IOTC-2023-CoC20-FL24[E]-ZAF



Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

Annex: Compliance issues from CoC19.



CPC: South Africa	Responses/clarifications
• Prohibition from intentionally fishing within 1 nautical mile of or interacting with data buoy not legislated as required by Resolution 11/02	South Africa has amended its domestic legislation, through the large pelagic longline permit conditions to include the prohibition as required by the IOTC Resolution 11/02. This matter has now been resolved.
• Mandatory information missing for active vessels as required by Resolution 10/08	All the missing and/or revised information was submitted to the Secretariat.
• Mandatory information missing for list of Authorized vessels 24 metres in length overall or more as required by Resolution 19/04	All the missing and/or revised information was submitted to the Secretariat.
• Mandatory information missing for list of Authorized vessels (less than 24m, operating in waters outside EEZ of the flag state) as required by Resolution 19/04	All the missing and/or revised information was submitted to the Secretariat.
• Has not reported BET 1 st Semester Report to IOTC standard as required by Resolution 01/06	The BET 1 st semester report for 2021 was subsequently submitted to the Secretariat.
• Has not reported BET 2 nd Semester Report to IOTC standard as required by Resolution 01/06	The BET 2 nd semester report for 2021 was subsequently submitted to the Secretariat.
• Has not reported BET Annual Report to IOTC standard as required by Resolution 01/06	The BET annual report was subsequently submitted to the Secretariat.
• Not all information provided on the Competent Authority for the official ATF as required by Resolution 19/04	The information or details were revised and sent to the Secretariat.
• Has not provided particulars of charter agreements, catches, effort, observer coverage (Chartering CP) as required by Resolution 19/07	There was no Chartering Agreement in 2021. Even the flag State in their Compliance Questionnaire specifically said so.
• Has not provided information on the particulars of the charter agreements and detail of vessels (Chartering CP) as required by Resolution 19/07	There was no Chartering Agreement in 2021. Even the flag State in their Compliance Questionnaire specifically said so.
• Has not provided start, suspension, resumption and termination of the fishing operations of chartering agreement as required by Resolution 19/07	There was no Chartering Agreement in 2021. Even the flag State in their Compliance Questionnaire specifically said so.
• Has not provided mandatory information on signatures for coastal State fishing licence as required by Resolution 14/05	This Resolution is not applicable to South Africa, as South Africa does not have a fishing licence agreements.
• Has not provided data for nominal catches in longline fisheries to IOTC standards as required by Resolution 15/02	South Africa submitted the required information as part of its annual submission <i>Mandatory statistical</i> <i>requirements for IOTC Members</i> on 02 June 2021.
• Less than 1 fish per tonne measured for some species for length frequency in longline fisheries as required by Resolution 15/02	South Africa submitted the required information as part of its annual submission <i>Mandatory statistical</i> <i>requirements for IOTC Members</i> on 02 June 2021.
• Prohibition to gaff, lift by the gill slits/spiracles, punch holes through the bodies and obligation to release alive, implementation of live release handling procedures of mobulid rays not legislated as required by Resolution 19/03	South Africa has amended its domestic legislation, through the large pelagic longline permit conditions to include the prohibition as required by the IOTC Resolution 19/03. This matter has now been resolved.