



Report of the 19th Session of the Standing Committee on Administration & Finance

Seychelles, 11 May 2022

DISTRIBUTION:

Participants in the Session
Members of the Commission
Other interested Nations and International Organizations
FAO Fisheries Department
FAO Regional Fishery Officers

BIBLIOGRAPHIC ENTRY

IOTC 2022. Report of the 19th Session of the Standing Committee on Administration and Finance. 11 May 2022. *IOTC-2022-SCAF19-R[E]*, 19pp.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication and its lists do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) or the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

This work is copyright. Fair dealing for study, research, news reporting, criticism or review is permitted. Selected passages, tables or diagrams may be reproduced for such purposes provided acknowledgment of the source is included. Major extracts or the entire document may not be reproduced by any process without the written permission of the Executive Secretary, IOTC.

The Indian Ocean Tuna Commission has exercised due care and skill in the preparation and compilation of the information and data set out in this publication. Notwithstanding, the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, employees and advisers disclaim all liability, including liability for negligence, for any loss, damage, injury, expense or cost incurred by any person as a result of accessing, using or relying upon any of the information or data set out in this publication to the maximum extent permitted by law.

Contact details:

Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
PO Box 1011
Victoria, Mahé, Seychelles
Email: iotc-secretariat@fao.org
Website: <http://www.iotc.org>

ACRONYMS

ABNJ	Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction
CLAV	Consolidated List of Active Vessels
CMM	Conservation and Management Measure (of the IOTC; Resolutions and Recommendations)
CNCP	Cooperating Non-Contracting Parties
CoC	Compliance Committee, of the IOTC
CPCs	Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties
CSM	Compliance Support Mission
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
ICRU	Improved Cost Recovery Uplift
IOTC	Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
MPF	Meeting Participation Fund
MSE	Management Strategy Evaluation
PSC	Project Servicing Costs
SC	Scientific Committee of the IOTC
SCAF	Standing Committee on Administration and Finance, of the IOTC

HOW TO INTERPRET TERMINOLOGY CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT

The following report has been written using the following terms and associated definitions so as to remove ambiguity surrounding how particular paragraphs should be interpreted.

Level 1: *From a subsidiary body of the Commission to the next level in the structure of the Commission:*

RECOMMENDED, RECOMMENDATION: Any conclusion or request for an action to be undertaken, from a subsidiary body of the Commission (Committee or Working Party), which is to be formally provided to the next level in the structure of the Commission for its consideration/endorsement (e.g. from a Working Party to the Scientific Committee; from a Committee to the Commission). The intention is that the higher body will consider the recommended action for endorsement under its own mandate, if the subsidiary body does not already have the required mandate. Ideally this should be task specific and contain a timeframe for completion.

Level 2: *From a subsidiary body of the Commission to a CPC, the IOTC Secretariat, or other body (not the Commission) to carry out a specified task:*

REQUESTED: This term should only be used by a subsidiary body of the Commission if it does not wish to have the request formally adopted/endorsed by the next level in the structure of the Commission. For example, if a Committee wishes to seek additional input from a CPC on a particular topic, but does not wish to formalise the request beyond the mandate of the Committee, it may request that a set action be undertaken. Ideally this should be task specific and contain a timeframe for the completion.

Level 3: *General terms to be used for consistency:*

AGREED: Any point of discussion from a meeting which the IOTC body considers to be an agreed course of action covered by its mandate, which has not already been dealt with under Level 1 or level 2 above; a general point of agreement among delegations/participants of a meeting which does not need to be considered/adopted by the next level in the Commission's structure.

NOTED/NOTING: Any point of discussion from a meeting which the IOTC body considers to be important enough to record in a meeting report for future reference.

Any other term: Any other term may be used in addition to the Level 3 terms to highlight to the reader of an IOTC report, the importance of the relevant paragraph. However, other terms used are considered for explanatory/informational purposes only and shall have no higher rating within the reporting terminology hierarchy than Level 3, described above (e.g. **CONSIDERED; URGED; ACKNOWLEDGED**).

Table of contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	4
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
OPENING OF THE SESSION	7
1. CREDENTIALS AND ADMISSION OF OBSERVERS	7
2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA	7
3. REPORT OF THE IOTC SECRETARIAT	7
3.1 Report on 2021	7
3.2 Update on requests made by the SCAF in 2021	8
4. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	8
4.1 Contributions Outstanding.....	8
4.2 IOTC Meeting Participation Fund.....	9
4.3 Financial statement: Fiscal year 2021	9
4.4 Mid-term financial report 2022	10
5. PROGRAMME OF WORK AND BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 2023 AND TENTATIVELY FOR 2024	10
6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS	11
7. ADOPTION OF THE REPORT	11
Appendix 1 Agenda for 19th Session Standing Committee on Administration and Finance.....	12
Appendix 2 List of Documents	13
Appendix 3 List of Participants.....	14
Appendix 4 Proposed budget for 2023 and indicative budget for 2024 (in US\$).....	18
Appendix 5 Scale of Contributions for 2023 (in US\$).....	19

Executive summary

The 19th Session of the Standing Committee on Administration and Finance (SCAF) of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) was held in a hybrid format, with two-person delegations present physically in the meeting room, and other participants attending by videoconference, on 11 May 2022. Credentials were received from 26 Contracting Parties (Members), 7 Observers and Invited Experts. The meeting was Chaired by the SCAF Chairperson, Dr Hussain Sinan (Maldives).

The following requests were made by the SCAF.

1. The SCAF NOTED that the I.R. of Iran was responsible for around 48% of the total outstanding contributions. Iran informed the SCAF that it currently has more than USD2 million available to pay its outstanding contributions; however, due to financial sanctions it is not currently able to transfer these funds into the FAO account. The SCAF **REQUESTED** the Secretariat to work with Iran and FAO to find a solution for the transfer. (para 19).
2. Mozambique informed the SCAF that some financial constraints had been recently lifted in Mozambique and that the 2022 payment will be made in June, and the arrears will be paid gradually over future years. The SCAF was informed by Mozambique that the Letter for call for funds should be updated from the Ministry of Agriculture to the Ministry of Seas, Inland Waters and Fisheries. The SCAF **REQUESTED** the Secretariat to ensure this change is made known to FAO. (para 22).
3. The SCAF **REQUESTED** Somalia to provide an update to the Commission on the status of their contribution payments (para 23).
4. Pakistan informed the SCAF that its contribution payments are sometimes affected by fluctuations in foreign exchange and this has contributed to an underpayment of annual contributions. Pakistan further informed that there is a potential error in the outstanding amount of membership contribution every year. The SCAF **REQUESTED** that the Secretariat work with Pakistan and FAO to confirm the total outstanding. (para 24).
5. The SCAF NOTED, that there is no instance of FAO calling for a suspension of assessed contributions as these are a central tenet of Membership of the Organization. The SCAF, therefore **RECOMMENDED** to the Commission that the IOTC Schedule of contributions should continue to include Members that are not engaging with the Commission. However, the SCAF **REQUESTED** the IOTC Chairperson to write to Sudan and Yemen and ascertain their intentions with respect to participating in the IOTC as a Member, including the possibility of becoming a CNCP (para 29).
6. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** the formation of a Small Working Group (SWG) to draft a Rules of Procedure for the operation of the working capital fund in accordance with Regulation IV.5 of the IOTC Financial Regulations. The Membership of the SWG will comprise Australia, Comoros, European Union and Maldives. The SCAF **REQUESTED** that the SWG meet virtually and present the draft Rules of Procedure at SCAF20 (para 39).
7. The SCAF NOTED the concern of some Members that the 2023 budget was higher than the 2022 budget. The SCAF **REQUESTED** Indonesia to discuss options with the Secretariat, including the Scientific Committee Chairperson, on a proposal to develop an alternative budget to present to the Commission, in addition to the originally proposed budget and table of contributions (in Appendices 4 and 5) (para 54).
8. The SCAF **RECALLED** that the draft Programme of Work and Budget is made available to Members 60 days before each SCAF meeting and **REQUESTED** that any Member that wishes to have the SCAF consider a major change to the proposed budget, should provide a document that can be circulated by the Secretariat well in advance of the meeting. (para 55).

The SCAF made the following recommendations to the Commission.

1. The SCAF NOTED, that there is no instance of FAO calling for a suspension of assessed contributions as these are a central tenet of Membership of the Organization. The SCAF, therefore **RECOMMENDED** to the Commission that the IOTC Schedule of contributions should continue to include Members that are not engaging with the Commission. However, the SCAF **REQUESTED** the IOTC Chairperson to write to Sudan and Yemen and ascertain their intentions with respect to participating in the IOTC as a Member, including the possibility of becoming a CNCP (para 29).
2. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** the formation of a Small Working Group (SWG) to draft a Rules of Procedure for the operation of the working capital fund in accordance with Regulation IV.5 of the IOTC Financial Regulations.

The Membership of the SWG will comprise Australia, Comoros, European Union and Maldives. The SCAF REQUESTED that the SWG meet virtually and present the draft Rules of Procedure at SCAF20 (para 39).

3. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Secretariat includes details for the Regional Observer Programme in future financial statements (para 40).
4. The SCAF NOTED that an estimated \$100,000 extra may be required in the 2022 Meetings budget line if the Commission requests TCAC11 and SC25 to be held in hybrid format. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that, should the Meetings budget line need additional funds in 2022, these funds will be first taken from savings in other budget lines, then, only if required, on an exceptional basis, from the Working Capital Fund (para 42).
5. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** a WAIVER of Rule XVI (5) of the IOTC Rules of Procedure regarding the allocation of the Meeting Participation Fund in 2022 to allow maximum participation in at non-scientific meetings (para 43).
6. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission consider the addition of a P2 level data expert to the Secretariat to better match the workload of the data section and overall, strengthen the effectiveness and efficiency of the delivery of data services to the Commission (para 47).
7. The SCAF NOTED the balance of the MPF at the end of 2021 was \$312,981 and AGREED that this balance is unlikely to be fully spent in 2022 due to the ongoing limitations on travel caused by the pandemic. The SCAF therefore **RECOMMENDED** that the annual budget allocation for the MPF (normally \$250,000) be set at \$0 for 2023 (para 50).
8. However, NOTING the desire to of the Commission to resume in-person meetings, the SCAF **RECOMMENDED** the use of up to \$150,000 of the Working Capital Fund for MPF purposes on an exceptional basis, should the MPF require additional funds in 2023 (para 50).
9. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that total expenditure for MPF purposes in 2023 (MPF and WCF combined) shall not exceed \$250,000 (which is the normal budget allocation) (para 50).

On the Programme of Work and Budget Estimates for 2023 and tentatively for 2024

10. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission adopt a Programme of Work and Budget and the scheme of contributions for 2023 and 2024 (indicative) after considering the alternatives provided, noting that any new activities agreed to during the 26th Session of the Commission (S26) that have budgetary consequences, will require an amendment of the figures (para 57).

Opening of the Session

1. The meeting was opened and chaired by the Standing Committee on Administration and Finance (SCAF) Chairperson, Dr Hussain Sinan (Maldives).
2. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the 19th Session of the SCAF was held in a hybrid format, with two-person delegations present physically in the meeting room, and other participants attending by videoconference.

1. Credentials and Admission of observers

3. Letters of Credentials were received from 26 Contracting Parties. China, India, I.R. Iran, Mauritius and Philippines participated virtually.
4. Pursuant to Article VII of the Agreement establishing the IOTC and Rule XIV of the IOTC Rules of Procedure, the Commission admitted the following observers:

Members and Associate Members of the FAO that are not Members of the Commission.

- United States of America

Intergovernmental organizations having special competence in the field of activity of the Commission.

- Western Central Pacific Fisheries Commission

Non-governmental organizations having special competence in the field of activity of the Commission.

- International Pole-and-line Foundation
- PEW Charitable Trusts
- Shark Guardian
- Sharkproject International
- Sustainable Fisheries and Communities Trusts

Invited consultants and experts.

- Taiwan, Province of China.

5. The list of participants is provided in [Appendix 1](#).

2. Adoption of the Agenda

6. The SCAF **ADOPTED** the Agenda provided in [Appendix 2](#). The documents presented to the SCAF are listed in [Appendix 3](#).

3. Report of the IOTC Secretariat

3.1 Report on 2021

7. The SCAF **NOTED** document IOTC–2022–SCAF19–02 which outlined the activities of the Secretariat in 2021 that covered its support to meetings; support to scientific and compliance activities; communications; information technology; and administration.
8. The SCAF **NOTED** the disruptions forced on the Secretariat in 2021 due to the COVID pandemic and having the IOTC office closed and relocated due to a major fire and **COMMENDED** the Secretariat for delivering its services in what was a particularly challenging year.
9. The SCAF **THANKED** the United Nations Resident Coordinator’s Office for Mauritius and Seychelles, the Seychelles Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and the Seychelles Fishing Authority for assisting the Secretariat to find a new office. The SCAF also **ACKNOWLEDGED** the special assistance of the Seychelles Fishing Authority who, at short notice, relocated the IOTC server to one of its facilities.
10. In response to a question about the Consolidated List of Authorized Vessels (para 29), the Secretariat informed the SCAF that the major issue facing the CLAV is that none of the tuna RFMO’s can currently take on the

responsibility to maintain and develop the system. The tuna Secretariats continue to discuss ways of funding and managing the CLAV but no firm plan has been made.

11. In response to a question about the Secretariat receiving summaries of the products offloaded at several canneries associated with the International Seafood Sustainability Foundation (para 32), the Secretariat informed the SCAF that these data are still being received and cleaned, but no decision has been made by the IOTC technical bodies on how these data might be used.
12. In response to a question about the Secretariat having started a collaboration with the FAO GLOBEFISH project to develop a procedure of exchange of socio-economic data sets (para 33), the Secretariat informed the SCAF that the GLOBEFISH project is a potential source of some socio-economic information for IOTC, but that the Commission is yet to decide which socio-economic information it might need in the future.

3.2 Update on requests made by the SCAF in 2021

13. The SCAF **NOTED** paper IOTC–2022–SCAF19–03 which provided the SCAF with information on the progress made during the inter-sessional period on the requests for action made at its 18th Session in 2021.
14. The SCAF **NOTED** that the Secretariat continued to explore the use of videoconference platforms for extending participation in meetings and capacity development activities in 2021. The Secretariat informed the SCAF that data preparatory meetings will be held by videoconference in the future and the SCAF **ENCOURAGED** working groups and working parties to also consider using virtual platforms.

4. Financial Statements

4.1 Contributions Outstanding

15. The SCAF **NOTED** the information presented in document IOTC–2022–SCAF19–05 on the contributions outstanding as of 31 December 2021.
16. The SCAF **NOTED** the cumulative total of outstanding contribution payments has increased by around 5%, from \$3,798,769 as of 31 December 2020 to \$3,984,835 as of 31 December 2021.
17. The SCAF **NOTED** that as of 31 December 2021, 10 Members had outstanding contributions to a total value of \$3,689,529; and the total outstanding contributions of ex-members was \$295,306.
18. The SCAF **NOTED** that no contributions were received from eight Members in 2021, namely Eritrea, I.R. Iran, Madagascar, Mozambique, Somalia, Sudan, Tanzania and Yemen. The SCAF **ALSO NOTED** that partial contributions were received from Comoros and Pakistan, but these did not cover the full amount due.
19. The SCAF **NOTED** that the I.R. of Iran was responsible for around 48% of the total outstanding contributions. Iran informed the SCAF that it currently has more than USD2 million available to pay its outstanding contributions; however, due to financial sanctions it is not currently able to transfer these funds into the FAO account. The SCAF requested the Secretariat to work with Iran and FAO to find a solution for the transfer.
20. Comoros informed the SCAF that it has faced a range of difficulties in paying its contributions over the past few years but remains committed to paying them as permitted within the limits of their financial capacity.
21. Madagascar informed the SCAF that it did not make a contribution in 2021 but has made a payment in 2022 and intends to pay as much as possible of its outstanding contributions by the end of 2022.
22. Mozambique informed the SCAF that some financial constraints had been recently lifted in Mozambique and that the 2022 payment will be made in June, and the arrears will be paid gradually over future years. The SCAF was informed by Mozambique that the Letter for call for funds should be updated from the Ministry of Agriculture to the Ministry of Seas, Inland Waters and Fisheries. The SCAF **REQUESTED** the Secretariat to ensure this change is made known to FAO.
23. The SCAF **REQUESTED** Somalia to provide an update to the Commission on the status of their contribution payments.
24. Pakistan informed the SCAF that its contribution payments are sometimes affected by fluctuations in foreign exchange and this has contributed to an underpayment of annual contributions. Pakistan further informed

that there is a potential error in the outstanding amount of membership contribution every year. The SCAF **REQUESTED** that the Secretariat work with Pakistan and FAO to confirm the total outstanding.

25. The SCAF **STRESSED** the importance of the timely payment of contributions to ensure the effective functioning of the Commission and encouraged Members to consider working with Secretariat and FAO to pay the outstanding contributions on a pre-arranged schedule.
26. The SCAF **NOTED** that as of 31 December 2021, the total of outstanding contributions for Eritrea, Sudan and Yemen was \$1,350,158, and this was equivalent to almost 34% of the total outstanding contributions. The SCAF **RECALLED** that Eritrea has withdrawn from IOTC effective 2024. However, if the history of non-payment of contributions from Sudan and Yemen continues into the future, it means that every year, the annual budget could effectively be reduced by around \$60,000.
27. In 2021, SCAF18 noted the long-term lack of engagement of Eritrea, Sudan and Yemen with the Commission; that they continued to default on their obligations; and the negative impact on the IOTC budget due to Eritrea, Sudan and Yemen not paying their contributions.
28. The SCAF **NOTED** document IOTC-2022-SCAF19-05 informing it that Article III of the FAO Constitution provides that a Member Nation in arrears in the payment of its financial contributions to the Organization shall have no vote in the Conference (although, like IOTC, they can request the Conference to reinstate their voting rights). With regard to Members in arrears and their participation in the Council, no Member Nation in arrears in payment of its financial contributions is eligible for election to the Council. If a Member sitting on the FAO Council should fall into arrears, it will be considered to have resigned and will not receive an invitation to attend Council until it has made sufficient payment of its financial contributions. If it fails to do so before the start of the Council Session, the Member is deemed to have resigned and an invitation to attend Council as an observer is issued.
29. The SCAF **NOTED**, that there is no instance of FAO calling for a suspension of assessed contributions as these are a central tenet of Membership of the Organization. The SCAF, therefore **RECOMMENDED** to the Commission that the IOTC Schedule of contributions should continue to include Members that are not engaging with the Commission. However, the SCAF **REQUESTED** the IOTC Chairperson to write to Sudan and Yemen and ascertain their intentions with respect to participating in the IOTC as a Member, including the possibility of becoming a CNCP.

4.2 IOTC Meeting Participation Fund

30. The SCAF **NOTED** document IOTC–2022–SCAF19–06 which provides past and present details of the IOTC Meeting Participation Fund (MPF).
31. The SCAF **ACKNOWLEDGED** the extra contributions to the MPF received from China (\$18,000) in 2021.
32. The SCAF **NOTED** that travel restrictions due to the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in all IOTC meetings between February 2020 and April 2022 shifting to virtual platforms; and as a consequence, the MPF in both 2020 and 2021 was significantly under-spent.
33. The SCAF **NOTED** the balance of the MPF at the end of 2021 was \$312,981.

4.3 Financial statement: Fiscal year 2021

34. The SCAF **NOTED** paper IOTC–2022–SCAF19–04 which provided the financial statement for the Commission for the period 1 January to 31 December 2021.
35. The SCAF **NOTED** the total of contributions due in 2021 for the 2021 budget was (US)\$4,221,458, and as of 31 December 2021, a total of \$4,035,392 had been received. This amounted to a shortfall of \$186,066. The SCAF further **NOTED** the total of contributions received in 2021 also included outstanding contributions due from years prior to 2021.
36. The SCAF **NOTED** that out of the total budget of \$4,221,458 the Commission spent \$2,847,662 (actuals). This under-expenditure was mainly due to savings in travel, meeting costs and capacity building due to COVID-19

restrictions. There was an under-spend of around 64% in operating expenses and 12% in staff costs (due to one Fishery Officer post remaining vacant for most of the year while recruitment was underway).

37. The SCAF **NOTED** that the unspent budget from 2021 was allocated to the Working Capital Fund.
38. The SCAF **NOTED** that extra-budgetary funds continue to be an important part of the overall budget and **THANKED** Australia (\$34,878) and China (\$18,000) for providing extra-budgetary funds in 2021.
39. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** the formation of a Small Working Group (SWG) to draft a Rules of Procedure for the operation of the working capital fund in accordance with Regulation IV.5 of the IOTC Financial Regulations. The Membership of the SWG will comprise Australia, Comoros, European Union and Maldives. The SCAF **REQUESTED** that the SWG meet virtually and present the draft Rules of Procedure at SCAF20.
40. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Secretariat includes details for the Regional Observer Programme in future financial statements.

4.4 Mid-term financial report 2022

41. The SCAF **NOTED** the mid-term financial report 2022 provided in document IOTC-2022-SCAF19-07.
42. The SCAF **NOTED** that an estimated \$100,000 extra may be required in the 2022 Meetings budget line if the Commission requests TCAC11 and SC25 to be held in hybrid format. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that, should the Meetings budget line need additional funds in 2022, these funds will be first taken from savings in other budget lines, then, only if required, on an exceptional basis, from the Working Capital Fund.
43. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** a WAIVER of Rule XVI (5) of the IOTC Rules of Procedure regarding the allocation of the Meeting Participation Fund in 2022 to allow maximum participation in at non-scientific meetings.

5. Programme of Work and Budget Estimates for 2023 and tentatively for 2024

44. The SCAF **NOTED** document IOTC–2022–SCAF19–08 which outlined the budget estimates for the IOTC Secretariat’s Program of Work for the financial period 1 January to 31 December 2023, together with indicative figures for the 2024 financial period.
45. The SCAF **NOTED** that the overall budget amount proposed for the Administrative Budget for 2023 is US\$ 4,350,095 and is based on IOTC’s normal operations and any updated instructions from the Commission. The SCAF **NOTED** that the Secretariat will be operating with a full complement of staff in 2023.
46. The SCAF **NOTED** the proposed 2023 budget represents a 7% increase from the corresponding 2022 budget that was due mainly to the proposed addition of a new P2 Fishery Officer (Data) post and some increases in operational costs.
47. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission consider the addition of a P2 level data expert to the Secretariat to better match the workload of the data section and overall, strengthen the effectiveness and efficiency of the delivery of data services to the Commission.
48. The Secretariat informed the SCAF that it is working with the European Union to develop a project to support a range of science and compliance activities that have been prioritised by the Commission. The contribution from the European Union will be around \$800,000 and the project is expected to commence later in 2022. The SCAF **WELCOMED** this generous offer.
49. The SCAF **NOTED** the tentative 2024 budget represents a 7% increase from the proposed 2023 budget primarily due to the reinstatement of the normal annual budget allocation of \$250,000 to the MPF.
50. The SCAF **NOTED** the balance of the MPF at the end of 2021 was \$312,981 and **AGREED** that this balance is unlikely to be fully spent in 2022 due to the ongoing limitations on travel caused by the pandemic. The SCAF therefore **RECOMMENDED** that the annual budget allocation for the MPF (normally \$250,000) be set at \$0 for 2023. However, **NOTING** the desire to of the Commission to resume in-person meetings, the SCAF **RECOMMENDED** the use of up to \$150,000 of the Working Capital Fund for MPF purposes on an exceptional basis, should the MPF require additional funds in 2023. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that total expenditure for

MPF purposes in 2023 (MPF and WCF combined) shall not exceed \$250,000 (which is the normal budget allocation).

51. The SCAF **STRONGLY URGED** Members to consider resuming hosting IOTC meetings as this is the normal practice and it is essential for keeping the meeting budget line at the current level.
52. The SCAF **ENCOURAGED** IOTC bodies to consider using virtual and hybrid meeting platforms to the extent possible in the future to improve participation and optimise meeting costs.
53. Thailand informed the SCAF that it intends to host the 2024 Commission and associated meetings. The SCAF **THANKED** Thailand for this generous offer and **ENCOURAGED** all Members to consider hosting meetings in 2023 and onwards.
54. The SCAF **NOTED** the concern of some Members that the 2023 budget was higher than the 2022 budget. The SCAF **REQUESTED** Indonesia to discuss options with the Secretariat, including the Scientific Committee Chairperson, on a proposal to develop an alternative budget to present to the Commission, in addition to the originally proposed budget and table of contributions (in [Appendices 4 and 5](#)).
55. The SCAF **RECALLED** that the draft Programme of Work and Budget is made available to Members 60 days before each SCAF meeting and **REQUESTED** that any Member that wishes to have the SCAF consider a major change to the proposed budget, should provide a document that can be circulated by the Secretariat well in advance of the meeting.
56. The SCAF **AGREED** to defer any endorsement of the 2023 Administrative Budget and corresponding revised table of contributions to the Commission.
57. The SCAF **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission adopt a Programme of Work and Budget and the scheme of contributions for 2023 and 2024 (indicative) after considering the alternatives provided, noting that any new activities agreed to during the 26th Session of the Commission (S26) that have budgetary consequences, will require an amendment of the figures.

6. Any Other Business

58. None.

7. Adoption of the report

59. The report of the 19th Session of the IOTC Standing Committee on Administration and Finance (IOTC–2022–SCAF19–R) was **ADOPTED** on 12 May 2022.

APPENDIX 1**AGENDA FOR 19TH SESSION STANDING COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE****OPENING OF THE MEETING****1. LETTERS OF CREDENTIALS & ADMISSION OF OBSERVERS****2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA****3. REPORT OF THE SECRETARIAT**

3.1 Report on 2021 (IOTC-2022-SCAF19-02)

3.2 Update on the requests made by the SCAF in 2021 (IOTC-2022-SCAF19-03)

4. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4.1 Contributions Outstanding (IOTC-2022-SCAF19-05)

4.2 IOTC Meeting Participation Fund (IOTC-2022-SCAF19-06)

4.3 Financial Statement: Fiscal Year 2021 (IOTC-2022-SCAF19-04)

4.4 Mid-term financial report 2022 (IOTC-2022-SCAF19-07)

5. PROGRAMME OF WORK AND BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 2023 AND TENTATIVELY FOR 2024

Programme of work and budget (IOTC-2022-SCAF19-08)

6. OTHER BUSINESS**7. ADOPTION OF THE REPORT OF SCAF19 (12 May)**

APPENDIX 2
LIST OF DOCUMENTS

Documents are available on the IOTC SCAF19 meeting page [[click here](#)]

Document	Title
IOTC-2021-SCAF19-01b	Draft agenda for SCAF19
IOTC-2021-SCAF19-02	Report of the IOTC Secretariat on the year 2021
IOTC-2021-SCAF19-03	Progress on requests for action made by the SCAF in 2021
IOTC-2021-SCAF19-04	Financial Statement for 2021
IOTC-2021-SCAF19-05	Contributions Outstanding
IOTC-2021-SCAF19-06	IOTC Meeting Participation Fund
IOTC-2021-SCAF19-07	Mid-Term Financial report 2022
IOTC-2021-SCAF19-08	Provisional Program of work and budget for 2023 (and Indicative Budget for 2024)

APPENDIX 3

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

CHAIRPERSON

Dr. Hussain Sinan
Maldives
hsinan@gmail.com

AUSTRALIA

Alternate

Mr Patrick Sachs
Department of Agriculture,
Water and the Environment
patrick.sachs@awe.gov.au

Advisor(s)

Mr Neil Hughes
Department of Agriculture,
Water and the Environment
Neil.hughes@awe.gov.au

Mr Nazmul Alam
Department of Agriculture,
Water and the Environment
nazmul.alam@agriculture.gov.au

Mr Don Bromhead
Department of Agriculture,
Water and the Environment
don.bromhead@awe.gov.au

Mr Terry Romaro
Ship Agencies Australia
terry@saa.com.au

BANGLADESH

Head Of Delegation

Mr A.N.M Nazim
Department of Fisheries
nazim22m@gmail.com

Alternate

Mr Atiar Rahman
Department of Fisheries
atiar_dof@yahoo.com

CHINA

Head Of Delegation

Mr Le li
Bureau of Fisheries
271605498@qq.com

Alternate

Mr Xiaobing Liu
Shanghai Ocean University
xiaobing.liu@hotmail.com

Ms Mengjie Xiao
China Overseas Fisheries
Association
xiaomengjie@cofa.net.cn

Ms Qiuning Li
China Overseas Fisheries
Association
liqiuning@cofa.net.cn

Mr Jiangfeng Zhu
Bureau of Fisheries
jfzhu@shou.edu.cn

Ms Yanan Li
Shanghai Ocean University
liyananxiada@yeah.net

COMOROS

Head Of Delegation

Mr Said Boina
Direction de la Pêche
dalaili@live.fr

Alternate

Mr Kamal Thabit
Direction de la Pêche
thabitik@yahoo.fr

Advisor(s)

Mr Abdou Ali Maaloumi
Direction de la Pêche
cmaaloumi@yahoo.fr

Mr Kamal Mohamed
Direction de la Pêche
kamalmohamed4@gmail.com

EUROPEAN UNION

Head Of Delegation

Mr Marco Valletta
Directorate-General for
Maritime Affairs and Fisheries
(DG MARE) of the European
Commission
marco.valletta@ec.europa.eu

Alternate

Ms Laura Marot
Directorate-General for
Maritime Affairs and Fisheries
(DG MARE) of the European
Commission
laura.marot@ec.europa.eu

Mr Benoit Marcoux
Directorate-General for
Maritime Affairs and Fisheries
(DG MARE) of the European
Commission
benoit.marcoux@ec.europa.eu

Mr Paulien Depickere
paulien.depickere@ec.europa.eu

Ms Natassia Reyes
IRD
nastassia.reyes@ird.fr

Ms Gloria Del Cerro
gcerro@mapa.es

FRANCE(OT)

Head Of Delegation

Ms Anais Melard
Ministère de la Mer
anais.melard@agriculture.gouv.fr

Alternate

Ms Juliette Haziza
Ministère de la Mer
juliette.haziza@agriculture.gouv.fr

Ms Alice Boiffin
Ministère de la Mer
alice.boiffin@agriculture.gouv.fr

INDIA

Head Of Delegation

Mr R. Jeyabaskaran
Minister for Fisheries, Animal
Husbandry & Dairying
dg@fsi.gov.in

Alternate

Mr I. A. Siddiqui
Minister for Fisheries, Animal
Husbandry & Dairying
ia.siddiqui@gov.in

Mr Sijo Varghese
Minister for Fisheries, Animal
Husbandry & Dairying
varghesefsi@hotmail.com

Mr Ansuman Das
Minister for Fisheries, Animal
Husbandry & Dairying
ansuman@fsi.gov.in

Mr Poonam Rani
Minister for Fisheries, Animal
Husbandry & Dairying
poonam.ranii@gov.in

INDONESIA**Head of delegation**

Mr Ridwan Mulyana
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
ridwan.mulyana@kkp.go.id

Alternate

Ms Putuh Suadela
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
putuhsuadela@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Wudianto
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
wudianto59@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Bram Setyadji
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
bramsetyadji@kkp.go.id

Advisor(s)

Mr Ririk Kartika
Sulistyaningsih
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
rk.sulistyaningsih11@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Nilanto Perbowo
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
perbowon@me.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Hary Christijanto
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
hchristijanto@yahoo.com

Advisor(s)

Ms Riana Handayani
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
daya139@yahoo.co.id

Advisor(s)

Ms Saraswati
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
cacasaras@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Hendri Kurniawan
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
hendrikur16@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Alza Rendian
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
alzarendian@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Ms Rennisca Ray Damanti
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries
ennisca@kkp.go.id

Advisor(s)

Mr Richi Richado
Indonesian Longline Tuna
Association
long_way31184@hotmail.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Ridwan Nurzaha
Ministry of Marine Affairs and
Fisheries

ridwan.nurzaha@kkp.go.id

IRAN**Head Of Delegation**

Mr Fariborz Rajaei
Iran Fisheries Organization
rajaeif@gmail.com

JAPAN**Head Of Delegation**

Mr Hiroyuki Morita
International Affairs Division
hiroyuki_morita970@maff.go.jp

Alternate

Ms Maiko Nakasu
International Affairs Division
maiko_nakasu100@maff.go.jp

Advisor(s)

Mr Shunji Fujiwara
Overseas Fishery Cooperation
Foundation of Japan
roku.pacific@gmail.com

KENYA**Head of Delegation**

Mr Daniel Mungai
State Department for
Fisheries, Aquaculture and The
Blue Economy
karemeri@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Benedict Kiilu
State Department for
Fisheries, Aquaculture and The
Blue Economy
bkiilu@yahoo.com

KOREA**Head of Delegation**

Ms Soobin Shim
Ministry of Oceans and
Fisheries
sbin8shim@korea.kr

Alternate

Ms Soo Min Kim
Korea Overseas Fisheries
Cooperation Center
soominkim@kofci.org

MADAGASCAR**Head Of Delegation**

Mr Mahefa Randriamiarisoa
Ministère de la Pêche et de
l'Economie Bleu
ranmahefa@yahoo.fr

Alternate

Mr Antoine Marolova
Rasolomampionona
Ministère de la Pêche et de
l'Economie Bleu
lovastat.mrhp@gmail.com

MALAYSIA**Head Of Delegation**

Mr Arthur Besther Sujang
Department of Fisheries
Malaysia
arthur@dof.gov.my

Alternate

Ms Nor Azlin binti Mokhtar
Department of Fisheries
Malaysia
nor_azlin@dof.gov.my

MALDIVES**Head Of Delegation**

Mr Adam Ziyad
Ministry of Fisheries, Marine
Resources and Agriculture
adam.ziyad@fishagri.gov.mv

Alternate

Ms Maleeha Haleem
Ministry of Fisheries, Marine
Resources and Agriculture
maleeha.haleem@fishagri.gov.mv

MAURITIUS**Advisor(s)**

Ms Annabelle Nisha Odile
Ombrasine
Acting Assistant Parliamentary
Counsel
aombrasine@govmu.org

Advisor(s)

Ms Prema Appadu
Ministry of Blue Economy,
Marine Resources, Fisheries
and Shipping
pappadu@govmu.org

Advisor(s)

Ms Veronique Garrioch
IBL Seafood and Marine
Operations
vero.garrioch@gmail.com

MOZAMBIQUE**Alternate**

Mr Cassamo Junior
Ministry of the Sea, Inland
Waters and Fisheries
cassamo.hassane@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Avelino Munwane
Ministry of the Sea, Inland
Waters and Fisheries
avelinomunwane@gmail.com

OMAN**Alternate**

Mr Al Muatasim Hamood Al
Habsi
Minister of Agricultural,
Fisheries Wealth and Water
Resources
muatasim4@hotmail.com

Tariq Darwish Alalawi

Advisor(s)

Minister of Agricultural,
Fisheries Wealth and Water
Resources
ta_alalawi_211@hotmail.com

PAKISTAN**Alternate**

Mr Farhan Khan
Ministry of Maritime Affairs
farhankhan704@gmail.com

PHILIPPINES**Advisor (s)**

Ms Jennifer Viron
Bureau of Fisheries and
Aquatic Resources

jennyviron@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Mr Marlo Demo-os
Bureau of Fisheries and
Aquatic Resources
mbdemoos@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Ms Mary Joy Mabanglo
Bureau of Fisheries and
Aquatic Resources
mj.mabanglo@gmail.com

SEYCHELLES**Head Of Delegation**

Mr Roy Clarisse
Ministry of Fisheries and Blue
Economy
rclarisse@gov.sc

Advisor(s)

Ms Sheriffa Morel
Ministry of Fisheries and Blue
Economy
sheriffamorel@gov.sc

SOMALIA**Advisor(s)**

Mr Abdirahim Ibrahim Shekh
Heile
Ministry of Fisheries and
Marine Resources
sgunrahim@yahoo.com

SRI LANKA**Head Of Delegation**

Ms Kalyani Hewapathirana
Department of Fisheries &
Aquatic Resources
hewakal2012@gmail.com

Alternate

Mr M.M Ariyaratne
Department of Fisheries &
Aquatic Resources
mma_fi@yahoo.com

SOUTH AFRICA

Absent

SUDAN

Absent

TANZANIA**Alternate**

Mr Zahor M. El Kharousy
Deep Sea Fishing Authority
zahor1m@hotmail.com
Alternate

Advisor(s)

Mr. Emmanuel A. Sweke
Deep Sea Fishing Authority
emmanuel.sweke@dsfa.go.tz

Advisor(s)

Mr Christian A. Nzowa
Deep Sea Fishing Authority
christiannzowa@gmail.com

The PEW Charitable Trusts

Mr Glen Holmes
gholmes@pewtrusts.org

Ms I-Lu Lai
jiachun@ms1.fao.gov.tw

Mr Chia-Chun Wu
jiachun@ms1.fao.gov.tw

Mr Christopher O'Brien
chris.obrien@fao.org

Ms Mirose Govinden
mirose.govinden@fao.org

Ms Annie Trottier
a.trottier@aiic.net

Ms Sylvia Amisi
s.amisi@aiic.org

THAILAND**Head Of Delegation**

Ms Praulai Nootmorn Sukkaew
Department of Fisheries
nootmorn@yahoo.com

Alternate

Mr Pavarot Noranarttragoon
Department of Fisheries
pavarotn@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Ms Thanyalak Ratanadilok Na
Phuket
Department of Fisheries
trthanya@gmail.com

Advisor(s)

Ms Chonticha Kumyoo
Department of Fisheries
chonticha.dof@gmail.com

OBSERVERS**INVITED EXPERTS**

Mr Shih-Ming Kao
kaosm@udel.edu

Mr Ken Chien-Nan Lin

IOTC SECRETARIAT

Ms Claudette Matombe
claudette.matombe@fao.org
Ms Hendreika Monthy
hendreika.monthy@fao.org

Mr Olivier Roux

INTERPRETERS

Ms Pascale Sutherland
pascalesutherland@hotmail.com

Mr Guillaume Fleury
g.fleury@aiic.net

Advisor(s)

Ms Thitirat Rattanawiwan
Department of Fisheries
milky_gm@hotmail.com

UNITED KINGDOM**Alternate**

Mr Marc Owen
Department for Environment,
Food & Rural Affairs
marc.owen@defra.gov.uk

Advisor (s)

Mr Chris Mees
c.mees@mrag.co.uk

YEMEN

Absent

chiennan@ms1.fao.gov.tw

olivier@otolith.com

Mr Howard Whalley
howard.whalley@fao.org

Ms Suzanne Kobine-Roy
s.kobine@aiic.net

Ms Vandana Kawlra
vandana.kawlra@gmail.com

APPENDIX 4

PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2023 AND INDICATIVE BUDGET FOR 2024 (IN US\$)

		Actuals 2021	2022	2023	2024
1	Staff costs				
1.1	Professional				
	Executive Secretary (D1)	194,950	194,797	209,731	213,926
	<i>Science</i>				
	Science Manager (P5)	146,089	145,473	157,578	160,729
	Stock Assessment Expert (P4)	126,716	127,083	137,920	140,679
	Fishery Officer (Science P3)	97,898	96,944	105,643	107,756
	<i>Compliance</i>				
	Compliance Manager (P5)	141,066	140,200	151,972	155,011
	Compliance Coordinator (P4)	133,861	134,717	143,330	146,197
	Compliance Officer (P3)	14,327	96,949	103,146	105,209
	<i>Data</i>				
	Data Coordinator (P4)	128,836	129,837	137,920	140,679
	Statistician (P3)	97,705	96,944	105,643	107,756
	Fishery Officer (P2) Data	-	0	89,230	91,014
	Fishery Officer (P1) Data	62,743	63,145	69,083	70,464
	<i>Admin.</i>				
	Administrative Officer (P3/P4)	123,567	124,965	128,190	130,753
1.2	General Service				
	Administrative Assistant	18,517	13,599	20,478	20,887
	Office Associate	15,649	11,174	17,430	17,778
	Database Assistant	18,525	13,595	20,478	20,887
	Office Assistant	11,277	8,373	13,121	13,384
	Driver	9,804	7,196	0	0
	Overtime	-	5,100	5,100	5,202
	Total Salary Costs	1,341,530	1,410,092	1,615,993	1,648,313
1.3	Employer Pension and Health	383,417	411,844	437,021	445,762
1.4	Employer FAO Entitlement Fund	579,938	703,368	670,875	684,293
1.5	Adjustment entitlement fund	(7,886)			
1.6	Improved Cost Recovery Uplift	66,613	73,234	78,993	80,573
	Total Staff Costs	2,363,611	2,598,537	2,802,883	2,858,940
2	Operating Expenditures				
2.1	Capacity Building	0	40,000	62,000	62,000
2.2	Co-funding Science/Data grants	-33,009	0	0	0
2.3	Co-funding Compliance grants	0	0	0	0
2.4	Misc. Contingencies	0	0	0	0
2.5	Consultants/Service Providers	202,958	588,200	607,400	607,400
2.6	Duty travel	0	165,000	165,000	165,000
2.7	Meetings	18,503	145,000	182,000	182,000
2.8	Interpretation	101,597	135,000	135,000	135,000
2.9	Translation	86,608	110,000	115,000	115,000
2.10	Equipment	16,166	25,000	35,000	35,000
2.11	General Operating Expenses	68,076	75,000	76,000	76,000
2.12	Printing	0	0	0	0
2.13	Contingencies	0	10,000	10,000	10,000
	Total OE	460,899	1,293,200	1,387,400	1,387,400
	SUB-TOTAL	2,824,510	3,891,737	4,190,283	4,246,340
3	Additional Contributions Sevchelles	-122,831	-20,100	-28,750	-28,750
4	FAO Servicing Costs	120,983	175,128	188,563	191,085
5	Deficit Contingency	-	0		
6	Meeting Participation Fund	25,000	25,000	-	250,000
				-	-
	GRAND TOTAL	2,847,661	4,071,765	4,350,095	4,658,676
				7%	7.1%

APPENDIX 5
SCALE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR 2023 (IN US\$)

Country	World Bank Classification in 2020	OECD Membership	Average catch for 2018-2020 (in metric tons)	Base Contribution	Operations Contribution	GNI Contribution	Catch Contribution	Total Contribution (in USD)
Australia	High	Yes	5,053	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$154,670	\$14,459	\$201,755
Bangladesh	Middle	No	145	\$14,500	\$0	\$38,668	\$83	\$53,251
China	Middle	No	69,665	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$39,871	\$111,164
Comoros	Middle	No	12,154	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$6,956	\$78,249
Eritrea	Low	No	498	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$0	\$285	\$32,911
European Union	High	Yes	257,496	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$154,670	\$736,861	\$924,157
France(Terr)	High	Yes	0	\$14,500	\$0	\$154,670	\$0	\$169,170
India	Middle	No	171,178	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$97,970	\$169,263
Indonesia	Middle	No	396,897	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$227,155	\$298,448
Iran, Islamic Republic of	Middle	No	258,760	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$148,096	\$219,389
Japan	High	Yes	12,744	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$154,670	\$36,469	\$223,765
Kenya	Middle	No	4,634	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$2,652	\$73,945
Korea, Rep of	High	Yes	20,742	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$154,670	\$59,355	\$246,651
Madagascar	Low	No	8,514	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$0	\$4,873	\$37,499
Malaysia	Middle	No	23,966	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$13,717	\$85,010
Maldives	Middle	No	143,365	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$82,052	\$153,345
Mauritius	Middle	No	24,026	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$13,751	\$85,044
Mozambique	Low	No	5,578	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$0	\$3,193	\$35,818
Oman	High	No	80,044	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$154,670	\$45,811	\$233,107
Pakistan	Middle	No	51,194	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$29,300	\$100,593
Philippines	Middle	No	0	\$14,500	\$0	\$38,668	\$0	\$53,168
Seychelles	Middle	No	134,686	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$77,085	\$148,378
Somalia	Low	No	0	\$14,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,500
South Africa	Middle	No	758	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$434	\$71,727
Sri Lanka	Middle	No	110,905	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$63,474	\$134,767
Sudan	Low	No	170	\$14,500	\$0	\$0	\$97	\$14,598
Tanzania	Middle	No	11,111	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$6,359	\$77,652
Thailand	Middle	No	20,497	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$38,668	\$11,731	\$83,024
United Kingdom	High	Yes	388	\$14,500	\$0	\$154,670	\$1,110	\$170,281
Yemen	Low	No	29,425	\$14,500	\$18,125	\$0	\$16,841	\$49,466
			Total	435,010	435,010	1,740,038	1,740,038	4,350,095

The World Bank has replaced GNP with gross national income (GNI per capita). GNI more fairly compares nations with widely different populations and standards of living.