

APPENDIX 20

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: SHORTFIN MAKO SHARK (2022)



Table 1. Status of shortfin mako shark (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) in the Indian Ocean.

Area ¹	Indicators		2020 stock status determination
Indian Ocean	Reported catch 2020	869 t	
	Not elsewhere included (nei) sharks ² 2020	22,757 t	
	Average reported catch 2016-20	1,616 t	
	Av. not elsewhere included (nei) sharks ² 2016-20	32,561 t	
	MSY (1,000 t) (80% CI)	unknown	
	F _{MSY} (80% CI)		
SB _{MSY} (1,000 t) (80% CI)			
F _{current} /F _{MSY} (80% CI)			
SB _{current} /SB _{MSY} (80% CI)			
	SB _{current} /SB ₀ (80% CI)		

¹Boundaries for the Indian Ocean = IOTC area of competence

²Includes all other shark catches reported to the IOTC Secretariat, which may contain this species (i.e., SHK: sharks various nei; RSK: requiem sharks nei).

Colour key	Stock overfished (SB _{year} /SB _{MSY} < 1)	Stock not overfished (SB _{year} /SB _{MSY} ≥ 1)
Stock subject to overfishing (F _{year} /F _{MSY} > 1)		
Stock not subject to overfishing (F _{year} /F _{MSY} ≤ 1)		
Not assessed/Uncertain		

Table 2. Shortfin mako shark: IUCN threat status of shortfin mako shark (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) in the Indian Ocean.

Common name	Scientific name	IUCN threat status ³		
		Global status	WIO	EIO
Shortfin mako shark	<i>Isurus oxyrinchus</i>	Endangered	–	–

IUCN = International Union for Conservation of Nature; WIO = Western Indian Ocean; EIO = Eastern Indian Ocean

³The process of the threat assessment from IUCN is independent from the IOTC and is presented for information purpose only

Sources: IUCN Red List 2020, Cailliet 2009

INDIAN OCEAN STOCK – MANAGEMENT ADVICE

Stock status. There remains considerable uncertainty about the relationship between abundance, the standardised CPUE series, and total catches over the past decade (**Table 1**). The ecological risk assessment (ERA) conducted for the Indian Ocean by the WPEB and SC in 2018 consisted of a semi-quantitative risk assessment analysis to evaluate the resilience of shark species to the impact of a given fishery, by combining the biological productivity of the species and its susceptibility to each fishing gear type (Murua *et al.* 2018). Shortfin mako sharks received the highest vulnerability ranking (No. 1) in the ERA rank for longline gear because it was characterised as one of the least productive shark species and has a high susceptibility to longline gear. Shortfin mako sharks were estimated to be the fourth most vulnerable shark species in the ERA ranking for purse seine gear but had lower levels of vulnerability than to longline gear, because of the lower susceptibility of the species to purse seine gear. The current IUCN threat status of ‘‘Endangered’’ applies to shortfin mako sharks globally (**Table 2**). Trends in the Japanese standardised CPUE series from its longline fleet has declined from 1999 to 2004 but has remained relatively stable since 2005. Conversely, trends in EU,Portugal longline standardised CPUE series have been increasing since 2008 as has the trends in the EU,Spain and Taiwanese longline series (see IOTC Supporting Information). There is a paucity of information available on this species, but this situation has been improving in recent years. Shortfin mako sharks are commonly taken by a range of fisheries in the Indian Ocean. Because of their life history characteristics – they are relatively long lived (over 30 years), females mature at 18–21 years, and have relatively few offspring (<25 pups every two or three year–) - the shortfin mako shark is vulnerable to overfishing. Although an attempt was made to assess the shortfin mako stock in 2020, there is no quantitative stock assessment currently available for shortfin mako shark in the Indian Ocean. Therefore, the stock status is **unknown**. This highlights the need for further work on data improvement and provision of abundance indices as well as utilizing complimentary approaches (e.g., genetic tools) to inform the trends in abundance of the stock.

Outlook. Maintaining or increasing effort can result in declines in biomass, productivity and CPUE. Piracy in the western Indian Ocean has resulted in the displacement and subsequent concentration of a substantial portion of longline fishing effort into certain areas in the southern and eastern Indian Ocean. Some longline vessels have returned to their traditional fishing areas in the northwest Indian Ocean, due to the increased security onboard vessels, with the exception of the Japanese fleet which has still not returned to the levels seen before the start of the piracy threat. It is therefore unlikely that global catch and effort on shortfin mako shark has declined in the southern and eastern areas and may have resulted in localised depletion there. It should be noted that subsequent to the past assessment, shortfin mako has been placed on CITES Appendix II and therefore this may influence the landings in the future.

Management advice. In the absence of a stock assessment and noting conflicting information, the Commission should take a cautious approach by implementing management actions that reduce fishing mortality on shortfin mako sharks. While mechanisms exist for encouraging CPCs to comply with their recording and reporting requirements (Resolution 18/07), these need to be further implemented by the Commission so as to better inform scientific advice.

The following key points should also be noted:

- **Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY):** Unknown.
- **Reference points:** Not applicable.
- **Main fishing gear (2015-19):** Longline targeting swordfish; longline (fresh); longline (targeting sharks); gillnet.
- **Main fleets (2015-19):** EU,Spain; South Africa; EU,Portugal; Japan, I.R. Iran, China, Sri Lanka, (Reported as discarded/released alive: Australia, EU,France, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, South Africa).

LITERATURE CITED

- Cailliet GM, Cavanagh RD, Kulka DW, Stevens JD, Soldo A, Clo S, Macias D, Baum J, Kohin S, Duarte A, Holtzhausen JA, Acuña E, Amorim A, Domingo A (2009) *Isurus oxyrinchus*. In: IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. <www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 08 November 2012.
- Murua H, Santiago, J, Coelho, R, Zudaire I, Neves C, Rosa D, Semba Y, Geng Z, Bach P, Arrizabalaga, H., Baez JC, Ramos ML, Zhu JF and Ruiz J. (2018). Updated Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) for shark species caught in fisheries managed by the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC). IOTC–2018–SC21–14_Rev_1.