



**LIST OF QUESTIONS TO THE COUNTRIES THAT SUBMITTED OBJECTION TO  
RESOLUTION 21/01  
SUBMITTED BY: JAPAN**

Question	Relevant provisions in the new ICCAT Convention
<p>What is the reason for your objection?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Article IX</b></p> <p>3. (c) A Member of the Commission presenting an objection in accordance with sub-paragraph (a) above <u>shall provide to the Commission in writing, at the time of presenting its objection, the reason for its objection</u>, which shall be based on one or more of the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) the recommendation is inconsistent with this Convention or other relevant rules of international law;</li> <li>(ii) the recommendation unjustifiably discriminates in form or in fact against the objecting Member of the Commission;</li> <li>(iii) the Member of the Commission cannot practicably comply with the measure because it has adopted a different approach to conservation and sustainable management or because it does not have the technical capabilities to implement the recommendation; or</li> <li>(iv) security constraints as a result of which the objecting Member of the Commission is not in a position to implement or comply with the measure.</li> </ul>
<p>Do you have any fisheries development plan and capacity management plan for yellowfin tuna fisheries?</p>	
<p>Upon your objection to Res. 21/01, have you implemented any alternative conservation and management measures for yellowfin tuna?</p>	<p>3.(d) Each Member of the Commission that presents an objection pursuant to this Article <u>shall also provide to the Commission, to the extent practicable, a description of any alternative conservation and management measures, which shall be at least equally effective as the measure to which it is objecting.</u></p>