariat is based on the assumption that the nature and extent of the activities undertaken by the IOTC Secretariat will remain within the current scope. Any new activities agreed to during the 25th Session of the Commission that have budgetary consequences, will require an amendment of the figures (para 38).

#### ***On the finalisation of the IOTC Financial Regulations (2019)***

The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** to the Commission that the latest date contributions should be paid in each budget year should be 30 June and the date, in a budget year, when un-paid contributions will be in 'arrears' should be 1 July, and forthwith these dates be included in the IOTC Financial Regulations (para 41).

The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** to the Commission that Member States be urged to pay the contributions as early as possible to maintain financial stability of the IOTC, preferably prior to the annual SCAF meeting. Members who have difficulties in meeting the deadline due to differences in financial years or other means shall inform the SCAF about any possible delays (para 42).

#### ***On the election of the SCAF Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson***

The SCAF unanimously re-elected Mr. Hussain Sinan (Maldives) as Chairperson and Mr Muhammad Farhan Khan (Pakistan) as the Vice Chairperson of the SCAF for the next biennium. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission endorse the nominations of these Officers for the next biennium (para 43).

## 1. Opening of the Session

1. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic the 18th Session of the Standing Committee on Administration and Finance (SCAF18) of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) was held by videoconference. The meeting was opened and chaired by the SCAF Chairperson, Mr Hussain Sinan (Maldives).

## 2. Credentials and Admission of observers

2. Letters of Credentials were received from 24 Contracting Parties present at the meeting.
3. Pursuant to Article VII of the Agreement establishing the IOTC and Rule XIV of the IOTC Rules of Procedure, the Commission admitted the following observers:

*Members and Associate Members of the FAO that are not Members of the Commission.*

- United States of America—

*Intergovernmental organizations having special competence in the field of activity of the Commission.*

- International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas

*Non-governmental organizations having special competence in the field of activity of the Commission.*

- Global Tuna Alliance
- International Pole and Line Foundation
- International Seafood Sustainability Foundation
- PEW Charitable Trusts
- SHARK Project
- Sustainable Fisheries Partnership

*Invited consultants and experts.*

- Taiwan, Province of China.

4. The list of participants is provided in [Appendix 1](#).

## 3. Adoption of the Agenda

5. The SCAF **ADOPTED** the Agenda provided in [Appendix 2](#). The documents presented to the SCAF are listed in [Appendix 3](#).

## 4. Report of the IOTC Secretariat on 2020

6. The SCAF **NOTED** document IOTC–2021–SCAF18–03 which outlined the activities of the Secretariat in 2020 that covered its support to scientific and compliance activities; communications, support to meetings; information technology; and administration.
7. The SCAF **NOTED** the increased levels of participation in IOTC meetings in 2020 due to the use of videoconference platforms. The SCAF **REQUESTED** the Secretariat to explore the use of videoconference platforms for extending participation in meetings and capacity development activities in the future.
8. The SCAF **COMMENDED** the work of the Secretariat in 2020 which was a particularly challenging year due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

## 5. Financial Statements

### 5.1 Financial statement: Fiscal year 2020

9. The SCAF **NOTED** paper IOTC–2021–SCAF18–04 which provided the financial statement for the Commission for the period 1 January 2020 to 31 December 2020.
10. The SCAF **NOTED** the total of contributions due in 2020 for the 2020 budget was (US)\$4,367,285, and that as of 31 December 2020, a total of \$3,730,858 had been received. The SCAF further **NOTED** the total of

contributions received in 2020 also included outstanding contributions due from years prior to 2020. Therefore, there was a significant difference between the amount of the contributions due for the 2020 budget year and the contributions received (-\$636,427).

11. The SCAF **NOTED** that out of the total budget of \$4,367,285 the Commission spent (actuals) \$3,023,638. The level of under expenditure from the budget amounted to a total of \$1,343,647 and was mainly due to a reduction in activities caused by the COVID-19 restrictions.
12. The SCAF **NOTED** that the unspent budget in 2020 was allocated to the Working Capital Fund
13. The SCAF **NOTED** that extra-budgetary funds continue to be an important part of the overall budget and thanked those member States that provided extra-budgetary funds in 2020. The Commission received extra-budgetary contributions from Australia (\$13,414), China (\$18,000) and the European Union (approx. \$246,998). Australia also provided an additional \$207,855 to carry out a Management Strategy Evaluation for bigeye, yellowfin and albacore tunas.

#### **5.1.1 On FAO Employer Contributions**

14. The SCAF **NOTED** document IOTC-2021-SCAF18-09 which provided the SCAF with explanatory information on employer contributions and the results of an analysis of recent employer contribution costs.
15. The SCAF **NOTED** that the costs of employer contributions, proportional to salaries, have been relatively stable since 2017.

#### **5.2 Contributions Outstanding**

16. The SCAF **NOTED** the information presented in document IOTC–2021–SCAF18–05 on the contributions outstanding as of 31 December 2020.
17. The SCAF **NOTED** the cumulative total of outstanding contribution payments has increased by around 20%, from \$3,162,341 as of 31 December 2019, to \$3,798,769 as of 31 December 2020.
18. The SCAF **NOTED** that no contributions were received from nine Members in 2020, namely Eritrea, India, I.R. Iran, Mozambique, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Tanzania and Yemen. The contributions from Comoros and Pakistan did not cover the full amount due for the year.
19. The SCAF also **NOTED** that as of 31 December 2020, 12 Members had outstanding contributions totalling \$3,569,560 (excluding ex-Members).
20. Pakistan informed the SCAF that its contribution payment is currently being processed and can be expected to be paid in June 2021. Korea informed the SCAF that due to internal processes, the payment of its contribution is delayed and is expected to be finalised by the end July. Comoros informed the SCAF that it faces continual hardship and it has been difficult to pay the full amount of IOTC contributions in recent years. The SCAF **NOTED** Comoros commitment to do the best it can to clear all outstanding contributions. China informed the SCAF that its contribution payment is currently being processed.
21. The SCAF **NOTED** that the I.R. of Iran was responsible for around 45% of the total outstanding contributions (\$1,696,909). Iran informed the SCAF that due to financial sanctions, payments of contributions have not been possible in recent years; however, Iran is confident of paying most of its arrears in 2021. The SCAF invited the Secretariat to continue working bilaterally with the Republic of Iran to facilitate this process.
22. The SCAF **NOTED** the range of difficulties being faced by some Members regarding payment of their contributions. However, the SCAF stressed the importance of timely payment of contributions to ensure the effective functioning of the Commission and encouraged Members to consider working with FAO and the Secretariat to pay the outstanding contributions on a pre-arranged schedule.
23. The SCAF **REQUESTED** Bangladesh, Mozambique, Somalia and Tanzania to provide an update to the Commission on the status of their respective contribution payments.
24. The SCAF **NOTED** the long-term lack of engagement of Eritrea, Sudan and Yemen with the Commission and that these Members have continued to default on their obligations. The SCAF **NOTED** the negative impact on the IOTC budget due to Eritrea, Sudan and Yemen not paying their contributions (around \$77,000 per year).

25. The SCAF **REQUESTED** the Secretariat to obtain legal advice from FAO regarding the implications of omitting Members that are not engaging with the Commission from the IOTC Schedule of contributions and provide advice on this matter to SCAF19.
26. The SCAF **NOTED** the tremendous effort made by the Secretariat in obtaining the contributions of ex-members in recent years. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that due to the lack of success by the Secretariat, the Commission cease pursuing the outstanding contributions of ex-Members: Belize, Guinea, Vanuatu and Sierra Leone, but ensure that the debt be maintained and reported annually in a separate ‘outstanding contributions’ table.
27. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that any ex-Member must pay all outstanding debts before re-joining the Commission. The SCAF also **RECOMMENDED** that the above actions relating to ex-Members should not set a precedent for Members.

### ***5.3 IOTC Meeting Participation Fund***

28. The SCAF **NOTED** document IOTC–2021–SCAF18–06 which provides past and present details of the IOTC Meeting Participation Fund (MPF).
29. The SCAF **NOTED** the importance of extra-budgetary contributions in meeting the current demand for the MPF and **ACKNOWLEDGED** the extra contributions to the MPF received from China (\$18,000) and Australia (\$13,414) in 2020.
30. The SCAF **NOTED** that any unused funds in the MPF will remain within the MPF.
31. The SCAF **NOTED** that the demand on the MPF was much lower than expected due to COVID-19 travel restrictions and therefore the 2021 and proposed 2022 budgets have been reduced accordingly.

### ***5.4 Mid-term financial report 2021***

32. The SCAF **NOTED** the mid-term financial report 2021 provided in document IOTC-2021-SCAF18-07-REV1.

## **6. Programme of Work and Budget Estimates for 2022 and tentatively for 2023**

### ***6.1 Programme of Work and Budget***

33. The SCAF **NOTED** document IOTC–2021–SCAF18–08 which outlined the budget estimates for the IOTC Secretariat’s Program of Work for the financial period 1 January to 31 December 2022, together with indicative figures for the 2023 financial period.
34. The SCAF **NOTED** that the overall budget amount proposed for the Administrative Budget for 2022 is US\$ 4,071,765 and is based on IOTC’s normal operations and any updated instructions from the Commission. The SCAF **NOTED** that the Secretariat will be operating with a full complement of staff in 2022. The SCAF also **NOTED** the proposed 2022 budget represents a 4% decrease from the corresponding 2021 budget.
35. The SCAF **AGREED** on an Administrative Budget for 2022 of US\$ 4,071,765 ([Appendix 4](#)). The SCAF also **AGREED** on the corresponding revised table of contributions ([Appendix 5](#)).
36. The European Union informed the SCAF that it intends to make a voluntary contribution of the order of magnitude of at least €500,000 towards the Science Committee’s workplan and capacity building activities in 2022. The SCAF welcomed this generous offer and **REQUESTED** the EU to work with the Secretariat to develop a project compatible with the Commission’s objectives.
37. The United Kingdom informed the SCAF that its catch history for 2017 to 2019 required a revision to reverse the UK catches reported to the IOTC by the European Union back to the United Kingdom. The Secretariat updated the catch information as required and provided a revised Scheme of Contributions table.
38. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission adopt the Programme of Work and Budget and the scheme of contributions for 2022 and 2023 (indicative) as outlined in [Appendix 4](#) and [Appendix 5](#), respectively, while **NOTING** that the Program of Work for the IOTC Secretariat is based on the assumption that the nature and extent of the activities undertaken by the IOTC Secretariat will remain within the current scope. Any new



activities agreed to during the 25th Session of the Commission (S25) that have budgetary consequences, will require an amendment of the figures.

## 7. Finalisation of the IOTC Financial Regulations (2019)

39. The SCAF was tasked to recommend two dates to be included in Annex Reg. 5 of the IOTC Financial Regulations, namely: the latest date contributions should be paid in each budget year; and the date, in a budget year, when un-paid contributions will be in 'arrears'.
40. The SCAF **NOTED** document IOTC-2021-SCAF18-10 which provided background information about the revision of the IOTC Financial regulations and the results of a survey conducted by the Secretariat to gather information from Members on preferred dates.
41. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** to the Commission that the latest date contributions should be paid in each budget year should be 30 June and the date, in a budget year, when un-paid contributions will be in 'arrears' should be 1 July, and forthwith these dates be included in the IOTC Financial Regulations.
42. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** to the Commission that Member States be urged to pay the contributions as early as possible to maintain financial stability of the IOTC, preferably prior to the annual SCAF meeting. Members who have difficulties in meeting the deadline due to differences in financial years or other means shall inform the SCAF about any possible delays.

## 8. Any Other Business

### 8.1 Election of the SCAF Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson

43. The SCAF unanimously re-elected Mr. Hussain Sinan (Maldives) as Chairperson and Mr Muhammad Farhan Khan (Pakistan) as the Vice Chairperson of the SCAF for the next biennium. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission endorse the nominations of these Officers for the next biennium.
44. The SCAF **NOTED** that both officers will be serving their second terms in their respective roles for the SCAF and the SCAF **THANKED** Mr Sinan and Mr Khan for their willingness to continue providing their services to the SCAF.

## 9. Adoption of the report

45. The report of the 18<sup>th</sup> Session of the IOTC Standing Committee on Administration and Finance (IOTC–2021–SCAF18–R) was **ADOPTED** on 3 June 2021.

**APPENDIX 1****AGENDA FOR 17TH SESSION STANDING COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE**

1. **OPENING OF THE MEETING**
2. **LETTERS OF CREDENTIALS / ADMISSION OF OBSERVERS**
3. **ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA (IOTC-2021-SCAF18-01, IOTC-2021-SCAF18-02)**
4. **REPORT OF THE SECRETARIAT: 2020 (IOTC-2021-SCAF18-03)**
5. **FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
  - 5.1 **Financial Statement: Fiscal Year 2020 (IOTC-2021-SCAF18-04)**
    - 5.1.1 **On FAO Employer Contributions (IOTC-2021-SCAF18-09)**  
*In 2020, the Commission requested the Secretariat to provide more information about how IOTC staff Employer Contributions are calculated by FAO. This document provides the SCAF with explanatory information on employer contributions and the results of an analysis of recent employer contribution costs*
    - 5.2 **Contributions Outstanding (IOTC-2021-SCAF18-05)**  
*Note, it is proposed that the SCAF consider writing-off the outstanding contributions of ex-Members and omitting Eritrea, Sudan and Yemen from the schedule of annual contributions until such time that they, respectively, re-engage with the Commission.*
    - 5.3 **IOTC Meeting Participation Fund (IOTC-2021-SCAF18-06)**
    - 5.4 **Mid-term financial report 2021 (IOTC-2021-SCAF18-07)**
6. **PROGRAMME OF WORK AND BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 2022 AND TENTATIVELY FOR 2023**
  - 6.1 **Programme of work and budget (IOTC-2021-SCAF18-08)**
7. **FINALISATION OF THE AMENDMENTS TO THE IOTC FINANCIAL REGULATIONS (IOTC-2021-SCAF18-10)**  
*Notwithstanding the 2019 adoption of the IOTC Financial Regulations by the Commission, two clauses related to the payment of contributions (Annex, Reg 5) remain to be confirmed by the Commission. This paper contains the results of a survey that asked Members their opinion on the latest date contributions should be paid and the date when contributions would be in 'Arrears'.*
8. **OTHER BUSINESS**
  - 8.1 Election of the SCAF Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson.  
*The first terms of both the current Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson finish at the conclusion of SCAF18.*
9. **ADOPTION OF THE REPORT OF SCAF18 (3 June)**

**APPENDIX 2**  
**LIST OF DOCUMENTS**

Documents are available on the IOTC SCAF18 meeting page [\[click here\]](#)

Document	Title
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-01a	Draft agenda for SCAF18
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-02	List of documents
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-03	Report of the IOTC Secretariat on the year 2020
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-04	Financial Statement for 2020
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-05	Contributions Outstanding
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-06	IOTC Meeting Participation Fund
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-07_Rev1	Mid-Term Financial report 2021
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-08	Provisional Program of work and budget for 2022 (and Indicative Budget for 2023)
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-09	On employer contributions
IOTC-2021-SCAF18-10	Finalising the IOTC financial regulations (2019)

**APPENDIX 3**  
**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**

**To be added**

## APPENDIX 4

## PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2022 AND INDICATIVE BUDGET FOR 2023 (IN US\$)

		Actuals 2020	2021	2022	2023
<b>1</b>	<b>Staff costs</b>				
<b>1.1</b>	<b>Professional</b>				
	Executive Secretary (D1)	190,360	194,790	194,797	198,693
	<i>Science</i>				
	Science Manager (P5)	141,059	145,468	145,473	148,383
	Stock Assessment Expert (P4)	122,091	124,533	127,083	129,624
	Fishery Officer (Science P3)	62,832	96,943	96,944	98,883
	<i>Compliance</i>				
	Compliance Manager (P5)	91,630	140,197	140,200	143,004
	Compliance Coordinator (P4)	53,755	132,158	134,717	137,412
	Compliance Officer (P3)	116,303	129,899	96,949	98,888
	<i>Data</i>				
	Data Coordinator (P4)	126,453	129,836	129,837	132,434
	Statistician (P3)	51,744	96,943	96,944	98,883
	Fishery Officer (P1)	59,947	61,351	63,145	64,408
	<i>Admin.</i>				
	Administrative Officer (P3/P4)	122,114	124,960	124,965	127,464
<b>1.2</b>	<b>General Service</b>				
	Administrative Assistant	16,956	21,222	13,599	13,871
	Office Associate	13,909	17,327	11,174	11,398
	Database Assistant	17,111	21,871	13,595	13,867
	Office Assistant	9,970	12,448	8,373	8,540
	Driver	9,057	11,576	7,196	7,340
	Overtime	356	5,100	5,100	5,202
	<b>Total Salary Costs</b>	<b>1,205,647</b>	<b>1,466,622</b>	<b>1,410,092</b>	<b>1,438,293</b>
1.3	Employer Pension and Health	352,131	417,773	411,844	420,081
1.4	Employer FAO Entitlement Fund	604,170	748,437	703,368	717,435
1.5	Adjustment entitlement fund	(104,595)			
1.6	Improved Cost Recovery Uplift	59,652	76,352	73,234	74,698
	<b>Total Staff Costs</b>	<b>2,117,006</b>	<b>2,709,184</b>	<b>2,598,537</b>	<b>2,650,508</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Operating Expenditures</b>				
2.1	Capacity Building	2,072	40,000	40,000	40,000
2.2	Co-funding Science/Data grants	-115,842	26,700	0	0
2.3	Co-funding Compliance grants	2,478	0	0	0
2.4	Misc. Contingencies	0	0	0	0
2.5	Consultants/Service Providers	446,192	597,800	588,200	588,200
2.6	Duty travel	11,016	165,000	165,000	165,000
2.7	Meetings	6,939	145,000	145,000	145,000
2.8	Interpretation	26,763	135,000	135,000	135,000
2.9	Translation	69,238	110,000	110,000	110,000
2.10	Equipment	23,416	25,000	25,000	25,000
2.11	General Operating Expenses	55,510	71,300	75,000	75,000
2.12	Printing	0	0	0	0
2.13	Contingencies	0	10,000	10,000	10,000
	<b>Total OE</b>	<b>527,782</b>	<b>1,325,800</b>	<b>1,293,200</b>	<b>1,293,200</b>
	<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>2,644,787</b>	<b>4,034,984</b>	<b>3,891,737</b>	<b>3,943,708</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Additional Contributions Seychelles</b>	0	-20,100	-20,100	-20,100
<b>4</b>	<b>FAO Servicing Costs</b>	128,850	181,574	175,128	177,467
<b>5</b>	<b>Deficit Contingency</b>	-	0		
<b>6</b>	<b>Meeting Participation Fund</b>	250,000	25,000	25,000	250,000
				-	-
	<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>3,023,638</b>	<b>4,221,458</b>	<b>4,071,765</b>	<b>4,351,075</b>
				-4%	6.9%

**APPENDIX 5**  
**SCALE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR 2021 (IN US\$)**

Country	World Bank Classification in 2019	OECD Membership	Average catch for 2017-2019 ( in metric tons)	Base Contribution	Operations Contribution	GNI Contribution	Catch Contribution	Total Contribution (in USD)
Australia	High	Yes	5,235	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$127,742	\$13,887	\$172,167
Bangladesh	Middle	No	264	\$13,573	\$0	\$31,935	\$140	\$45,648
China	Middle	No	71,936	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$38,166	\$100,640
Comoros	Middle	No	11,312	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$6,002	\$68,475
Eritrea	Low	No	219	\$13,573	\$0	\$0	\$116	\$13,689
European Union	High	Yes	263,918	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$127,742	\$700,126	\$858,406
France(Terr)	High	Yes	0	\$13,573	\$0	\$127,742	\$0	\$141,314
India	Middle	No	173,082	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$91,831	\$154,305
Indonesia	Middle	No	383,125	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$203,272	\$265,746
Iran, Islamic Republic of	Middle	No	264,379	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$140,270	\$202,744
Japan	High	Yes	13,521	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$127,742	\$35,868	\$194,148
Kenya	Middle	No	3,450	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$1,830	\$64,304
Korea, Rep of	High	Yes	22,144	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$127,742	\$58,744	\$217,024
Madagascar	Low	No	8,523	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$0	\$4,522	\$35,060
Malaysia	Middle	No	23,726	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$12,588	\$75,062
Maldives	Middle	No	141,191	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$74,911	\$137,385
Mauritius	High	No	23,380	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$127,742	\$12,405	\$170,685
Mozambique	Low	No	7,001	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$0	\$3,715	\$34,253
Oman	High	No	60,092	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$127,742	\$31,882	\$190,162
Pakistan	Middle	No	69,426	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$36,835	\$99,309
Philippines	Middle	No	81	\$13,573	\$0	\$31,935	\$43	\$45,551
Seychelles	High	No	133,828	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$127,742	\$71,004	\$229,284
Somalia	Low	No	0	\$13,573	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,573
South Africa	Middle	No	795	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$422	\$62,896
Sri Lanka	Middle	No	113,022	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$59,965	\$122,439
Sudan	Low	No	34	\$13,573	\$0	\$0	\$18	\$13,590
Tanzania	Middle	No	10,320	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$5,475	\$67,949
Thailand	Middle	No	14,983	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$31,935	\$7,950	\$70,423
United Kingdom	High	Yes	417	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$127,742	\$1,106	\$159,386
Yemen	Low	No	29,425	\$13,573	\$16,966	\$0	\$15,612	\$46,150
			<b>Total</b>	<b>407,177</b>	<b>407,177</b>	<b>1,628,706</b>	<b>1,628,706</b>	<b>4,071,765</b>

The World Bank has replaced GNP with gross national income (GNI per capita). GNI more fairly compares nations with widely different populations and standards of living.