
SUMMARY REPORT ON THE LEVEL OF COMPLIANCEPREPARED BY: IOTC SECRETARIAT, 14 APRIL 2023

PURPOSE

This document intends to provide the Committee with a streamlined approach to discuss some of the IOTC Conservation and Management Measures (CMMs) by IOTC Members and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties (CPCs) that CPCs have more difficulties to implement.

Note: The statistics expressed in this document are sourced from the e-MARIS application at the date of production of this document. They may change during the meetings of the Compliance Committee (CoC20) or the Commission (S27). At any point of time, the original statistics, true and correct, are contained in the e-MARIS application. For information on confirming those statistics, or for any updates that might have happened thereafter, please contact the IOTC Secretariat (iotc-secretariat@fao.org).

BACKGROUND

The choice over which CMMs to report on in this document has been guided by the overall Commission's compliance rate as assessed for 2021 (CoC19). In applying this approach, this document focuses on the reporting of mandatory statistics for IOTC species and sharks. In addition to these measures, more consideration is also given to Resolution 19/04 *Concerning the IOTC Record of Vessels Authorised to Operate in the IOTC Area of Competence*, due to its importance as a tool to identify vessels that are duly authorised to operate in the IOTC Area.

DISCUSSIONS**1. Level of compliance by IOTC CPCs for all Resolutions**


At its 11th Session the Compliance Committee requested the following:

"that for the next Session of the CoC, the Compliance Reports also be presented by CMM, rather than only by CPCs. The intention would be to examine the level of implementation and possibly interpretation of each CMM, which may assist the CoC in identifying where an individual CMM is ineffective and may need to be revised."
(Para 118, IOTC-2014-CoC11-R).

The figures 1, 2 and 3, below, illustrates the overall Commission's compliance rate, the Commission's average compliance rate with 31 Resolutions, and with four mandatory reporting requirements arising from the IOTC Agreement, the IOTC Rules of Procedure, the Commission and Scientific Committee.


The overall Commission's compliance rate for the 2022 compliance assessment cycle is 65%, which represents a decrease (-4%) over the 2021 assessment cycle. Of the 107 individual requirements assessed, 41 were assessed at below the 2021 Commission's average compliance rate. Of the four mandatory reporting requirements assessed, the response to the Feedback Letter and the implementation report found to be slightly below the Commission's average compliance rate.

SUBMISSION RATES




- VERY LOW 6
- LOW 0
- BETTER 7
- BEST 18

COMPLIANCE RATES




- VERY LOW 8
- LOW 3
- BETTER 18
- BEST 2

SUBMISSION RATES



- VERY LOW 6
- LOW 0
- BETTER 7
- BEST 18

COMPLIANCE RATES



- VERY LOW 8
- LOW 3
- BETTER 18
- BEST 2

Figure 1. The level of compliance of the Commission, for 2022, with IOTC Resolutions having reporting requirements.

Very low: The number of CPCs with a compliance rate and a submission rate in the [0%-33%] range across all requirements and reports; Low: The number of CPCs with a compliance rate and a submission rate in the [33%-66%] range across all requirements and reports; Better: The number of CPCs with a compliance rate and a submission rate in the [66%-99%] range across all requirements and reports; Best: The number of CPCs with 100% compliance rate submission rate across all requirements and reports.




Figure 2. Level of compliance of the Commission from 2010 to 2022.

(Note: The level of compliance is expressed in percentage – 107 reporting requirements in 2022.)





Figure 3. Level of compliance of the Commission for 2022 with IOTC Resolutions having reporting requirements.

2. Mandatory reports

2.1. Implementation Report for 2022

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 4. Commission compliance rate.

Compliant	20	AUS, BDG, CHN, COM, FRA OT, IDN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MOZ, PHL, SYC, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	4	EUR, IRN, MUS, TZA
Not Compliant	7	ERI, IND, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	N/A	Report mandatory for all CPCs.


CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	5	ERI, MUS, OMN, SDN, YEM.
----------------------------	---	--------------------------

Most of the CPCs, twenty-four (24), have provided the implementation report. Four (4) CPCs have not completed all the sections of the implementation report at the time of submitting the report. Seven (7) CPCs have not provided the implementation report from which five (5) repeatedly the last two years.

2.2. Compliance questionnaire for 2022

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 5. Commission compliance rate (CoC20 – 2023).

Compliant	25	AUS, BDG, CHN, COM, EUR, FRA OT, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MDG, MYS, MUS, MDV, MOZ, OMN, PHL, SYC, ZAF, LKA, TZA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	0	
Not Compliant	6	ERI, IND, PAK, SDN, SOM, YEM
Not Applicable	N/A	Report mandatory for all CPCs.

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	4	ERI, SOM, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	---	--------------------

Most of the CPCs, twenty-five (25), have provided the compliance questionnaire. Six (6) CPCs have not provided the compliance questionnaire from which four (4) repeatedly the last two years.

2.3. National scientific report

There has been progress in the submission by CPC of the Scientific National Report to the Scientific Committee (2021: 65% ; 2022 81%).




Figure 6. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2022).

Compliant	25	AUS, BDG, CHN, COM, EUR, FRAOT, IND, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, PHL, SYC, SOM, ZAF, LKA, THA, TZA, GBR
Partially Compliant	1	MOZ
Not Compliant	5	ERI, OMN, PAK, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	N/A	Report mandatory for all CPCs.

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	4	ERI, PAK, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	---	--------------------

2.4. Feedback letter (S17)




Figure 7. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	20	AUS, BDG, CHN, EUR, IDN, JPN, KEN, KOR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, SYC, SOM, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	2	COM, IRN

Not Compliant	7	ERI, IND, OMN, PAK, SDN, TZA, YEM
Not Applicable	2	FRAOT, LBR

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	6	ERI, IRN, OMN, PAK, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	---	------------------------------

Most of the CPCs, twenty two (22), have provided a response to the feedback letter. Seven (7) CPCs have not provided a response to the feedback letter. Six (6) had repeated compliance issues the last two years.

3. Resolution 19/04 Concerning the IOTC record of vessels authorised to operate in the IOTC area of competence.

As of 31 December 2022, the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels (RAV) contained a total of 6,268 fishing vessels. The total number of fishing vessels comprised of 2,058 (≈ 33%) vessels of length overall (LOA) of 24m or above, and 4,210 (≈ 67%) vessels of length overall of less than 24m. Twenty CPCs have registered vessels with LOA of 24m or above and eleven CPCs have registered vessels with LOA of less than 24m; same as in the previous year.

Since the entry into force of Resolution 19/04, CPCs are required to provide information on beneficial owners and companies operating the vessels, or indicate non-availability, when requesting inclusion of vessels in the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels. In addition, for vessels authorised to operate outside of the EEZ of their flag State, photographs of the vessels' starboard side, portside side, bow and one photograph showing external markings are required to be provided by CPCs for vessels in the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels. The latter also entered into force, on 1 January 2022, for vessels operating inside the EEZ of their flag State. Also effective from 1 January 2022, is the requirement for CPCs to provide information on the total volume of fish hold(s) (in m3).


Table 1, in Annex 1, provides additional information on numbers and types of vessels included in the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels. Table 2, in Annex 1, provides a summary of the attributes that CPCs are having difficulties to report on.

Figures 6 and 7, below, illustrate the level of compliance with the provision of vessels' attributes for vessels included in the Record of Authorised Vessels, in 2022. The decline in compliance rate with Resolution 19/04 is directly attributed to the new reporting requirements on IMO numbers, beneficial owners, companies operating the vessels and photographs, as documented in [Analysis on problems and possible solutions on the implementation of Resolution 19/04](#), which was requested by CoC19.

The IOTC Secretariat continues to work closely with all concerned CPCs, to ensure that all mandatory data, are included in the IOTC Record of Authorised vessels.


- List of Authorized vessels (24m or more).

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
 10
 Low
 12
 BETTER
 0
 BEST
 9

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
 10
 Low
 12
 BETTER
 0
 BEST
 9

Figure 8. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).


Compliant	9	AUS, EUR, KOR, MYS, MUS, OMN, SYC, TZA, THA
Partially Compliant	11	CHN, IND, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, MDV, MOZ, PHL, ZAF, LKA
Not Compliant	1	BDG
Not Applicable	10	COM, ERI, FRA OT, LBR, MDG, PAK, SOM, SDN, GBR, YEM

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	10	CHN, IND, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, MOZ, PHL, ZAF, LKA
----------------------------	----	--


- List of Authorized vessels (less than 24m).

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
 19
 Low
 8
 BETTER
 0
 BEST
 4

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
 19
 Low
 8
 BETTER
 0
 BEST
 4

Figure 9. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	4	AUS, EUR, MDG, SYC
Partially Compliant	7	IDN, IRN, MDV, MOZ, OMN, ZAF, LKA
Not Compliant	1	BDG
Not Applicable	18	CHN, COM, ERI, FRAOT, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MYS, MUS, PAK, PHL, SOM, SDN, TZA, THA, GBR, YEM

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	6	IDN, IRN, MOZ, OMN, ZAF, LKA
----------------------------	---	------------------------------

Most of the CPCs have provided the full set of vessels' attributes required under predecessors of Resolution 19/04.

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reason:

- Have not provided some of the information required in paragraph 3 of Resolution 19/04.

4. Reporting of mandatory statistics (Resolution 15/02 & 17/05)

Most CPCs continue to report partial data submissions, or datasets that falls short of IOTC reporting standards.

In 2022 a decrease in data reporting was observed in the timeliness of data submissions and also in the proportion of fully or partially reported datasets reported by CPCs. Late reporting compromises the quality of data available for the most recent year, by compromising the time available for the validation and verification of data by the IOTC Secretariat, as well as limiting the data available for stock assessments – especially when data are submitted close to, or during Working Party meetings. In particular in 2022 33% of all dataset were reported late. Furthermore, some CPCs consistently report significant updates to their statistical data submissions for all fisheries by the end of December each year, which is indeed a deadline intended for the reporting of final data for longline fisheries only (Res. 15/02). Regardless of the inherent non-adherence to the requirements of Res. 15/02, this situation has also the negative side effect of rendering the information used for management purposes by the Scientific Committee immediately obsolete (e.g., Skipjack harvest control rules – Res. 16/02, determination of Yellowfin tuna catch reductions – Res. 19/01, stock-assessment projections etc.).

In terms of compliance with Resolution 15/02^{1[1]} across all CPCs, in 2021:

- 48 % of all datasets were fully reported by CPCs in accordance with the requirements of Resolution 15/02 (47% in 2021), of which 44% were reported by the deadline of 30th June (50% in 2020).
- A further 27% of datasets were partially reported by CPCs in accordance with the requirements of Resolution 15/02 (29% in 2021), of which 13% were reported by the deadline of 30th June (38% in 2021).

The timely submission and completeness of data is also highly variable according to the type of dataset. In 2022:

- Total (nominal) catches: 80 % of the total catches were fully reported by CPCs in accordance with the requirements of Resolution 15/02 (58% in 2021), of which 73% were reported by the deadline of 30th June (43% in 2021).
- Catch and effort: 31% of the total catch and effort data were fully reported by CPCs in accordance with the requirements of Resolution 15/02 (35% in 2021), of which 30 % were reported by the deadline of 30th June (56% in 2021).
- Size frequency data: 18% of the total size frequency data were fully reported by CPCs in accordance with the requirements of Resolution 15/02 (14% in 2021), of which 17% were reported by the deadline of 30th June (55% in 2021).

In terms of compliance with Resolution 15/02 at the individual CPC level, in 2021:

- Only 1 CPC (Comoros) was assessed as fully compliant and reported all datasets in accordance with the requirements of Resolution 15/02 and IOTC standard (1 CPCs in 2021, Comoros).
- 22 CPCs were assessed as partially compliant and reported data submissions that were either incomplete or included datasets not fully reported in accordance with the requirements of Resolution 15/02 (21 CPCs in 2021).
- Five CPCs (Eritrea, Pakistan, Somalia, Sudan, and Yemen) were assessed as non-compliant and submitted no datasets to the IOTC Secretariat. With exception of Pakistan, the other same 4 CPCs have not reported any datasets to the IOTC for a period of more than three years.
- Four CPCs, France (OT), Philippines, Liberia and Senegal did not have fishing vessels operating in the IOTC Area in 2021.


^{1[1]} Assessed in terms of compliance with the 12 reporting requirements for Resolution 15/02. This includes nominal catches, catch-and-effort, and size frequency data for IOTC species and major sharks species.

4.1. Summary for mandatory statistics for IOTC Species

4.1.1. Nominal Catch

4.1.1.1. Coastal fisheries

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 10. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

CPCs Compliant	10	AUS, COM, EUR, IDN, MYS, MUS, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR
CPCs Partially Compliant	7	BGD, IND, IRN, MDV, OMN, MOZ, OMN, SYC, TZA
CPCs Not Compliant	8	ERI, KEN, MDG, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
CPCs Not Applicable	6	CHN, FRAOT, JPN, KOR, LBR, PHL

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	11	BDG, ERI, IND, KEN, MDG, MOZ, PAK, SYC, SOM, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	----	---

4.1.1.2. Longline fisheries

COMPLIANCE RATES





Figure 11. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).


Compliant	10	AUS, CHN, IND, JPN, KOR, MYS, MOZ, SYC, ZAF, LKA
Partially Compliant	5	EUR, IDN, KEN, MDG, OMN
Not Compliant	1	TZA
Not Applicable	15	BDG, COM, ERI, FRAOT, IRN, LBR, MDV, MUS, PAK, PHL, SOM, SDN, THA, GBR, YEM

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	3	KEN, OMN, TZA
----------------------------	---	---------------


4.1.1.3. Discards

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
3
Low
20
BETTER
0
BEST
8

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
3
Low
20
BETTER
0
BEST
8

Figure 12. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	8	AUS, IND, KOR, MYS, SYC, LKA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	6	CHN, IND, MDG, MUS, MOZ, ZAF
Not Compliant	14	BDG, COM, ERI, EUR, IRN, JPN, KEN, MDV, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, TZA, YEM
Not Applicable	3	FRAOT, LBR, PHL

CPCs with compliance issues

The level of reporting of discard remains low, twenty (20) CPCs are not reporting discard according to the IOTC standard defined by Resolution 15/02 for IOTC fisheries.

4.1.1.4. Report on zero catches matrix

Compliance with the obligation to report the zero catches matrix, is presented in meeting document [IOTC-2023-CoC20-05 Implementation of reporting obligations of nominal catch data – Resolution 18/07](#).

4.1.2. Catch & Effort


4.1.2.1. Coastal fisheries

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
6
Low
18
BETTER
0
BEST
7

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
6
Low
18
BETTER
0
BEST
7

Figure 13. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	7	COM, MYS, MUS, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	8	AUS, EUR, IDN, IRN, MDV, MOZ, SYC, TZA
Not Compliant	10	BDG, ERI, IND, KEN, MDG, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM

Not Applicable	6	CHN, FRAOT, JPN, KOR, LBR, PHL
----------------	---	--------------------------------

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	18	AUS, BDG, ERI, EUR, IND, IDN, IRN, KEN, MDG, MDV, MOZ, OMN, PAK, SYC, SOM, SDN, TZA, YEM
----------------------------	----	--

4.1.2.2. Surface fisheries

COMPLIANCE RATES





Figure 14. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	6	AUS, KOR, MDV, MUS, SYC, LKA
Partially Compliant	3	EUR, IDN, IRN
Not Compliant	1	KEN
Not Applicable	21	BDG, CHN, COM, ERI, FRAOT, IND, JPN, LBR, MDG, MYZ, MOZ, OMN, PAK, PHL, SOM, ZAF, SDN, TZA, THA, GBR, YEM


CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	3	EUR, IDN, IRN
----------------------------	---	---------------

4.1.3. Size Frequency

4.1.3.1. Coastal fisheries

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 15. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).


Compliant	3	MUS, ZAF, GBR
Partially Compliant	11	COM, EUR, IND, IRN, MYS, MDV, MOZ, SYC, LKA, TZA, THA
Not Compliant	11	AUS, BDG, ERI, IND, KEN, MDG, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	6	CHN, FRAOT, JPN, KOR, LBR, PHL

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	21	AUS, BDG, ERI, EUR, IND, IDN, IRN, KEN, MDG, MYS, MDV, MOZ, OMN, PAK, SYC, SOM, LKA, SDN, TZA, THA, YEM
----------------------------	-----------	---

4.1.3.2. Surface fisheries: PS, BB & GN

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 16. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).


Compliant	2	AUS, SYC
Partially Compliant	7	EUR, IDN, IRN, KOR, MDV, MUS, LKA
Not Compliant	1	KEN
Not Applicable	21	BDG, CHN, COM, ERI, FRAOT, IND, JPN, LBR, MDG, MYS, MOZ, OMN, PAK, PHL, SOM, ZAF, SDN, YEM, TZA, THA, GBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	6	EUR, IRN, KOR, MDV, MUS, LKA
----------------------------	----------	------------------------------

4.1.3.3. Longline fisheries

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 17. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	3	AUS, IND, MYS
Partially Compliant	8	CHN, EUR, IDN, KOR, MOZ, SYC, ZAF, LKA
Not Compliant	5	JPN, KEN, MDG, OMN, TZA
Not Applicable	15	BDG, COM, ERI, FRAOT, IRN, LBR, MDV, MUS, PAK, PHL, SOM, SDN, THA, GBR, YEM

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	12	CHN, EUR, IDN, JPN, KEN, KOR, MDG, MOZ, OMN, SYC, ZAF, LKA.
----------------------------	-----------	---

4.2. Summary for mandatory statistics for Sharks

4.2.1. Nominal catch

COMPLIANCE RATES

COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 18. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	10	AUS, CHN, COM, JPN, MYS, MUS, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	11	BGD, EUR, IND, IDN, IRN, KOR, MDG, MOZ, OMN, SYC, TZA
Not Compliant	6	ERI, KEN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	4	FRAOT, LBR, MDV, PHL

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	12	BDG, ERI, EUR, IND, KEN, MDG, MOZ, SYC, SOM, SDN, TZA, YEM
----------------------------	-----------	--

4.2.2. Catch & effort

COMPLIANCE RATES

COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 19. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).


Compliant	10	AUS, CHN, COM, JPN, MYS, MUS, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	9	EUR, IND, IDN, IRN, KOR, MDG, MOZ, SYC, TZA
Not Compliant	8	BDG, ERI, KEN, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	4	FRAOT, LBR, MDV, PHL

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	15	BDG, ERI, EUR, IND, IDN, IRN, KEN, MDG, MOZ, OMN, PAK, SYC, SOM, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	-----------	---

4.2.3. Size frequency

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 20. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	5	AUS, MUS, LKA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	10	CHN, COM, EUR, IND, IDN, KOR, MOZ, SYC, ZAF, TZA
Not Compliant	12	BDG, ERI, IRN, JPN, KEN, MDG, MYS, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	4	FRAOT, LBR, MDV, PHL

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	18	BDG, ERI, EUR, IND, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, KOR, MDG, MOZ, OMN, PAK, SYC, SOM, SDN, TZA, YEM
----------------------------	-----------	--

5. Resolution 19/07 On Vessel Chartering in the IOTC Area of Competence

- Particulars of the charter agreements in 2022




Figure 21. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	3	MOZ, SDN, ZAF
Partially Compliant	0	
Not Compliant	4	ERI, PAK, SOM, YEM
Not Applicable	24	AUS, BGD, CHN, COM, EUR, FRAOT, GBR, IND, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, LKA, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, OMN, PHL, SYC, TZA, THA

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	2	ERI, YEM
----------------------------	----------	----------

- Information on the particulars of the charter agreements and detail of vessels (chartering CP) in 2022




Figure 22. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	2	ZAF, SDN
Partially Compliant	1	MOZ
Not Compliant	5	ERI, IND, PAK, SOM, YEM
Not Applicable	23	AUS, BGD, CHN, COM, EUR, FRAOT, GBR, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, LKA, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, OMN, PHL, SYC, TZA, THA

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	2	ERI, YEM
----------------------------	---	----------

- Consent, measures, agreement implementation of IOTC CMMs (flag CPC) in 2022




Figure 23. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).


Compliant	3	JPN, SDN, SYC
Partially Compliant	0	
Not Compliant	5	ERI, IND, PAK, SOM, YEM
Not Applicable	23	AUS, BGD, CHN, COM, EUR, FRAOT, IDN, IRN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, OMN, PHL, LKA, TZA, THA, GBR

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	1	ERI
----------------------------	---	-----

- Start, suspension, resumption and termination of the fishing operations under the chartering agreement in 2022.

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 24. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	5	JPN, MOZ, SYC, ZAF, SDN
Partially Compliant	0	
Not Compliant	5	ERI, IND, PAK, SOM, YEM
Not Applicable	21	AUS, BGD, CHN, COM, EUR, FRAOT, IDN, IRN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, OMN, PHL, LKA, TZA, THA, GBR


CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	1	ERI
----------------------------	---	-----

6. Resolution 14/05 Concerning a record of licensed foreign vessels fishing for IOTC species in the IOTC area of competence and access agreement information.

- List of foreign vessels licensed in EEZ.

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 25. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	8	COM, EUR, FRAOT, MDG, MUS, MOZ, SYC, TZA
Partially Compliant	2	PAK, SOM
Not Compliant	4	ERI, IND, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	17	AUS, BDG, CHN, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MYS, MDV, OMN, PHL, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR


CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	3	ERI, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	---	---------------

Most of the coastal State CPCs, eight (8), are licensing foreign vessels to fish in their EEZ and have provided the list. Six (6) CPCs have not provided the list of foreign vessels licensed to fish in their EEZ from which three (3) repeatedly the last two years.

- Foreign vessels denied a licence.

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 26. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	8	COM, EUR, FRAOT, MDG, MUS, MOZ, SYC, TZA
Partially Compliant	2	PAK, SOM
Not Compliant	4	ERI, IND, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	17	AUS, BDG, CHN, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MYS, MDV, OMN, PHL, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR


CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	3	ERI, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	---	---------------

None of the coastal State CPCs, eight (8), that are licensing foreign vessels to fish in their EEZ have denied a licence to foreign vessels.

- Access agreements information.

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 27. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	9	CHN, COM, EUR, FRAOT, JPN, MDG, MUS, MOZ, SYC
Partially Compliant	3	PAK, SOM, TZA
Not Compliant	4	ERI, IND, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	15	AUS, BDG, IDN, IRN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MYS, MDV, OMN, PHL, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	4	ERI, SDN, SOM, YEM
----------------------------	---	--------------------


From the nine (9) CPCs compliant with this requirement:

- Five (5) CPCs have provided a NIL report, no CPC-CPC agreement in 2022 (CHN, COM, FRAOT, JPN, MOZ),
- Five (5) CPCs had declared having agreements in 2022:
 - CPC-CPC: EU Mayotte with SYC; MUS with EU, SYC, JPN; SYC with EU, MUS; TZA with China Overseas Fisheries Association;
 - MDG two private access agreements (company INTERATUN & association JAPAN TUNA). TZA two private access agreements (Abacora Company & Anabac Group).

Seven (7) CPCs have compliance issues with this requirement for the following reasons:

- have not provided copy of the agreements (TZA, SOM), no information provided (IND, PAK, ERI, SDN, YEM), SOM has provided information on a CPC-CPC agreement for 27 LL vessels. Clarification requested by Secretariat on the reporting year, the flag CPC and copy of the written agreement missing. No response received from Somalia.
- Official coastal State fishing Licence.

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 28. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	7	EUR, FRAOT, KEN, MUS, MOZ, SYC, TZA
Partially Compliant	4	COM, MDG, PAK, SOM
Not Compliant	4	ERI, IND, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	16	AUS, BDG, CHN, IDN, IRN, JPN, KOR, LBR, MYS, MDV, OMN, PHL, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	4	COM, ERI, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	---	--------------------

Eight (8) CPCs have compliance issues with this requirement for the following reasons:

- No information provided (IND, PAK, ERI, SDN, YEM), missing terms and conditions of the coastal State fishing license (COM), no update received for the template of the Coastal State Fishing License (MDG).

7. Resolution 18/05 On Management Measures for the Conservation of the Billfishes: Striped Marlin, Black Marlin, Blue Marlin and Indo-Pacific Sailfish

- Retain on board, tranship, land, striped marlin, black marlin, blue marlin, indo-pacific sailfish smaller than 60 cm lower jaw fork length.




Figure 29. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	17	AUS, EUR, FRAOT, JPN, KEN, KOR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, SYC, ZAF, LKA, THA and GBR
Partially Compliant	5	BDG, CHN, COM, IDN, IRN
Not Compliant	8	ERI, IND, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, TZA and YEM
Not Applicable	1	LBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	9	BDG, COM, IDN, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN and YEM
----------------------------	----------	---

Thirteen (13) CPCs have compliance issues with this requirement for the following reasons:

- have not transposed or not fully transposed the ban in the national legislation as required by the IOTC Agreement and/or have not provided the national legislation, legal reference, terms and conditions of the Authorisation to Fish having force of law;
- have provided the national legislation or the terms and conditions of the Authorisation to Fish having force of law but no provision for the ban was found in those documents.

8. Resolution 15/01 On the recording of catch and effort data by fishing vessels in the IOTC Area of Competence


- Official fishing logbooks.

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
3
Low
13
BETTER
0
BEST
15

COMPLIANCE RATES



N/A
3
Low
13
BETTER
0
BEST
15

Figure 30. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	15	AUS, BDG, CHN, EUR, IDN, JPN, KOR, MYS, MDG, MDV, MUS, SYC, LKA, THA and GBR
Partially Compliant	9	COM, IND, IRN, KEN, MOZ, OMN, PAK, ZAF and TZA
Not Compliant	4	ERI, SOM, SDN and YEM
Not Applicable	3	FRAOT, LBR and PHL

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	4	ERI, SOM, SDN and YEM
----------------------------	---	-----------------------

Most of the CPCs have provided official fishing logbook template for vessels over 24 metres length overall and those under 24 metres fishing outside the EEZ in compliance with the Paragraph 4 of the Resolution 15/01 (<https://iotc.org/compliance/fishing-logbooks-templates-samples>).

The Resolution makes provision for flag CPCs, who are developing CPC, to develop and implement the data recording system described in the Resolutions 15/01 from 2016:

11. Noting the difficulty in implementing a data recording system on fishing vessels from developing CPCs, the data recording systems for vessels less than 24 meters of developing CPCs operating inside the EEZ shall be implemented progressively from 1 July 2016.

The 21th September 2022, the Secretariat reminded coastal State CPCs of the requirement of paragraph 11 of Resolution 15/01 and enquired regarding the status of implementation of the official national fishing logbook for coastal fisheries' vessels less than 24 meters operating inside the EEZ.

With regards to the status of implementation of paragraph 11 of Resolution 15/01:

- From the fifteen (15) CPCs compliant with this requirement:
 - Ten (10) coastal State CPCs, have fishing logbooks for coastal vessels operating in EEZ (AUS, BDG, EUR, IDN, MYS, MDV, LKA, SYC, THA and GBR),
 - Two (2) CPCs, MDG and MUS, have confirmed no fishing logbook for the artisanal/coastal vessels due to the nature of the fishery or *catch data are recorded during unloading at Fish landing Stations*.
- From the fifteen (13) CPCs with compliance issues with this requirement:

- have not provided the official fishing logbook template for vessels less than 24 meters operating inside the EEZ, but have declared catches for coastal fisheries for several type of fishing gears during the last five years.

9. Resolution 17/07 On the prohibition to use large-scale driftnets in the IOTC area

Pakistan objected to Resolution 17/07, the ban is applicable to Pakistan under Resolution 12/12 in the High Sea.

- Ban on large-scale driftnets in the IOTC area of competence.




Figure 31. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	18	AUS, CHN, EUR, FRAOT, IDN, KOR, MDG, MDV, MUS, MOZ, OMN, PHL, SYC, ZAF, LKA, TZA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	5	BGD, IRN, JPN, KEN, MYS
Not Compliant	6	COM, ERI, IND, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	1	LBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	3	BGD, MYS, SOM
----------------------------	----------	---------------

Most of the CPCs have provided legislation that covers the requirement for banning the use of large-scale driftnets in the IOTC area of competence.

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- have not provided legislation that has banned the use of large-scale driftnets in the IOTC area of competence.
- have provided legislation that does not cover all vessels operating beyond territorial waters, or does not include a specific legal reference or text on the ban.

10. Resolution 19/02 - Procedures on a fish aggregating devices (FADs) management plan

The compliance with the obligation to report 2023 FAD management plans, to report on progress of implementation of 2022 FADs management plans and the obligation for FADs to be marked is presented in meeting document: [Summary of compliance with and collection of drifting fish aggregating devices management plans](#)

11. Resolution 16/07 On the use of artificial lights to attract fish.

- Use surface or submerged artificial lights to attract fish.

COMPLIANCE RATES

COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 32. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	19	AUS, CHN, EUR, FRA(OT), IDN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, SYC ZAF, TZA, THA
Partially Compliant	4	BGD, IRN, OMN, LKA
Not Compliant	6	ERI, IND, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	2	COM, GBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	2	BGD, OMN
----------------------------	---	----------

Most of the CPCs have provided legislation that covers the requirement for banning lights.

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- have not provided legislation that has banned the use of lights;
- have provided legislation that covers only part of the fleet or not all vessels operating beyond territorial waters, or have not provided a specific legal reference or text.

12. Resolution 16/08 On the prohibition of the use of aircrafts and unmanned aerial vehicles as fishing aids.

- Use aircrafts and unmanned aerial vehicles.

COMPLIANCE RATES

COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 33. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	19	AUS, CHN, EUR, FRA(OT), IDN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, SYC, ZAF, TZA, THA
Partially Compliant	5	BGD, COM, IRN, OMN, LKA
Not Compliant	6	ERI, IND, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	1	GBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	2	BGD, OMN
----------------------------	---	----------

Most of the CPCs have provided legislation that covers the requirement for banning aircraft.


CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- Have not provided any legislation on banning aircraft;
- have provided legislation that covers only part of the fleet or for not all vessels operating within the IOTC area of competence, or have not provided any specific legal reference or text;
- have declared the requirement not applicable, when it applies to all CPCs having fishing vessels within the IOTC area of competence.

13. Resolution 21/01 On an interim plan for rebuilding the Indian Ocean yellowfin tuna stock in the IOTC area of competence.

- Catch limits – Nominal catch of YFT in 2021.

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 34. Commission’s compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	3	JPN, MUS, LKA
Partially Compliant	1	SYC
Not Compliant	1	EU
Not Applicable	15	AUS, BGD, CHN, COM, ERI, FRA(OT), KEN, KOR, LBR, MYS, MDV, MOZ, PAK, PHL, ZAF, SDN, TZA, THA, UK, YEM

CPCs with objections to Resolution 21/01 but subject to Resolutions 18/01 or 19/01.

Compliant	0	
Partially Compliant	0	
Not Compliant	2	IDN, IRN
Not Applicable	4	IND, MDG, OMN, SOM

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	1	SYC
----------------------------	----------	-----

Most CPCs were not subject to YFT catch reduction in 2021

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- Have not achieved yellowfin catch reduction for a gear or all gears subject to catch reduction in 2021.
- list of vessels having fished for yellowfin tuna in 2022.




Figure 35. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	16	AUS, BDG, CHN, EUR, FRAOT, JPN, KOR, LBR, MYS, MDV, PHL, SYC, ZAF, LKA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	4	KEN, MUS, MOZ, TZA
Not Compliant	5	COM, ERI, PAK, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	6	<i>Objection: IND, IDN, IRN, MDG, OMN SOM</i>

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	8	COM, ERI, KEN, MUS, MOZ, SDN, TZA, YEM
----------------------------	----------	--

List of vessels fishing yellowfin tuna in 2022.

CPC	List submitted	YFT Industrial (IND) catch		YFT Artisanal (ART) catch		Reported vessels		Total	Type	Range		Remarks
		2022	5 Y History	2022	5 Y History	From/on RAV (IND)	not on RAV (ART)			Vessel/gear	LOA (m)	
AUS	30/03/2023	NYR	2021-2017	NYR	2021-2017	2	0	2	LL	34	119-230	2 fishing EEZ
BDG	N/A	NO	NO	NYR	2021-2020	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	Nil report
CHN	13/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	78	N/A	78	LL	33-50	255-684	78 fishing High Sea
COM	NO	NYR	NO	NYR	2021-2017	N/A	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	Not list submitted
ERI	NO	NYR	N/A	NYR	UNK	N/A	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	No vessel on the RAV Not list submitted
EU PRT	28/02/2023	NYR	2017	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	2	LL	25-40	177-593	No area of operation
EU ITA	28/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	1	PS	75	2137	1 fishing EEZ/high sea
EU FRA	28/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	NYR	2021-2017	58	74	132	PS, LL, LI, TROL, HABB	'5-89	0.8-2666	All fishing EEZ
EU ESP	28/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	25	N/A	25	PS, SP, LL	25-116	170-4406	20 fishing EEZ/high sea; 5 High sea
FRA ^{OT}	N/A	NYR	NO	NYR	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No vessel on RAV No artisanal fishery
GBR	13/02/2023	NYR	2020-2017	NYR	NO	N/A	9 (Recreational)	9	HL	'5-14	N/A	EEZ
IND	N/A	Objection 21/01										Objection 21/01
IDN	N/A	Objection 21/01										Objection 21/01
IRN	N/A	Objection 21/01										Objection 21/01
JPN	13/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	38	N/A	38	LL	47-56	447-741	15 fishing EEZ/high sea; 23 high sea
KEN	13/02/2023	NYR	2021-2018	NYR	2021-2017	6	UNR	6	LL	25-49	194-628	2 fishing EEZ; 4 EEZ high sea
KOR	13/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	7	LL, PS	49-74	617-2227	No area of operation
LBR	N/A	NYR	NO	NYR	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No fishing vessel on RAV Not IO coastal State
MDG	N/A											Objection 21/01
MYS	13/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	NYR	NO	20	0	20	LL	24-36	83-116	All fishing High sea
MDV	22/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	NYR	2021-2017	465	0	465	BB	'10-35	'2-99	All vessels fishing EEZ
MUS	15/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	NYR	2021-2017	18	UNR	18	PS, SP, LL	29-89	98-2667	All fishing EEZ/high sea
MOZ	11/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	NYR	2021-2017	6	UNR	6	LL	23-24	61	All fishing EEZ
OMN	N/A											Objection 21/01
PAK	NO	N/A	NO	NYR	2021-2017	N/A	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	No vessel on the RAV Fishing EEZ
PHL	N/A	NYR	2017	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not IO coastal State No presence in IO since 2018
SOM	N/A											Objection 21/01
SYC	02/03/2023	NYR	2021-2017	NYR	2021-2017	76	22	98	LL, PS	12-106	011-4428	43 fishing EEZ/high sea; 55 no area of operation
ZAF	01/03/2023	NYR	2021-2017	NYR	NO	8	10	18	LL	21-50	64-676	17 fishing EEZ; 1 High Sea
LKA	13/02/2023	NYR	2021-2017	NYR	2021-2017	1383	3972	5355	GI, LL, LI, MU, TROL	'5-30	1-146	4308 fishing EEZ; 1033 EEZ High sea; 14 high sea
THA	13/02/2023	NYR	N/A	NYR	2021	N/A	3	3	PS	21-24	98-117	All fishing EEZ
TZA	NO	NYR	2021-2019	NYR	2021-2017	3	4334	4337	PS, LL	24-93	90-4146	Not list submitted
SDN	NO	NYR	N/A	NYR	UNK	N/A	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	Not list submitted
YEM	NO	NYR	N/A	NYR	2021-2017	N/A	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	UNR	Not list submitted

NYR: Not yet reported (reporting on 30.06.2023)/ UNR: Unreported / Catch history: Artisanal - Coastal & Industrial: Source: <https://iotc.org/data/browser>

Four (4) CPCs have provided a nil report (BDG, FRAOT, LBR and PHL). No vessel fishing for yellowfin tuna in 2022 or no fishing vessel on the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels or no coastal fishery in 2022.

Nine CPCs have compliance issues with this requirement for the following reasons:

- KEN, MUS, MOZ and TZA have reported a list of vessels fishing for yellowfin tuna in the IOTC area of competence only for vessels on the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels or no vessels reported for coastal/artisanal fisheries. All have a history of yellowfin tuna catch from 2017 to 2021 for the coastal/artisanal fisheries. Some CPCs have indicated, some small boats of 6-8 meters (MUS), number of coastal vessels (4334, TZA).
- COM, ERI, PAK, SDN and YEM have not reported a list of vessels fishing for yellowfin tuna in the IOTC area of competence, no vessels reported for coastal/artisanal fisheries. All CPCs have a history of yellowfin tuna catch from 2017 to 2021 for the coastal/artisanal fisheries.

List of vessels fishing yellowfin tuna in 2021.

List submitted	YFT Industrial catch		YFT Artisanal catch		Reported vessels			Type	Range		Remarks	
	2021	History	2021	History	IND from RAV	ART not on RAV	Total		Vessel/gear	LOA (m)		GT
AUS	25/02/2022	20	2021-2017	2	2021-2017	2	UNR	2	LL	34-35	119-230	None
BDG	21/11/2022	0	NO	142	2021-2020	N/A	UNR	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	None
CHN	NO	2702	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	UNR	N/A	UNR	UNK	UNK	UNK	Not list submitted
COM	NO (Reminder sent 18/11/2022)	0	NO	3770.5	2021-2017	N/A	UNR	UNR	UNK	UNK	UNK	Not list submitted
ERI	NO (Reminder sent 18/11/2022)	UNK	N/A	UNK	UNK	N/A	UNR	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	No vessel on the RAV Not list submitted
EU PRT	19/04/2022 Nil report	0	2017	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	None
EU ITA	NO	3000	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	1	PS	75	2137	None
EU FRA	11/04/2022	28572	2021-2017	497 (MYT RUN)	2021-2017	30	86	116	PS, LL, LI, LLF, TROL, HABB	'5-89	0.8-2667	Vessels from RAV & artisanal reported
EU ESP	25/02/2022	44365	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	25	N/A	25	PS, SP, LL	27-116	170-4406	None
FRA ^{OT}	N/A	N/A	NO	N/A	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No vessel on RAV No artisanal fishery
GBR	11/03/2022	N/A	2020-2017	2.6	NO	N/A	6 (Recreational)	6	LI	'5-10	N/A	None
IND	N/A											Objection 21/01
IDN	N/A											Objection 21/01
IRN	N/A											Objection 21/01
JPN	NO	931	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	UNR	N/A	UNR	UNK	UNK	UNK	Not list submitted
KEN	NO (Reminder sent 18/11/2022)	178	2021-2018	3464	2021-2017	6	UNR	6	LL	25-49	194-628	Not list submitted
KOR	28/02/2022	6207.95	2021-2017	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	7	LL, PS	49-74	617-2407	None
LBR	N/A	N/A	NO	N/A	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No fishing vessel on RAV Not IO coastal State
MDG	N/A											Objection 21/01
MYS	02/03/2022	391	2021-2017	0	NO	19	0	19	LL	24-37	83-204	None
MDV	10/01/2023	16013	2021-2017	8535	2021-2017	657	21	678	BB	'3-36	'0.1-97	All vessels fishing EEZ
MUS	25/02/2022	9641	2021-2017	70	2021-2017	18	UNR	3	PS	79-90	2667	Only vessels from RAV & no artisanal reported
MOZ	25/02/2022	159	2021-2017	200	2021-2017	6	UNR	6	LL	23-34	61-62	Only vessels from RAV & no artisanal reported
OMN	N/A											Objection 21/01
PAK	NO	N/A	NO	8470	2021-2017	N/A	UNR	UNK	UNK	UNK	UNK	No vessel on the RAV Fishing EEZ
PHL	24/02/2022 Nil report	N/A	2017	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not IO coastal State No presence in IO since 2018
SOM	N/A											Objection 21/01
SYC	28/02/2022	33196	2021-2017	905	2021-2017	104	12	116	LL, PS	13-107	011-4428	Vessels from RAV & artisanal reported
ZAF	24/11/2022	307	2021-2017	N/A	NO	9	6	16	LL, BB	'11-36	25-455	All vessels fishing EEZ
LKA	23/04/2022	5376	2021-2017	25942	2021-2017	1366	4351	5717	GI, LL, LI, MU, TROL	'2-31	1-106	Vessels from RAV & artisanal reported
THA	22/11/2022	N/A	N/A	1	2021	N/A	6	6	PS	21-24	49-96	All vessels fishing EEZ
TZA	NO (Reminder sent 18/11/2022)	4	2021-2019	3904	2021-2017	UNR	UNR	0	UNK	UNK	UNK	Not list submitted
SDN	NO (Reminder sent 18/11/2022)	N/A	N/A	UNK	UNK	N/A	UNR	0	UNK	UNK	UNK	Not list submitted
YEM	NO (Reminder sent 18/11/2022)	N/A	N/A	18134	2021-2017	N/A	UNR	0	UNK	UNK	UNK	Not list submitted

NYR: Not yet reported / UNR: Unreported / GBR: source IOTC-2022-SC25-NR29_Rev1 / Catch history: Artisanal - Coastal & Industrial, source: <https://iotc.org/data/browser>

Fourteen CPCs have compliance issues for the following reasons:

- MUS and MOZ have reported a list of vessels fishing for yellowfin tuna in 2021 only for vessels on the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels. No vessels reported for coastal/artisanal fisheries. MUS and MOZ have a history of yellowfin tuna catch from 2017 to 2021 for the coastal/artisanal fisheries,
- CHN, COM, ERI, EU-ITA, JPN, KEN, PAK, TZA, SDN and YEM have not reported a list of vessels fishing for yellowfin tuna in the IOTC area of competence in 2021. Some coastal States have a history of yellowfin tuna catch from 2017 to 2021 for the coastal/artisanal fisheries.

14. Resolution 11/02 Prohibition of fishing on data buoys.

- Intentionally fish within 1 nautical mile of or interact with data buoy.




Figure 36. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	17	AUS, CHN, EUR, FRAOT, IDN, JPN, KOR, MDG, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, SYC, ZAF, TZA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	6	BGD, COM, IRN, KEN, MYS, LKA
Not Compliant	7	ERI, IND, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	1	LBR

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	6	BGD, COM, KEN, OMN, PAK, SOM
----------------------------	---	------------------------------

Most of the CPCs have provided legislation that covers the requirement for banning intentionally fishing within 1 nautical mile of or interacting with data buoys.

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- have not provided any information or have indicated that the ban has not been implemented.
- have provided legislation that does not cover all vessels operating beyond territorial waters, or does not include a specific legal reference or text on the ban.

- Prohibition to: Take on board a data buoy.




Figure37. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	17	AUS, CHN, EUR, FRAOT, IDN, JPN, KOR, MDG, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, SYC, ZAF, TZA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	5	BGD, COM, IRN, KEN, MYS
Not Compliant	8	ERI, IND, LKA, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	1	LBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	6	BGD, COM, KEN, OMN, PAK, SOM
----------------------------	---	------------------------------

Most of the CPCs have provided legislation that covers the requirement for banning taking on board a data buoy.

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- have not provided any information or have indicated that the ban has not been implemented.
- have not provided legislation that has banned taking on board data buoys.
- have provided legislation that does not cover all vessels operating beyond territorial waters, or does not include a specific legal reference or text on the ban.

15. Resolution 19/03 On the conservation of mobulid rays caught in association with fisheries in the IOTC Area of Competence.

- Intentionally setting any gear type on Mobulid rays.




Figure 38. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	17	AUS, EUR, FRA(OT), IDN, JPN, KEN, KOR, MYS, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, SYC, ZAF, LKA, TZA, THA
Partially Compliant	4	BGD, CHN, IRN, MDG
Not Compliant	8	COM, ERI, IND, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	2	LBR, GBR

CPCs with compliance issues


Repeated compliance issues	7	BGD, CHN, COM, MDG, OMN, PAK, SOM
----------------------------	---	-----------------------------------

Most of the CPCs have provided legislation that prohibits setting any gear type on mobulid rays.

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- have not provided any legislation that covers the prohibition;
 - have provided legislation that covers part of the fleet (this Resolution applies to all vessels authorised to fish tuna or tuna-like species), or does not cover all Mobulid rays, or refers to other aspects of Resolution 19/03 than setting gears (refers to retaining on board, transshipping and landing), or no precise legal reference or text provided.
- Retain onboard, tranship, land, store mobulid rays.

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure39. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	20	AUS, CHN, EUR, FRA(OT), IDN, JPN, KEN, KOR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, SYC, ZAF, LKA, TZA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	3	BGD, COM, IRN
Not Compliant	7	ERI, IND, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	1	LBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	6	ERI, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	---	------------------------------

Most of the CPCs have provided legislation that covers the prohibition to retain onboard, tranship, land, store mobulid rays.

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- have not provided any information or any legislation covering the provision;
- have provided legislation that does not refer to Manta, have not provided a document, or have not referred to any precise provision.

- Gaff, lift by the gill slits/spiracles, punch holes through the bodies / Obligation to: release alive, implement of live release handling procedures of mobulid rays.




Figure 40. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	18	AUS, EUR, FRA(OT), IDN, JPN, KEN, KOR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, ZAF, LKA, TZA, THA, GBR
Partially Compliant	5	BGD, CHN, COM, IRN, SYC
Not Compliant	7	ERI, IND, OMN, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	1	LBR

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	8	BGD, CHN, ERI, PAK, SYC, SOM, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	---	--

Most of the CPCs have provided legislation that covers the prohibition to gaff, lift by the gill slits/spiracles, punch holes through the bodies, and the obligation to release alive, implement of live release handling procedures of mobulid rays.

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- have not provided any information or any legislation;
- have provided legislation that has yet to be approved, or does not cover all fisheries as per Resolution, or only prohibits retaining on board, transshipping and landing mobulid rays, or no document provided nor precise paragraph referred to.

16. Resolution 17/05 On the conservation of sharks caught in association with fisheries managed by IOTC.

- Shark finning.




Figure 41. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	20	AUS, CHN, COM, EUR, FRAOT, GBR, IDN, KEN, KOR, LKA, MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, MOZ, OMN, SYC, TZA, THA, ZAF
Partially Compliant	4	BGD, IRN, JPN, SDN
Not Compliant	5	ERI, IND, PAK, SOM, YEM
Not Applicable	2	LBR, PHL

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	BGD, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	-------------------------

Most of the CPCs have provided legislation that covers the prohibition on shark finning.

CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- have not provided any information or any legislation;
- have provided legislation that transposes partially the prohibition: not inclusion of reference to sharks landed fresh or landed frozen, or not inclusion for sharks landed frozen of the obligation of not having on board fins that total more than 5% of the weight of sharks on board.

17. Relating to the establishment of an IOTC programme of inspection in port (Resolution 05/03)

- List of foreign fishing vessels which have landed in their ports tuna and tuna-like species caught in the IOTC area in the preceding year (2021)




Figure 42. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	6	KEN, MDG, MYS, MUS, TZA, THA
Partially Compliant	2	SYC, ZAF
Not Compliant	5	ERI, PAK, SOM, SDN and YEM
Not Applicable	18	AUS, BDG, CHN, COM, EUR, FRAOT, IND, IDN, IRN, JPN, KOR, LBR, MDV, MOZ, OMN, PHL, LKA, GBR

CPC with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	5	ERI, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	----------	-------------------------

Most of the CPCs have provided the list of Foreign fishing vessels which have landed in their ports in 2021.

Seven (7) CPCs have compliance issues with this requirement for the following reasons:

- ERI, PAK, SOM, SDN and YEM have not provided any information.
- SYC and ZAF have provided a list with contradiction with data from the e-PSM application (number of landings, quantities landed, missing landings information).

18. Resolution 22/02 On establishing a programme for transhipment by large-scale fishing vessels

- Report on transhipments in foreign ports




Figure 43. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	9	CHN, GBR, LKA, MYS, MDV, MUS, MOZ, PHL, ZAF
Partially Compliant	6	EUR, JPN, KOR, OMN, SYC, TZA
Not Compliant	1	IND
Not Applicable	15	AUS, BGD, COM, ERI, FRAOT, IDN, IRN, KEN, LBR, MDG, PAK, SOM, SDN, THA, YEM

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	2	OMN, SYC
----------------------------	---	----------

Most of the CPCs have provided the report on transhipments in foreign ports conducted by their flag LSTVs in 2022. CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- have not provided any information.
- have provided a list of LSTVs and/or quantities transhipped in contradiction with data from the e-PSM application.

19. Resolution 01/06 Concerning the IOTC bigeye tuna statistical document programme

- 2nd Semester 2021 report on import of frozen bigeye tuna.




Figure 44. Commission’s compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	9	AUS, EU, IDN, JPN, KEN, KOR, MUS, THA, UK
Partially Compliant	1	ZAF
Not Compliant	5	ERI, PAK, PHL, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	16	BDG, CHN, COM, FRA(OT), IND, IRN, LBR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MOZ, OMN, SYC, SOM, LKA, TZA


CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	2	ZAF, SDN
----------------------------	---	----------

Most of the CPCs are not importing frozen bigeye tuna. CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- Have not provided any information.
 - Have provided the annual report instead of the semester report.
- 1st Semester 2022 report on import of frozen bigeye tuna.

COMPLIANCE RATES



COMPLIANCE RATES




Figure 45. Commission’s compliance rate (CoC20, 2023)

Compliant	9	AUS, CHN, EU, IDN, JPN, KOR, MUS, THA, UK
Partially Compliant	2	PHL, ZAF
Not Compliant	5	ERI, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
Not Applicable	15	BDG, COM, FRA(OT), IND, IRN, KEN, LBR, MDG, MYS, MDV, MOZ, OMN, SYC, LKA, TZA

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	2	ZAF, SDN
----------------------------	---	----------

Most of the CPCs are not importing frozen bigeye tuna. CPCs have been assessed P/C or N/C for the following reasons:

- Have not provided any information.
- Have not reported some of the mandatory information in the semester report.

20. Resolution 16/11 On Port State measures to prevent, deter and eliminate illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing.

From the nine reporting requirements related to the Resolution 16/11, two have compliance rates below the 2022 Commission compliance rate. They are presented below and compliance issues for those nine reporting requirements are presented in the summary at the end of this section.

- Information required: inspection reports.




Figure 46. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	8	MDG, MYS, MDV, MUS, SYC, ZAF, LKA and THA
Partially Compliant	0	
Not Compliant	6	ERI, PAK, SOM, SDN, TZA and YEM
Not Applicable	17	AUS, BDG, CHN, COM, EUR, FRA(OT), IND, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MOZ, OMN, PHL and GBR.

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	5	ERI, PAK, SOM, SDN, YEM
----------------------------	---	-------------------------

There are 16 CPCs that have designated ports in the IOTC Area of Competence.

- At least 5% inspection of landing (LAN) or transshipment (TRX).




Figure 47. Commission compliance rate (CoC20, 2023).

Compliant	6	MDG, MYS, SYC, ZAF, LKA and THA
Partially Compliant	1	MUS
Not Compliant	5	ERI, PAK, SOM, SDN and YEM
Not Applicable	19	AUS, BDG, CHN, COM, EUR, FRA(OT), IND, IDN, IRN, JPN, KEN, KOR, LBR, MDV, MOZ, OMN, PHL, TZA and GBR.

CPCs with compliance issues

Repeated compliance issues	5	ERI, PAK, SOM, SDN and YEM
----------------------------	---	----------------------------

- Summary of compliance issues with Resolution 16/11.

Designated ports, authorities and notification period: From the sixteen CPCs that have designated ports in the IOTC Area of Competence:

- Three port States have submitted update on their designated ports in 2022. South Africa has provided new information related to the designated ports, Maldives has designated two additional ports and Indonesia has changed designated port names, competent authority and notification period (Resolution 16/11 paragraph 5 - 19.1a)b)c)).
- One port State has changed competent authority in 2022; no updated information was submitted by Mozambique, reminders were sent on 10.10.2022 & 15.11.2022 to request update of PSM information (Resolution 16/11 paragraph 5 - 19.1a)b)c)).
- Bangladesh has not provided information on notification period since the information on designated ports was provided by Bangladesh on 15 April 2018.
- Eritrea, Sudan and Yemen have not provided PSM information since the entry into force of the IOTC PSM Resolution, in 2011.

The information on designated ports is available in the [e-PSM application](#) and the [IOTC PSM web page](#).

Inspection reports: Eight port States have conducted inspection of foreign vessels and have provided inspection reports via the e-PSM application in 2022. One port State, Tanzania have received port calls in 2022 and have not provided inspection reports in 2022.

Most of the port States that are providing inspection reports have non-compliance issues with the three days deadline to submit inspection reports, as defined in the paragraph 13.1 of Resolution 16/11. The e-PSM application is now equipped with a tool that allows port State to conduct inspection on board vessels with a tablet. With this new tool, it is expected that the three (3) days deadline be implemented. To date the Secretariat has delivered the training and equipped five port States with tablets and training on the use of the PIR e-PSM application: Madagascar, Mauritius, Maldives, Seychelles and South Africa.

Port inspection reports are available to CPCs in the [e-PSM application](#) (Credentials to access the e-PSM application and e-PSM library Module 2 are required).

5% inspection of LAN or TRX: From the Eight port States that have conducted inspection of foreign vessels, provided inspection reports and offloading monitoring forms via the e-PSM application, six have conducted 5% or more of inspection/monitoring of offloading and are providing monitoring forms. One port State, Mauritius, has conducted less than 5% inspection/monitoring of offloading.

Port entry denial, port use denial and withdrawal of denial of use: From the sixteen port States that have designated ports in the IOTC Area of Competence and have received port calls, they declared that there has been no denial of entry, no denial of use of port, and consequently no withdrawal of denial of use of port in 2022.

Report of vessels engaged in IUU fishing following an inspection: From the eight port States that have conducted inspection of foreign vessels and provided inspection reports, no port inspection report was received with respect to the Paragraph 15.1 of Resolution 16/11, on clear grounds for believing that a vessel has engaged in IUU fishing or fishing related activities.

Individual CPCs levels of compliance between 2010 and 2022 are presented at Annex 2.

RECOMMENDATION/S

That the CoC20:

- 1) **NOTE** the information provided in document IOTC–2023–CoC20–03;
- 2) **NOTE** the recurrent low level of compliance with Resolution 15/02 (Catch statistics) and Resolution 17/05 (Catch statistics on sharks),
- 3) **NOTE** the continued low level of compliance with the size frequency requirement for all fisheries,
- 4) **NOTE** that some port States are not providing port inspection reports.
- 5) **NOTE** that some CPCs are not providing the full list of vessels fishing yellow fin tuna in the IOTC Area of Competence,
- 6) **NOTE** that some port States are not reporting the change of their designated ports to the Secretariat,
- 7) **NOTE** that some port States are not inspecting/monitoring at least 5% of landing and transshipment in 2022,
- 8) **NOTE** that all port States have reported no denial of entry and no denial of use of port, and consequently no withdrawal of denial of use of port in 2022,
- 9) **NOTE** that the e-PSM application is equipped with a tool that allows port States to conduct inspection on board vessels with a tablet and that five port States have been trained and provided with tablets, allowing CPCs to comply with the three (3) days deadline to submit inspection reports,
- 10) **NOTE** that some compliance issues are related to the lack of transposition of IOTC Resolutions into the national legislation, the absence of reporting of legal reference and consider to recommend to the Commission to urge CPC to provide to the Commission, where possible in one of the two official IOTC languages, copies of laws, regulations and administrative instructions in force (including terms and conditions of flag State Authorisation to Fish having force of law) relating to the conservation and management of stocks covered by this Agreement and to inform the Commission of any amendment or repeal of such laws, regulations and administrative instructions, in compliance with the Article XI.2 of the IOTC Agreement,
- 11) **NOTE** that the level of submissions of the IOTC reporting requirements has increased with the use of the e-MARIS application and consider recommending to the Commission to encourage CPCs to make use of the e-MARIS application.

Annex 1**Table 1.** Number of fishing vessels, by vessel types, in the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels on 31 December 2022.

CPC	Number Ships	Gill Net	Line	Longline	Multipurpose	Pole and Line	Purse seine	Research vessel	Supply vessel	Trawler
Australia	62		3	49		1	9			
China	126			126						
European Union	108			73			28		7	
India	4			4						
Indonesia	621		2	427			192			
Iran	1,310	1,295		5			8			2
Japan	185			173			10	2		
Kenya	7			7						
Korea, Republic of	73			65			7		1	
Madagascar	8			8						
Malaysia	10			10						
Maldives	1,040			29		1,011				
Mauritius	18			13			4		1	
Mozambique	24			24						
Oman	10			9			1			
Philippines	55			7			48			
Seychelles	86			70			13		3	
South Africa	35			17	17	1				
Sri Lanka	2,478	261	3	878	1,336					
Tanzania	5			3			1		1	
Thailand	3							3		
Grand Total	6,268	1,556	8	1,997	1,353	1,013	321	5	13	2

Table 2. Summary of completeness of information for fishing vessels in the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels on 31 December 2022.

CPC	Number Ships	LOA class		IMO No	IRCS	GT	Fish hold (m ³)	Beneficial Owner	Company	Photos		
		<24	24+							Starboard	Port	Bow
Australia	62	48	14	95%	97%	98%	92%	2%	100%	61%	66%	26%
China	126	0	126	100%	100%	100%	55%	73%	73%	81%	77%	67%
European Union	108	21	87	100%	100%	100%	85%	47%	100%	95%	95%	81%
India	4	0	4	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%
Indonesia	621	162	459	86%	100%	100%	66%	71%	85%	84%	84%	83%
Iran	1,310	815	495	1%	95%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Japan	185	0	185	100%	100%	96%	94%	93%	99%	71%	72%	71%
Kenya	7	0	7	100%	100%	100%	43%	71%	100%	57%	43%	71%
Korea, Republic of	73	0	73	100%	100%	100%	22%	99%	99%	82%	48%	14%
Madagascar	8	8	0	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Malaysia	10	0	10	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	70%	30%	50%
Maldives	1,040	625	415	3%	100%	100%	19%	62%	62%	14%	14%	10%
Mauritius	18	0	18	94%	100%	100%	94%	72%	100%	83%	78%	67%
Mozambique	24	23	1	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	58%	75%	38%
Oman	10	6	4	40%	80%	100%	40%	20%	40%	40%	40%	30%
Philippines	55	0	55	4%	100%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Seychelles	86	26	60	100%	100%	100%	77%	98%	99%	92%	93%	62%
South Africa	35	21	14	77%	100%	100%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%
Sri Lanka	2,478	2,455	23	1%	85%	100%	60%	63%	63%	11%	11%	12%
Tanzania	5	0	5	100%	100%	100%	80%	40%	100%	100%	100%	20%
Thailand	3	0	3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Grand Total	6,268	4,210	2,058	21%	93%	99%	42%	51%	55%	24%	24%	22%

Table 3. Summary of active vessels in the IOTC Area from 2006 to 2022.

CPC	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Australia	10	9	8	13	12	11	11	9	8	9	9	11	11	11	14	2	2
Belize	8	10	9	5	7	7	6	3	4								
China	67	67	46	32	20	15	36	36	47	53	67	81	85	88	80	78	78
European Union	358	112	93	82	69	74	71	76	83	80	85	74	71	68	90	64	67
France (Territories)	2	2	2		4	5	5	5									
Guinea	3																
India	70	77	34	50	64	51	20	15	25	25		4	4	4	4	4	4
Indonesia	1,201				993	1,196	1,275	1,238	458	584	271	246	324	324	382	435	462
Iran	1,016	1,109	1,206	1,307	1,270	1,251	1,233	1,230	1,228	1,195	1,205	1,236	1,221	1,213	1,210	1,302	1,213
Japan	227	217	210	140	112	70	72	73	53	56	46	42	50	50	60	53	43
Kenya		1	2	2	1						1		3	3	10	5	6
Korea, Republic of	29	33	24	20	13	7	10	13	14	20	19	19	15	13	15	8	8
Madagascar	2	1	2		6	4	8	8	7	7	7	7	5	5	5	5	
Malaysia	28	62	58	59	43	8	5	5	11	10	10	19	19	17	17	20	20
Maldives						234	249	318	344	367	372	400	391	393	373	372	375
Mauritius	8	10	8	1	3	4	5	2	7	7	7	7	11	16	4	4	18
Mozambique						1	1		2	9	11	2	2	4	14	6	6
Oman	24	29	27				8	5	3	1	1	1		1	1		4
Pakistan						10											
Philippines	18	17	17	8	7	3	14	9	4								
Senegal	3																
Seychelles	43	45	42	50	50	31	39	43	39	57	84	80	88	97	91	98	95
South Africa	17	16	10			15	13	16	6	15	13	17	24	17	15	14	20
Sri Lanka	1,001	2,631	2,975	3,261	3,295	3,588	2,482	2,241	1,609	1,577	1,455	1,374	1,336	1,182	925	1,194	1,485
Tanzania		3	3		4	1	8	5	3	3	3			1	1		
Thailand	13	11	6	11	10	5	5	5	6	9	1	1					
Uruguay	1																
Vanuatu				4	4		2	17									
Grand Total	4,149	4,462	4,782	5,045	5,987	6,591	5,578	5,372	3,961	4,084	3,667	3,621	3,660	3,507	3,311	3,664	3,906

Annex 2: Individual CPCs level of compliance between 2010 and 2022.

Compliance Rate = number of requirements compliant / number of requirements applicable.

CPCs / year	Compliance rate													Trend
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	
Australia	47%	85%	78%	84%	85%	88%	93%	96%	96%	97%	95%	77%	97%	↑
Bangladesh						10%	9%	16%	39%	27%	32%	29%	43%	↑
China	55%	74%	76%	85%	96%	90%	88%	96%	100%	96%	90%	82%	82%	↑
Comoros	29%	43%	79%	55%	61%	75%	96%	85%	91%	72%	58%	73%	54%	↓
Eritrea	0%	0%	0%	7%	7%	8%	8%	8%	6%	5%	6%	6%	4%	↓
European Union	71%	73%	80%	83%	88%	83%	88%	77%	77%	75%	71%	79%	77%	↓
France (OT)	61%	55%	72%	77%	80%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	↔
India	29%	24%	32%	38%	23%	9%	6%	39%	64%	73%	88%	76%	27%	↓
Indonesia	13%	7%	47%	45%	62%	60%	68%	73%	77%	75%	74%	81%	80%	↓
Iran, Islamic Republic of	11%	52%	60%	65%	69%	75%	76%	71%	70%	56%	73%	73%	31%	↓
Japan	82%	97%	93%	93%	91%	97%	92%	95%	85%	70%	95%	92%	81%	↓

CPCs / year	Compliance rate													Trend
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	
Kenya	3%	8%	31%	66%	71%	66%	49%	42%	39%	71%	76%	64%	65%	↑
Korea, Republic of	77%	84%	92%	89%	96%	97%	91%	95%	95%	93%	91%	88%	92%	↑
Liberia						100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%		88%	↓
Madagascar	13%	18%	22%	75%	81%	66%	81%	65%	74%	61%	77%	73%	75%	↑
Malaysia	11%	26%	17%	40%	57%	56%	75%	74%	79%	80%	79%	87%	93%	↑
Maldives	3%	33%	50%	57%	79%	78%	76%	69%	72%	79%	82%	87%	89%	↑
Mauritius	15%	48%	54%	69%	80%	81%	88%	81%	82%	89%	92%	91%	91%	↔
Mozambique		47%	58%	72%	82%	80%	89%	92%	81%	85%	71%	61%	68%	↑
Oman, Sultanate of	10%	11%	27%	33%	53%	57%	78%	58%	45%	32%	44%	32%	22%	↓
Pakistan	0%	11%	5%	7%	5%	6%	19%	53%	53%	58%	57%	37%	9%	↓
Philippines	18%	52%	48%	61%	80%	67%	79%	60%	67%	73%	87%	78%	86%	↑
Seychelles	36%	47%	41%	56%	74%	73%	72%	78%	70%	73%	80%	80%	86%	↑

CPCs / year	Compliance rate													Trend
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	
Somalia					80%	71%	73%	44%	48%	17%	23%	20%	16%	↓
South Africa	38%	48%	64%	54%	65%	76%	77%	87%	85%	92%	88%	78%	87%	↑
Sri Lanka	5%	18%	47%	51%	60%	74%	77%	82%	87%	90%	86%	76%	83%	↑
Sudan	0%	0%	0%	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	23%	5%	4%	5%	11%	↑
Tanzania	0%	7%	4%	45%	60%	56%	63%	54%	62%	82%	73%	80%	70%	↓
Thailand	28%	38%	43%	44%	45%	68%	66%	85%	84%	92%	91%	98%	98%	↔
United Kingdom	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	↑
Yemen			0%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	6%	6%	4%	↓
Commission (All CPCs)	25%	38%	46%	54%	59%	57.5%	62.1%	66.6%	68.2%	69.7%	73.4%	69.9%	65%	↓

Cells in green indicate CPCs that have benefited from the Compliance Support Mission (CSM) and follow up of CSM. Cells in orange indicate CPCs that have benefited from the DATA - Compliance Support Mission.