



# **India's National Report 2022 to the Scientific Committee of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission 2023**

## ***Report prepared by:***

R. Jeyabaskaran\*, Sijo P. Varghese\*, A. Siva\*, Ansuman Das\*, Manas K. Sinha\*,  
Rajashree U. Pawar\*, Pratibha Rohit#, J. Jeyasankar# and Sanjay Pandey\$

### **\* Fishery Survey of India**

Government of India

Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

### **# ICAR-Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute**

Kochi, Kerala, India

### **\$ Department of Fisheries**

Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry and Dairying,

Government of India, New Delhi, India

## INFORMATION ON FISHERIES, RESEARCH AND STATISTICS

<p>In accordance with IOTC Resolution 15/02, final scientific data for the previous year was provided to the IOTC Secretariat by 30 June of the current year, <b>for all fleets other than longline</b> [e.g. for a National Report submitted to the IOTC Secretariat in 2023, final data for the 2021 calendar year must be provided to the Secretariat by 30 June 2023)</p>	<p>No .....</p>
<p>In accordance with IOTC Resolution 15/02, provisional <b>longline data</b> for the previous year was provided to the IOTC Secretariat by 30 June of the current year [e.g. for a National Report submitted to the IOTC Secretariat in 2023, preliminary data for the 2021 calendar year was provided to the IOTC Secretariat by 30 June 2023).</p> <p><b>REMINDER:</b> Final longline data for the previous year is due to the IOTC Secretariat by 30 Dec of the current year [e.g. for a National Report submitted to the IOTC Secretariat in 2023, final data for the 2022 calendar year must be provided to the Secretariat by 30 December 2023).</p>	<p>No .....</p>
<p>If no, please indicate the reason(s) and intended actions: The submission of final scientific data for the previous year to Secretariat delayed due to internal verification process to ensure correctness. The final data for the 2022 calendar year shall be provided to the Secretariat by 30 December 2023.</p>	

## Executive Summary

The total landings of tuna and tuna-like species along Indian coasts had been showing a decreasing trend in the recent past with an increase in 2022. Total catch recorded during 2022 increased by 17.23% with reference to 2021. The total landings of tuna and tuna-like species for 2022 is estimated at 1,92,988.11 tonnes, against 1,59,744.04 tonnes during 2021. Gillnets remained the major gear contributing to the tuna and tuna like fish catch during 2022 also (28.93%). Small purse seine and trawl nets (19.92% and 15.81% respectively), followed by handline and hook and line were the principal gears contributing the catch. Pole and line fishing, practiced exclusively in the waters of the Lakshadweep archipelago, contributed 3.44% to the total landings. Other gears like ring seine, drift longline, troll line, also contributed to the tuna landings in small quantities during the year.

Considerable spatial variation was observed in the tuna and tuna like species landings during 2022. The west coast of India (FAO area 51) contributed the larger share to the landings (63.78%) and the balance 36.22 % landings came from the east coast (FAO area 57). Tuna landings in 2022 comprised of eight species, out of which five species representing the neritic (59.38%) and three from the oceanic group (40.62%). Kawakawa (*Euthynnus affinis*, 38.24%) and Skipjack (*Katsuwonus pelamis*; 23.00%) contributed the maximum tuna catch, followed by Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) (17.35%).

There was no reporting of sea bird interactions with the tuna fishery during the reporting period. Similarly, there was no reporting of the mortality of sea turtles, marine mammals and whale sharks, which are protected under Schedule 1 of the Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972 of India. The Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR-CMFRI), Fishery Survey of India (FSI) of the Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry & Dairying, Government of India and the Department of Fisheries of the coastal States and Union Territories (UTs) are the main agencies responsible for data collection and collation on tuna fishery.

## Contents

Executive Summary .....	3
List of Tables .....	5
List of Figures.....	5
1.0 Background/General Fishery Information.....	6
2.0 Fleet Structure.....	7
3.0 Catch and Effort by Species and Gear.....	7
3.1 Longline tuna fishery in India.....	12
4.0 Recreational Fishery.....	13
5.0 Ecosystem and By-catch Issues.....	14
5.1 Sharks.....	14
5.1.1. NPOA sharks.....	14
5.1.2. Sharks finning regulation.....	14
5.1.3. Blue shark.....	14
5.2 Sea birds.....	15
5.3 Marine turtles.....	15
5.4 Marine mammals.....	15
6.0 National Data Collection and Processing Systems.....	15
6.1 Log Sheet data collection and verification.....	15
6.2 Vessel Monitoring System.....	15
6.3 Observer programme.....	16
6.4 Port sampling programme	16
6.5 Unloading /Transshipment.....	16
6.6. Actions taken to monitor catches & manage fisheries for Striped Marlin, Black Marlin, Blue Marlin and Indo-pacific Sailfish.....	16
6.7. Gillnet observer coverage and monitoring.....	16
6.8. Sampling plans for Mobulid rays.....	16
7.0. National Research Programmes.....	16
8.0. Status of Implementation of the Recommendations/Resolutions of the IOTC.....	20
9.0. Literature Cited .....	22

## List of Tables


Table 1: Fishing fleet structure of India, 2021	7
Table 2: Tuna and allied resources nominal catch – gear-wise (in tonnes) from the Coastal and oceanic fishery 2021	18
Table 3: Annual trends in the nominal catch (tonnes) of tuna and allied resources (2017-2022)	12
Table 4: Shark species (No.& Weight in kg) caught in the exploratory survey of FSI, 2014-2021	19

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Exclusive Economic Zone of India	6
Fig. 2: Gear-wise catch composition (quantity in t) in tuna fishery in 2022	8
Fig. 3: Group-wise catch composition of tunas and tuna-like fishes, 2022	8
Fig. 4: Nominal catch of tropical tunas (yellowfin, skipjack and bigeye tunas), 2022	9
Fig. 5a: Pattern of tropical tuna catch in west and east coasts of India (2022)	10
Fig. 5b: Map showing group-wise catch composition of tunas and tuna-like fishes (2022)	10
Fig. 6: Tropical tuna catch (2021) by different gears	11
Fig. 7: Area-wise composition of major groups/species	11
Fig. 8: Map showing sampling locations by exploratory longline survey by the FSI vessels (2022)	13

## 1.0 Background/General Fishery Information

India has a long coastline of 8118 km with a continental shelf area of 0.53 million square km and has exclusive rights over the marine living and non-living resources of 2.02 million square kilometres Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ). Owing to this vast area of marine waters under her jurisdiction, marine fisheries have always played an important role in the food and nutritional security, livelihoods and economic prosperity of the nation.



**Figure 1: Exclusive Economic Zone of India**

Further, marine fisheries are a major source of employment and livelihoods for one million coastal fishermen and households, who also generate further multiplier employment in the ancillary activities like fish processing and marketing. Government of India has been keen on the sustainable harvest for perpetuity of fisheries resources.

Fisheries contribute 1.1% to India's economy (GoI, 2022). During 2021-22, the total marine fish production was 4.13 million tonnes (GoI, 2022), and the estimated value of marine fish landings during 2018 was estimated at INR 526.36 billion (approx. USD 7.35 billion) at point of first sale and INR 803.20 billion (approx. USD 11.20 billion) at retail market. India has also become a major global player in the seafood trade, with total seafood exports amounting to over 1.37 million M T, valued at over US\$ 7,759.58 million during 2021-22.

India's Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) covering 2.02 million sq.km contains diverse and multi-species fish stocks, exploited by multi-gear fisheries, which are mostly concentrated in the coastal areas (<100m depth). Major fisheries exploit small pelagics (e.g. sardines, mackerels), demersals (e.g. ribbonfishes) and crustaceans (e.g. shrimps). The fishing fleet structure is mainly comprised of mechanized (61,150 nos.), motorized (1,45,290 nos.) and non-motorized (45,758 nos.), operating from 1,457 landing centres in the 9 coastal States, 2 Union Territories (UTs) and the 2 Island groups.

In India, the small-scale and artisanal sectors largely contribute to the tuna fishery, deploying both mechanized<sup>1</sup> and motorized<sup>2</sup> boats, using a variety of gear. The Lakshadweep group of

<sup>1</sup>**In India, the mechanized fleet pertains to fishing vessels fitted with inboard engines that are used for both propulsion and hauling the gear. The mechanized boats have a wheel house and the entire fleet is below 24-meter length overall (LoA).**

<sup>2</sup>**The Indian motorized fleet comprises undecked boats using outboard motors for propulsion only. The entire fleet is below 24-meter LoA.**

Islands located in the Arabian Sea (FAO Area 51) use artisanal pole and line targeting the surface swimming oceanic species, primarily the skipjack tunas. In the past one decade, efforts were made to convert the small-scale trawlers into longliners to promote resource specific fishing within the country's EEZ.

## 2.0 Fleet Structure

The Indian fishing fleet comprises of an assemblage of fishing boats that mainly include trawlers, gillnetters, small purse/ring seiners, hook and line boats, etc. Other than pole and line boats and to some extent hook and line boats, all other gear catch a variety of species including tunas. Table 1 below provides the data on the fishing fleet structure (2021).

**Table 1: Fishing fleet structure of India**


<b>Craft/Gear</b>	<b>Mechanised</b>	<b>Motorised</b>	<b>Non-motorized</b>	<b>Total</b>
Trawlers	32835	411	37	33283
Gillnetters	18427	89191	25040	132658
Dol/Bagnetters	5568	1307	814	7689
Longliners	524	969	83	1576
Hooks & liners	391	4982	2349	7722
Ring seiners	12	20	11	43
Purse seiners	1044	1	10	1055
Pole & liners	10	686	16	712
Others	2339	47723	17398	67460
<b>Total</b>	<b>61150</b>	<b>145290</b>	<b>45758</b>	<b>252198</b>

## 3.0 Catch and effort by species and gear

The Indian fishery of tuna and tuna-like species (hereinafter referred to as tuna fishery) comprises a complement of 13 types of gear and gear combinations (plus some minor gears operated locally) harvesting a total of 23 tuna and tuna like species in 2022. **Table 2** provides the composition of species/groups harvested by different gear type.


The total landings of tuna and tuna-like species along Indian coasts had been showing a decreasing trend in the recent past with an increase in 2022. Total catch recorded during 2022 increased by 17.23% with reference to 2021. The total landings of tuna and tuna-like species for 2022 is estimated at 1,92,988.11 tonnes, against 1,59,744.04 tonnes during 2021. Gillnets remained the major gear contributing to the tuna and tuna like fish catch was (28.93%) during 2022. Small purse seine and trawl nets (19.92% and 15.81% respectively), followed by handline and hook and line were the principal gears contributing the catch. Pole and line fishing, practiced exclusively in the waters of the Lakshadweep Group of Islands, contributed 3.44% to the total landings. Other gears like ring seine, drift longline, troll line, also contributed to the tuna landings in small quantities during the year (**Fig. 2 & Table 2**).






**Figure 2: Gear-wise catch composition (quantity in t) in tuna fishery in 2022**

The oceanic tunas comprising three species {Yellowfin (YFT), Skipjack (SKJ) and Bigeye (BET) tunas} contributed to 25.29 % of the total tuna and tuna like species landings during 2022. The neritic tunas comprising five species contributed to 36.97 % of the total tuna and tuna like species landings during the same period.



**Figure 3: Group-wise catch composition of tunas and tuna-like fishes, 2022**


The Spanish mackerels also contributed significantly (22.88%) to the total tuna and tuna-like species landings of India during the year 2022. The billfishes, including Indo-Pacific sailfish, marlins and swordfish collectively formed 7.38 %, whereas pelagic sharks (6.34%) and the other species (1.13%) constituted the rest of the landings (**Fig. 3**).




**Fig. 4: Nominal catch of tropical tunas (yellowfin, skipjack and bigeye tunas), 2022**

The nominal catches of tropical tunas (yellowfin, skipjack and bigeye tunas), in 2022 was 48808.61t, comprising 20850.75 t of yellowfin tuna, 27639.19 t of skipjack tuna and 319 t of bigeye tuna (**Fig.4**). Area-wise landings indicate that 63.78 %of the total landings were from the west coast of India including the Lakshadweep Islands (FAO Area 51), whereas the remaining 36.22 % was from the east coast, including the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (FAO Area 57) (**Figs.5a, 5b**).

The landings on the east coast of India comprised 46.74 % of yellowfin, 49.00 % of skipjack and 5.96 % of bigeye tuna, whereas the west coast landings constituted the remaining 53.26 % of yellowfin, 51.00 % of skipjack and 94.04 % of bigeye during 2022.



**Figure 5a. Pattern of tropical tuna catch in west and east coasts of India (2022)**



**Figure 5b: Map showing group-wise catch composition of tunas and tuna-like fishes (2022)**

Ten types fishing gears were employed for catching the tropical tuna species. Drift gillnets remained the major gear contributing to the tuna and tuna like fish catch was (28.93%) during 2022. Small purse seine and trawl nets (19.92% and 15.81% respectively), followed handline and hook and line were the principal gears contributing the catch. Pole and line fishing, practiced exclusively in the waters of the Lakshadweep Group of Islands, contributed 3.44% to the total landings. Other gears like ring seine, drift longline, troll line, also contributed to the tuna landings in small quantities during the year.

Drift gillnet remained the principal gear for exploitation of tropical tunas in India. This gear contributed 37.50 % of the total landings of tropical tunas that comprised 21.48% of yellowfin, 50.01% of skipjack, and 0.22 % of bigeye tuna. The pole and line fishery practiced in the Lakshadweep Islands (South-eastern Arabian Sea) contributed 11.68 % (total catch of tropical tunas), 4.56 % YFT, 16.40 % SKJ and 68.70 % BET.

Tuna fishing using Hook & line and bringing them ashore preserved in ice contributed 15.35 % to the total tropical tuna catch, which included 26.91 % YFT, and 6.82 % SKJ. Boats using gillnet-cum-handline gear contributed to 20.41 % of the total tropical tuna catch, catching 21.14 % of total YFT caught, 20.08 % SKJ and 0.63 % BET. Contributions by the other gears, including small purse seines to the tropical tuna catch of India during 2019 was marginal. Catches by the exploratory longline fishing carried out by the vessels of the Fishery Survey of India (FSI) was very less during this year (**Fig.6**).

Considerable spatial variation was observed in the landings of the tuna and tuna-like species during 2020 in India. The west coast of India (FAO area 51) contributed the larger share (63.78%) and the balance 36.22 % landings came from the east coast (FAO area 57). West

coast, where fishing fleet is more mechanized, dominates the landing across all the groups (Fig. 7). Neritic tunas were the dominant group in the landings of the west coast. More than 99 % of the longtail tuna (*Thunnus tonggol*), 63.47 % of *Auxis thazard*, 83.41 % of *Euthynnus affinis* and 79.32 % of *Auxis rochei* catch was from the west coast. Similarly, tropical tunas were increasingly caught from the west coast.




Figure 6: Tropical tuna catch (2021) by different gears

Analysis of trends in the catch during 2016-2022 revealed remarkable reduction in the catch of tuna and tuna like species over the years (Table 3). The total catch during 2016 was 2,14,066.36 t, which was reduced to 1,92,988.11 t during the current year (2022). Similarly, the catch of yellowfin tuna by the Indian fishery in 2018 was 37,488 t, which shrank to 20,850.75 t during 2022. There were remarkable reductions in the catch of other species, including skipjack, Spanish mackerels, neritic tunas etc. during 2022 in comparison with 2018. However, there was a marginal increase in the catch, especially of tropical tunas during 2021 in comparison with 2020.




Figure 7: Area-wise composition of major groups/species

**Table 3. Annual trends in the nominal catch (tonnes) of Tuna and allied resources (2017-2022)**

Species/group	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
<i>T. albacares</i>	13,932.34	37,488.13	33,553.95	20,794.73	24,515.40	20,850.75
<i>K. pelamis</i>	18,324.25	36,387.69	25,383.16	19,385.05	25,860.87	27,639.19
<i>T. obesus</i>		610.38	1,026.00	1,031.10	760.23	318.67
Marlins	2,027.56	5,454.53	6,027.16	4,952.31	3,657.56	4,029.29
<i>I. platypterus</i>	6,840.82	10,025.92	8,699.52	3,438.03	8,020.59	5,417.01
<i>X. gladius</i>	2,462.66	2,876.73	2,310.34	514.35	1,535.24	2,226.70
Pelagic sharks	18,983.00	959.30	15,247.98	9,926.50	7,965.31	12,242.07
<i>S. commerson</i>	30,169.05	29,959.86	30,780.00	24,462.00		27,334.00
<i>S. guttatus</i>	18,165.00	15,101.28	16,279.00	13,776.00		15,314.00
Spanish mackerels (NEI)					37,655.62	1,518.01
<i>A. thazard</i>	5,424.36	8,806.01	8,669.00	8,487.00	6,145.70	16,299.14
<i>E. affinis</i>	27,680.42	33,208.01	33,863.00	30,134.00	28,628.97	45,944.88
<i>T. tonggol</i>	7,349.00	7,678.30	5,852.00	4,050.00	1,707.23	2,755.00
<i>A. rochei</i>	11,307.00	8,296.76	7,242.00	6,930.00	4,715.22	6,079.00
Rays	0.80	0.20	0.07	0.03	0.26	0.19
NEI	38,335.60	12,074.70	4,947.32	4,800.07	8,575.83	5,020.22
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,01,001.86</b>	<b>2,08,927.79</b>	<b>1,99,880.49</b>	<b>1,52,681.17</b>	<b>1,59,744.04</b>	<b>1,92,988.11</b>


NEI – not elsewhere included

### 3.1 Longline tuna fishery in India

In India, the dedicated longline fishery is practiced by the four fishing vessels of the Fishery Survey of India. The key attributes of these four vessels are as follows:

Name	<i>Matsya Vrushti</i>	Yellow Fin	<i>Matsya Drushti</i>	Blue Marlin
LoA (Meter)	37.5	36	37.5	36
GRT (Tonnage)	465	290	465	290
BHP	1100	800	1100	800
Base of operation	Mumbai	Mormugao	Chennai	Port Blair
IOTC Registration Number	IOTC 003604	IOTC 003602	IOTC 003605	IOTC 003603

The above-referred four longliners undertake exploratory surveys in the Indian EEZ for tuna and tuna-like species. The surveys undertaken during 2022 to assess the resource availability in the Indian EEZ are shown in the following figure (**Fig. 8**). Due to several reasons, the survey operations were limited during the reporting year.



**Figure 8: Map showing sampling locations by exploratory longline survey by the FSI vessels (2022)**

#### 4.0 Recreational Fishery

Presently, recreational fishery for tunas is limited to few licenses issued in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands vide Notification No. 222/2017/F. No. 3-85/2015-16/TS/DF/PF-II) dated 20<sup>th</sup>September 2017 under the Marine Fishing Regulation Act 2003 of the UT Administration<sup>3</sup>.

The National Policy on Marine Fisheries, 2017<sup>4</sup> in its guidance on the promotion of additional/alternative sources of livelihoods, has focused on eco-tourism and in particular game fishing and the concept of Catch, Photograph and Release (CPR) while undertaking such fishing. Further, the government also proposes to promote CPR schemes among fishermen in suitable areas and will also consider harmonizing tourism plans related to coastal and marine waters with the livelihood needs of the fishers.

<sup>3</sup>[http://andssw1.and.nic.in:8081/sfpermit/pdf/gazette\\_notification.pdf](http://andssw1.and.nic.in:8081/sfpermit/pdf/gazette_notification.pdf)

<sup>4</sup><http://dahd.nic.in/news/notification-national-policy-marine-fisheries-2017>

## 5.0 Ecosystem and by-catch issues

### 5.1 Sharks

The landings of pelagic sharks in India by tuna fishery during 2022 were estimated at 12,242.26 tonnes. The west coast (FAO Area 51) accounted for 57.87 %, and the rest (42.13%) from the east coast (FAO Area 57). The mechanized sector contributed to more than 80 % of the total landings. Trawls, gillnets and longlines were the major gears contributing to pelagic shark landings. Targeted shark fishery along the north Kerala coast has almost stopped, following the relocation of fishermen from Tamil Nadu who were engaged in this fishing (Annual Report CMFRI, 2018).

#### 5.1.1. NPOA sharks

The Draft National Plan of Action for Conservation and Management of Sharks (NPOA-Sharks<sup>5</sup>) has been prepared by the Bay of Bengal Programme Inter-Governmental Organisation (BoBP-IGO) in collaboration with the Bay of Bengal Large Marine Ecosystem Project (Phase 1). The same is under consultation with maritime States/provinces.

#### 5.1.2. Sharks finning regulation

In India, the following three species of marine sharks are listed under Schedule I of the Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

Common name	Scientific name
Whale shark	<i>Rhincodon typus</i>
Long nosed shark / Pondicherry shark	<i>Carcharhinus hemiodon</i>
Spear tooth shark	<i>Glyphis glyphis</i>

Further, with a view to stop the hunting of sharks and to enable the enforcement agencies to monitor the illegal hunting/poaching of the species of Elasmobranchs listed in Schedule I of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, the then Ministry of Environment and Forest vide its Policy Circular No. F. No. 4-36/2013 WL dated 25<sup>th</sup> of August 2013 has prohibited the removal of shark fins on board the vessels in the sea. The policy also prohibits any possession of shark fins that are not naturally attached to the body of the shark. In addition, the Ministry of Commerce, Government of India has also notified vide its Order No. 110 (RE. -2013)/2009-2014 dated 6 February 2015 prohibiting export of shark fins of all species of sharks.

#### 5.1.3. Blue shark

Blue sharks are sporadically reported in the shark bycatch in the Indian tuna fishery. However, India has a well-established fishery data collection system, regularly collecting the data on fish catch, including blue sharks and the catches are being monitored domestically. Data on the blue shark catch is recorded and furnished to the IOTC as and when reported. Scientific research on blue sharks is being undertaken and results have been published (e.g., Varghese *et al.*, 2017)

<sup>5</sup><https://www.boblme.org/documentRepository/BOBLME-2015-Ecology-65.pdf>

## **5.2 Sea birds**

There were no reported instances of sea bird interactions in any of the Indian tuna fishery. Indian vessels are not engaged in tuna fishing in the Southern Indian Ocean where the sea bird interactions are reported to be more.

## **5.3 Marine turtles**

All the five species of marine turtles occurring in the Indian waters are listed in the Schedule I of the Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972, hence protected from capture. Further, the bycatch of sea turtles in the Indian longline fishery was remarkably lower than those reported elsewhere (Varghese *et al.*, 2010). However, during the reporting period, no interaction has been observed in the longline catches.

## **5.4 Marine mammals**

Like marine turtles, all the marine mammal species occurring in the Indian waters are protected under the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972. The Government of India through its R&D agencies also undertakes several programmes for conservation of the marine mammal habitats. One such programme pertains to the 'marine mammal stranding interactive map', which provides scientific information on the attributes of the species, its habitat and standard operating procedure in case of stranding (CMFRI, 2018). Further, the Fishery Survey of India, in collaboration with ICAR-CMFRI, ICAR-CIFT and MPEDA is undertaking a programme on "Marine Mammals Stock Assessment in India".

## **6.0 National Data collection and processing systems**

The ICAR-CMFRI collects the landing data in the mainland following a stratified multi-stage random sampling method. The Fishery Survey of India undertakes exploratory surveys and the data from such surveys is also added to the national data processing system. Besides this, the FSI also compiles the landing data received from the two Island Territories – the Lakshadweep and the Andaman & Nicobar Group of Islands.

### **6.1 Log Sheet data collection and verification**

The authorized tuna fishing vessels in India are reporting their catch on log sheets as per the IOTC Resolutions.

### **6.2 Vessel Monitoring System**

While several coastal states in India have installed Vessel Tracking System (VTS) and Automatic Identification System (AIS), a full-fledged Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) is under planning and will be implemented once necessary regulatory approvals are received from other concerned Ministries/Departments within the Government system.



### **6.3 Observer programme**

In India, observers are placed on-board on all the authorized tuna longlining vessels.

### **6.4 Port sampling programme**

The ICAR-CMFRI and the Department of Fisheries of the coastal State/UTs undertake sampling programmes at the designated centers, following a standard methodology. Besides estimating the landings, important biological and socio-economic information is also collected on a regular basis.

### **6.5 Unloading / Transshipment**

Both unloading by foreign fishing vessels and mid-sea transshipment are not permitted under the prevailing rules/regulations.

### **6.6. Actions taken to monitor catches & manage fisheries for Striped Marlin, Black Marlin, Blue Marlin and Indo-pacific Sailfish**

The landings of Striped Marlin, Black Marlin, Blue Marlin and Indo-pacific Sailfish are monitored through the sampling programme listed in 6.4.

### **6.7. Gillnet observer coverage and monitoring**

India does not have a large-scale gillnet fishery registered in the IOTC RAV.

### **6.8 Sampling plans for Mobulid rays**

India has a national sampling programme, for all the fish caught, including Mobulid rays caught by the artisanal fishery. The fishery and biology data are being collected at national as well as State (Province) levels.

## **7.0 National Research Programmes**

India has a long-standing research programme on land-based sampling and sea-based exploratory surveys of tuna fishery. The ICAR-CMFRI along with the coastal States/UTs undertakes regular sampling and estimation of the tuna fishery resources from designated landing points along the Indian coastline. Besides estimating the tuna fishery landings, studies on biological and socio-economic attributes of tuna fisheries are also carried out by the Institute on a regular basis. The survey of oceanic resources is undertaken by FSI through its four dedicated longliners, two based on the east coast and two on the west coast. These modern longliners undertake exploratory surveys on a regular basis through pre-determined sampling programmes. The exploratory surveys provide information on the distribution of tuna resources in the Indian EEZ, effort, by-catch and also various environmental parameters to correlate with the exploitation of tuna fishery resources. The FSI, has embarked on a large programme to undertake the marine mammal stock assessment in India, wherein the status of

marine mammals in the Indian seas within the EEZ will be monitored, the marine mammal interaction and mortality in fishing will be studied in detail and the mitigation measures will be identified. The Marine Products Export Development Authority (MPEDA) and the ICAR-Central Institute of Fisheries Technology (ICAR-CIFT) are the collaborating Institutes. A research project entitled "Stock Structure of Yellowfin tuna in the Indian EEZ" is being implemented to delineate the stock structure of yellowfin tuna in the Indian EEZ and adjoining high seas. The FSI and ICAR-CMFRI are the participating Institutes.

Besides the above two dedicated institutions, various other agencies, both governmental and non-governmental also undertake R&D activities on tuna fishery. The Centre for Marine Living Resources and Ecology under the Ministry of Earth Sciences (MoES) also undertakes exploratory surveys of the fishery resources in the Indian EEZ. These surveys often include programmes on tuna fishery. In addition, the Department of Science & Technology of the Ministry of Science & Technology, the Indian National Centre for Ocean Information Services and the National Institute of Ocean Technology under the MoES also undertake dedicated research and development activities on tuna fisheries. Further, the Wildlife Institute of India, an autonomous body under the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change is working on development of programmes to monitor the marine mammals in the Indian EEZ.

**Table 2: Tuna and allied resources nominal catch – gear-wise (in tonnes) from the coastal and oceanic fishery 2022**

Species/group	Longline	Gillnet	Pole &Line	Handline	Troll line	Harpoon	Gillnet & Handline	Hook & Line	Small Purse seine	Ring seine	Beach seine	Trawl net	Boat seine	Misc	Grand total
<i>Thunnus albacares</i>	155.2	4477.8	951.5	1109.9	518.3		4408.0	5610.0	278.0	928.0		1763.0		651.0	<b>20850.8</b>
<i>Katsuwonus pelamis</i>	131.6	13823.3	4532.1	223.5	384.6		5550.0	1884.0	40.0			897.0	8.0	165.0	<b>27639.2</b>
<i>Thunnus obesus</i>	17.4	0.7	218.9	36.4	43.2		2.0								<b>318.7</b>
<i>Auxis rochei</i>		2600.0					335.0	659.0	1943.0	52.0		34.0	75.0	381.0	<b>6079.0</b>
<i>Thunnus tonggol</i>		2143.0						1.0	577.0			34.0			<b>2755.0</b>
<i>Euthynnus affinis</i>	1.7	10442.6	344.8	39.8	160.1		4280.0	1950.0	23269.0	1934.0	231.0	2655.0	387.0	250.0	<b>45944.9</b>
<i>Auxis thazard</i>	1353.2	4161.9	267.1	31.5	102.3		84.0	233.0	6764.0	3054.0		78.0	141.0	29.0	<b>16299.1</b>
<i>Sarda orientalis</i>		195.0					29.0	23.0		3.0		16.0			<b>266.0</b>
<i>Xiphias gladius</i>	0.9	916.0		1.0	0.7		1006.0	154.0	79.0			69.0			<b>2226.7</b>
<i>Istiophorus platypterus</i>	2563.5	2823.0	0.0	2.5	25.0		1576.0	673.0	30.0			273.0		14.0	<b>7980.0</b>
<i>Makaira nigricans</i>	0.1														<b>0.1</b>
<i>Makaira indica</i>	0.3														<b>0.3</b>
<i>Coryphaena hippurus</i>	0.1														<b>0.1</b>
<i>Scomberomorus commerson</i>		5592.0					1032.0	3160.0	2316.0	682.0	25.0	13971.0	436.0	120.0	<b>27334.0</b>
<i>Scomberomorus guttatus</i>		4634.0					65.0	460.0	2754.0	599.0	19.0	6316.0	68.0	399.0	<b>15314.0</b>
<i>Acanthocybium solandri</i>		152.0					46.0	67.0				154.0		36.0	<b>455.0</b>
<i>Scomberomorus</i> spp.	1063.0														<b>1063.0</b>
<i>Sphyræna</i> spp.	0.0														<b>0.0</b>
<i>Pelagic sharks</i>	1185.9	2515.0	3.3	5.4	5.3	2.1	1897.0	1827.0	386.0	6.0		3964.0	329.0	116.0	<b>12242.1</b>
<i>Makaira</i> spp.	0.1	913.0	0.6	0.6	3.6		1044.0	2008.0				45.0		14.0	<b>4029.0</b>
NEI/Others	414.8	436.3	317.2	368.0	346.6	41.2	11.0		4.0			252.0			<b>2191.1</b>
Manta/mobula	0.2														<b>0.2</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>6888.0</b>	<b>55825.7</b>	<b>6635.6</b>	<b>1818.7</b>	<b>1589.7</b>	<b>43.3</b>	<b>21365.0</b>	<b>18709.0</b>	<b>38440.0</b>	<b>7258.0</b>	<b>275.0</b>	<b>30521.0</b>	<b>1444.0</b>	<b>2175.0</b>	<b>192988.1</b>

**Table 4: Shark species (No.& Weight in kg) caught in the exploratory survey of FSI, 2017-2022 (Sharks were not caught during the exploratory longline operations in 2020)**

Sl.No	Year <i>Name of Species</i>	2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022	
		No	Wt	No	Wt	No	Wt	No	Wt	No	Wt	No	Wt
1	<i>Alopias pelagicus</i>	145	522	47	1582	4	149	0	0	12	1032	13	418
2	<i>Alopias superciliosus</i>	8	436	42	1681	2	139					1	49
3	<i>Alopias sp.</i>											7	387
4	<i>Carcharhinus dussumieri</i>					4	27						
5	<i>Carcharhinus falciformis</i>	1	60	85	3175	9	163			33	722	2	30
6	<i>Carcharhinus macloti</i>									1	10		
7	<i>Carcharhinus leucas</i>											1	47
8	<i>Carcharhynchus melanopterus</i>											1	85
9	<i>Carcharynus limbatus</i>											1	5
10	<i>Carcharhinus longimanus</i>	2	15										
11	<i>Galeocerdo cuvier</i>	1	213			4	141			4	204	10	534
12	<i>Isurus oxyrinchus</i>	2	131			1	70					5	226
13	<i>Rhizopriondon oligolinx</i>											1	5
14	<i>Sphyrna lewini</i>	1	45										
15	<i>Triaenodon obesus</i>	17	58										
<b>Total</b>		<b>177</b>	<b>1480</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>6438</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>1968</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>1786</b>

## 8.0 Status of Implementation of the recommendations/Resolutions of the IOTC

Res. No.	Resolution	Scientific requirement	CPC progress
11/04	On a regional observer scheme	Paragraph 9	All authorized tuna longliners are covered by the observer programme. Further, the requirements for monitoring the artisanal fishing vessels landing at the landing sites are also carried out by the field samplers.
12/04	On the conservation of marine turtles	Paragraphs 3, 4, 6-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All the five species of marine turtles reported from the Indian waters are protected under the law.</li> <li>- The authorised longliners regularly record and report interactions with marine turtles and this information is reported to the IOTC.</li> <li>- The Central Institute of Fisheries Technology is carrying out research on use of circle hooks and the findings have been reported in Journal of Fishery Technology (53 (2016): 284 – 289) and the Indian Journal of Fisheries (Vol. 60(1), 2013 Pp 21-27).</li> <li>- FSI also carries out research on the use of circle hooks and research finding have been published in the Journal 'Current Science' (Vol. 98, No. 10, Pp – 1378-1384 Varghese et al., 2010).</li> <li>-To create awareness, FSI also brings out popular articles in its in-house publications namely, Meena News and Bulletin of the Fishery Survey of India.</li> <li>-The entire stretch of the coastline where mass stranding of turtles takes place in India is protected through national and state legislations and no fishing activity is permitted to be carried out in such areas. Further, the Department of Forest and the Indian Coast Guard monitors the implementation of the conservation measures for protection of marine turtles.</li> <li>- The coastal states where mass stranding takes place have also made it mandatory on the use of Turtle Excluder Devices in the trawl nets.</li> </ul>
12/06	On reducing the incidental bycatch of seabirds in longline fisheries.	Paragraphs 3-7	There were no reported instances of sea bird interactions in any of the Indian tuna fishery.
12/09	On the conservation of thresher sharks (family Alopiidae) caught in association with fisheries in the IOTC area of competence	Paragraphs 4-8	The Indian authorized longline vessels are implementing this resolution and the same is reported to IOTC.
13/04	On the conservation of cetaceans	Paragraphs 7- 9	The national legislation prohibits capture and trade of marine mammals in Indian waters.
13/05	On the conservation of whale sharks ( <i>Rhincodon typus</i> )	Paragraphs 7- 9	The national legislation prohibits capture and trade of whale sharks in Indian waters.
13/06	On a scientific and management framework on the conservation of shark species caught in association with IOTC managed fisheries	Paragraph 5-6	The Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 and various orders issued by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change and the Ministry of Commerce provide for conservation of shark species in Indian EEZ. Further, a National Plan of Action (NPOA) on Conservation and Management of Sharks is under finalization.

15/01	On the recording of catch and effort by fishing vessels in the IOTC area of competence	Paragraphs 1–10	The authorized longline vessels are collecting the catch and effort data and providing the same to the IOTC on regular basis.
15/02	Mandatory statistical reporting requirements for IOTC Contracting Parties and Cooperating Non-Contracting Parties (CPCs)	Paragraphs 1–7	The mandatory statistical reporting is carried out on regular basis.
17/05	On the conservation of sharks caught in association with fisheries managed by IOTC	Paragraphs 6, 9, 11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The data collected from the authorised longlining vessels are submitted to IOTC to meet the reporting requirements.</li> <li>- The national legislation provided for conservation of shark species, including landing of sharks with fin attached.</li> <li>-India has a national programme on elasmobranchs that includes stock assessment and conservation. A decision on India’s participation in the proposed project will be taken after the details on the project are known.</li> </ul>
18/02	On management measures for the conservation of blue shark caught in association with IOTC fisheries	Paragraphs 2-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Data is recorded and furnished to the IOTC</li> <li>-Data collection programmes are in place</li> <li>-Catches are being monitored domestically</li> <li>-Scientific research on blue sharks is being undertaken and results has been published (e.g., Varghese et al., 2017)</li> </ul>
18/05	On management measures for the conservation of the Billfishes: Striped marlin, black marlin, blue marlin and Indo-Pacific sailfish	Paragraphs 7 – 11	<p>India is adopting a number of management measures for conservation of fishery resources (including billfishes) in its seas, most important of which is annual ban on fishing for two months.</p> <p>Catches are being monitored and reported to the IOTC</p>
18/07	On measures applicable in case of non-fulfilment of reporting obligations in the IOTC	Paragraphs 1, 4	<p>India is regularly reporting the fishery and other data in respect of all IOTC fisheries; including shark species caught in association with IOTC fisheries, and is working in collaboration with the IOTC Secretariat to improve the data collection for direct and incidental catches.</p> <p>India had submitted the zero/positive matrix by IOTC species as well as the most commonly caught elasmobranch species in the IOTC format while submitting the mandatory catch, effort and size data</p>
19/01	On an Interim Plan for Rebuilding the Indian Ocean Yellowfin Tuna Stock in the IOTC Area of Competence	Paragraph 22	The provisions under this Resolutions do not apply on India
19/03	On the Conservation of Mobulid Rays Caught in Association with Fisheries in the IOTC Area of Competence	Paragraph 11	India has a national sampling programme, for all the fish caught, including Mobulid rays caught by artisanal fishery. The fishery and biology data are being collected at national as well as state (province) levels

## 9.0. Literature cited

- Anon, 2011. Report of the Working Group for revalidating the potential of fishery resources in the Indian EEZ. Report submitted to the Dept. of Animal Husbandry Dairying and Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. pp. 69.
- Anon, 2018. Report of the Working Group for revalidating the potential of fishery resources in the Indian EEZ. Report submitted to the Dept. of Fisheries, Ministry of Fisheries, Animal Husbandry and Dairying, Government of India.
- CMFRI, 2018. *CMFRI Annual Report 2017-2018*. Technical Report. CMFRI, Kochi.
- CMFRI, 2020. *CMFRI Annual Report 2017-2018*. Technical Report. CMFRI, Kochi.
- FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, 2009. Guidelines to reduce sea turtle mortality in fishing operations. Rome, FAO. 2009. 128 pp.
- FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, 2018. Report of the Expert Workshop on means and methods for reducing marine mammal mortality in fishing and aquaculture operations, Rome, 20-23 March 2018. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Report No. 1231 FIAO/R1231 (En).
- Government of India, Department of Fisheries, 2020. States/UTs wise Inland and Marine Fish Production during 2014-15 to 2018-19 (In lakhs Tonnes). <http://dof.gov.in/statistics>
- Gulati, D. K., S. Ramachandran, H.D.Pradeep, L.Ramalingam, 2020. Standardization of hooking rate (hr) for Swordfish (*Xiphius Gladius*) occurring around Western Indian Ocean (Area 51) and Eastern Indian Ocean (Area57) based on survey data collected through FSI Surveys IOTC-2020-WPB18-19.
- Kizhakudan, SJ, Zacharia PU, Thomas S, Vivekanandan E and Muktha M, 2015. CMFRI Marine Fisheries Policy Series -2; Guidance on National Plan of Action for Sharks in India. Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Kochi, pp. 1-102. ISBN ISSN 2394-8019.
- Rohit, P., Abdussamad, EM, Koya KPS, Sivadas M. and Ghosh S, 2011. Tuna Fishery of India with Special Reference to Biology and Population Characteristics of Neritic Tunas Exploited from Indian EEZ. Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, IOTC-2011-WPNT01-10.
- Varghese S., Somvanshi, V.S. and Varghese, S. P., 2007. Bycatch of sharks and incidental catches of sea turtle in the long line fishery of Indian waters as observed during tuna resources survey. IOTC2007-WPEB-13-rev.
- Varghese S.P., Unnikrishnan N., Gulati DK and Ayoob AE, 2017. Size, sex and reproductive biology of seven pelagic sharks in the eastern Arabian Sea. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 97 (1): 181–196.
- Varghese SP, Varghese S and Somvanshi VS, 2010. Impact of tuna longline fishery on the sea turtles of Indian seas. Current Science, (10), 1378-1384.
- Sijo P. Varghese, Sanjay Pandey, A. Siva, R. Jeyabaskaran, 2021. Nominal catch of tropical tunas by artisanal and industrial fishery in the IOTC area of competence. IOTC–2021–WPTT23–17.
- Siva A., Kiran S. Mali, Rajashree U. Pawar, Swapnil S. Shirke, Harshavardan D. Joshi, Tripta Singh, Ashok S. Kadam, Ansuman Das, S. Ramachandran, N. V. Ramanamurthy, CH. Bhaskar, Bapu M. Raut, Ashish Kumar, Yogesh Gangurde and Vinod Kumar Mudumala, 2021. Stock structure of billfishes observed during the exploratory surveys in the Indian Exclusive Economic Zone- A Decadal study IOTC-2021-WPB19-INF01.

\*\*\*