
Results of investigation received from the fleets on the possible infractions detected under the Regional Observer Programme (ROP) in 2023

PREPARED BY: IOTC SECRETARIAT¹, 13 FEBRUARY 2024

During the implementation of the Regional Observer Programme (ROP) in 2023, a total of 127 possible infractions were observed and notified to the concerned six fleets (China, Taiwan, Province of China, Japan, Malaysia, Mauritius and Seychelles) participating in the IOTC regional observer programme to monitor transshipment at sea in 2023.

From the 127 possible infractions communicated, a total of 127 responses were received from all fleets. With exception from Mauritius, all other fleets provided their responses prior to the deadline of 15 January 2024. Based on the responses and evidence received, the pre-assessment carried out by the IOTC Secretariat, concluded that 70 responses could be classified as “appropriate action taken by the fleet”, 57 responses as “Not considered as a possible infraction” and zero responses pre-assessed as “Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC” (Annex 1 and 2).

At its [18th Session](#) the Compliance Committee made the following recommendation:

[IOTC-2021-CoC18-R](#) Paragraph 133: The CoC **RECOMMENDED** that the provision of photographs intended to provide clarifications on possible infractions observed under the transshipment regional observer programme, should be done in line with domestic law on confidentiality. The CoC **FURTHER RECOMMENDED** that photographs submitted for such purpose shall not be placed in the public part of the IOTC website.

In line with the above, the paper IOTC–2024–WPICMM07–04_Add2 is presented without the photographs received from the fleets. These photographs will be presented during the WPICMM07 meeting only.

RECOMMENDATION/S

That the WPICMM07:

- 1) **NOTE** paper IOTC–2024–WPICMM07–04_Add2, which describe the results of investigations received from the concerned fleets participating in the ROP in 2023.
- 2) **NOTE** that there are 70 responses pre-assessed as “appropriate action taken by the fleet” and no recommendation is required to be made to the Compliance Committee (CoC21).
- 3) **NOTE** that there are 57 responses that were pre-assessed as “Not considered as a possible infraction” and no recommendation is required to be made to the Compliance Committee (CoC21).
- 4) **NOTE** that there are 0 responses that were pre-assessed as “Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC”.
- 5) **REVIEW** the pre-assessments conducted by the IOTC Secretariat with a view to **ENDORSE** or **REVISE** the -preassessments conducted by the IOTC Secretariat.
- 6) **RECOMMEND** that the IOTC Secretariat prepare a summary paper on the outcomes of the assessments of the possible infractions, for the next Compliance Committee (CoC21).

¹ IOTC-Secretariat@fao.org

TABLE OF APPENDIXES

[Appendix 1 - Responses received from fleets by the deadline of 15/01/2024](#)

[Appendix 2 - Responses received from fleets after the deadline of 15/01/2024](#)

Appendix 1 - Responses received from fleets by the deadline of 15/01/2024

Responses pre-assessed as 1) "Appropriate action taken by the fleet", thus no recommendation for further action by the CoC is required; 2) "Not considered as a possible infraction", thus no recommendation to CoC is required.

Fleet: China

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 106	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the LU QING YUAN YU 106 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 18 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The marking was fouled due to long time sailing.</i>				
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The marking has been cleared.</i>				

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Comments: *Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.*

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Any other fleet action(s) taken: *Specify:*

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	XIN SHI JI 72	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the XIN SHI JI 72 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 20 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The marking was fouled due to long time sailing.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The marking has been cleared.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking	LU QING YUAN YU 101	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The NRN on the ATF of the LU QING YUAN YU 101 was inconsistent with the IOTC vessel register. The NRN was reflected by the ATF as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200003" and recorded on the IOTC vessel register as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200126".				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 21 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The ATF with NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200003 is the previous one which the master showed the observer.</i>				
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The current ATF is on the vessel. The company has reminded the master to provide the current ATF to the observer.</i>				

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)*

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph of the ATF provided.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 102	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The NRN on the ATF of the LU QING YUAN YU 102 was inconsistent with the IOTC vessel register. The NRN was reflected by the ATF as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200004" and recorded on the IOTC vessel register as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200127".				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 22 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The ATF with NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200004 is the previous one which the master showed the observer.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The current ATF is on the vessel. The company has reminded the master to provide the current ATF to the observer.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the image of the ATF provided as evidence.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 102	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The VMS unit onboard was without power at the time of transshipment. The VMS power light on the VMS unit was not on. The LSTLV master confirmed that the unit was not on because it was faulty, adding that he had sent for replacement parts along with the previous carrier vessel they had transhipped with.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 23 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The VMS was faulty at that time.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The parts for the repair were transported to the vessel the other days and the VMS has been repaired and turned on.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The fleet provides evidence showing that the vessel reported its positions prior, during and after observer's inspection (29/11/2022).</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 105	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The NRN on the ATF of the LU QING YUAN YU 105 was inconsistent with the IOTC vessel register. The NRN was reflected by the ATF as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200005" and recorded on the IOTC vessel register as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200128".				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 22 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The ATF with NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200005 is the previous one which the master showed the observer.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The current ATF is on the vessel. The company has reminded the master to provide the current ATF to the observer.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph of the updated ATF provided.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	-------------------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 105	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master of the LU QING YUAN YU 105 was not consecutively numbered, instead the areas on the logbook for the page numbers were left blank.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 25 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly forgot to fill in the page number.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The page numbers have been completed.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------------------

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 107	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The NRN on the ATF of the LU QING YUAN YU 107 was inconsistent with the IOTC vessel register. The NRN was reflected by the ATF as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200007" and recorded on the IOTC vessel register as "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200130".				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 26 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The ATF with NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200007 is the previous one which the master showed the observer.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The current ATF is on the vessel. The company has reminded the master to provide the current ATF to the observer.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph of the updated ATF provided</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 107	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master of the LU QING YUAN YU 107 was not consecutively numbered, instead the areas on the logbook for the page numbers were left blank.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 27 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly forgot to fill in the page number.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The page numbers have been completed.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the fishing logbook with the page numbering completed.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 116	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master of the LU QING YUAN YU 116 was not consecutively numbered, instead the areas on the logbook for the page numbers were left blank.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 29 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly forgot to fill in the pages on the logbook because this page was just into use.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The company has reminded the masters to fill in the page number and other items on the logbook. The page numbers have been completed.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the fishing logbook with the page numbering completed.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	SHEN HUI 03	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the SHEN HUI 03 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 30 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The marking was fouled due to long time sailing.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The marking has been cleared.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	SHEN HUI 06	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the SHEN HUI 06 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 31 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The marking was fouled due to long time sailing.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The marking has been cleared.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JIN XIANG 9	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the JIN XIANG 9 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly at a distance.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 32 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The marking was obscure because of the algae.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The marking has been cleared.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU RONG YUAN YU 599	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the JIN XIANG 9 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly at a distance.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 33 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>Instead of the inspection comment above, the comments for LU RONG YUAN YU 599 in the report of 766/22 is as below :</i>				
	LU RONG YUAN YU 599	The national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master of the LU RONG YUAN YU 599 were not consecutively numbered, instead the areas on the logbook for the page numbers were left blank.			
	The master carelessly forgot the page number.				
Action(s) the fleet taken	The master has completed the page number.				

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> provided photographs of the fishing logbook with the page numbering completed.				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU RONG YUAN YU 877	766/22, FUTAGAMI	23/02/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the LU RONG YUAN YU 877 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 34 – Report 766-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The marking was rusted due to longtime operating.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The rust has been removed.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided. The low resolution of the photograph makes it challenging to distinguish the vessel markings.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 116	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The national fishing logbook (NFL) presented by the LSTLV Master was not consecutively numbered, instead the area allocated for the page numbering was not filled in on the pages provided to the observer.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 16 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly forgot to fill in the pages on the logbook.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The company has warned the masters to fill in the page number and other items on the logbook. The page numbers have been completed.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the fishing logbook with the page numbering completed.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 117	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was not consecutively numbered, instead the area allocated for the page numbering was not filled in on the pages provided to the observer.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 17 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly forgot to fill in the pages on the logbook.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The company reminded the masters to fill in the page number and other items on the logbook. The page numbers have been completed.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the fishing logbook with the page numbering completed. However, the low resolution of the photograph provided makes it challenging to distinguish the content of the fishing logbook.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU RONG YUAN YU 266	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The Authorisation to fish (ATF) and the stamp presented by the LSTLV master did not match the Flag State official template, instead the document appeared to the registration certificate of the vessel. No other ATFs were provided by the vessel.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 18 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly mistook the national certificate as the ATF which he showed to the observer.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The ATF on board:</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)*

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Comments: *Specify: provided photographs of the valid ATF.*

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Any other fleet action(s) taken: *Specify:*

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JIN XIANG 9	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the JIN XIANG 9 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 19 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The marking was obscure because of the algae.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The marking has been cleared.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU RONG YUAN YU 877	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the LU RONG YUAN YU 877 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 20 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The marking was rusted due to longtime operating.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The rust has been removed.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU RONG YUAN YU 877	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The ATF and its stamp presented by the LSTLV Master did not match the flag State official template, instead the document appeared to be the registration certificate of the vessel. No other ATFs were provided by the vessel.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 21 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly mistook the national certificate as the ATF which he showed to the observer.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The ATF on board:</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the valid ATF.</i>					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.			<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 108	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The ATF and its stamp presented by the LSTLV Master did not match the flag State official template, instead the document appeared to the registration certificate of the vessel. No other ATFs were provided by the vessel.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 22 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly showed the national certificate instead of the ATF to the observer.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The ATF is on the vessel.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the valid ATF.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 101	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The NRN reflected by the ATF of the LU QING YUAN YU 101 were inconsistent with the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels. The NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200003 was provided by the ATF and recorded as (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200126 on the current IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 23 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200003 belongs to the previous ATF. The current ATF is also on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photograph of the updated ATF.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 105	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The NRN reflected by the ATF of the LU QING YUAN YU 105 were inconsistent with the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels. The NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200005 was provided by the ATF and recorded as (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200128 on the current IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 24 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200005 belongs to the previous ATF. The current ATF is also on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph of the updated ATF provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 107	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The national fishing logbook (NFL) presented by the LSTLV Master of the LU QING YUAN YU 107 was not consecutively numbered, instead the area allocated for the page numbering was not filled in on the pages provided to the observer.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 25 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly forgot to fill in the pages on the logbook.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The company reminded the masters to fill in the page number and other items on the logbook. The page numbers have been completed.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the fishing logbook with the page numbering completed.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 107	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The ATF and its stamp presented by the LSTLV Master did not match the flag State official template, instead the document appeared to the registration certificate of the vessel. No other ATFs were provided by the vessel.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 26 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master carelessly showed the national certificate instead of the ATF to the observer.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The ATF is on the vessel.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the ATF.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	XIN SHI JI 72	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The ATF and its stamp presented by the LSTLV Master did not match the flag State official template, instead the document appeared to the registration certificate of the vessel. No other ATFs were provided by the vessel.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 27 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>According to the master, the copy of the national certificate and ATF are bonded together all the time and had been handed to the observer for the inspection. Maybe the observer miss out the ATF.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the valid ATF.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 102	775/22, FENG LU	18/04/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The NRN reflected by the ATF of the LU QING YUAN YU 102 was inconsistent with the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels. The NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200004 was provided by the ATF and recorded as (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200127 on the current IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 28 – Report 775-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2015)FT-200004 belongs to the previous ATF. The current ATF is also on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the image of the ATF provided as evidence.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	TAI HONG NO.2	782/22, OCEAN STAR	15/05/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV National Registration Number (NRN) [2020 FT-200084] on the Transshipment Declaration (TD) did not correspond with the NRN on the Authorization To Fish (ATF) [2017 FT-200158]. The vessel's NRN is recorded as [2020 FT-200084] in the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 14 – Report 782-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The ATF of TAI HONG NO.2 has been updated to the version which NRN is not shown.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>We warned the vessel operator to update the ATF in time.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph of the new updated ATF provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	TAI HONG NO.1	782/22, OCEAN STAR	15/05/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV National Registration Number (NRN) [2020 FT-200084] on the Transshipment Declaration (TD) did not correspond with the NRN on the Authorization To Fish (ATF) [2017 FT-200158]. The vessel's NRN is recorded as [2020 FT-200084] in the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 15 – Report 782-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The ATF of TAI HONG NO.1 has been updated to the version which NRN is not shown.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>We warned the vessel operator to update the ATF in time.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph of the new updated ATF provided.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	XIN SHI JI 82	782/22, OCEAN STAR	15/05/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the XIN SHI JI 82 were worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 16 – Report 782-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The painting is of low quality so the marking worn away due to long time sailing.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The marking has been repainted.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT					
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 107	786/23, FUTAGAMI	13/06/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the stern of the LU QING YUAN YU 107 were inconsistent with the information available on the ATF and in the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels (RAV). The markings were inscribed as LU QING YUAN YU on the stern and recorded as LU QING YUAN YU 107 on the ATF and the IOTC RAV.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 15 – Report 786-23				
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET					
Result of investigation:	<i>The marking on the stern has been repaired.</i>				
Action(s) the fleet taken					
ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION					
					<i>(by Secretariat)</i>
Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:					
Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Insert any other type of evidence</i> <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.</i>			
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:					
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			
RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT					
					<i>(By Secretariat)</i>
Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM					
Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 106	786/23, FUTAGAMI	13/06/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the Bow of the LU QING YUAN YU 106 were obscured algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 16 – Report 786-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The markings were worn away due to longtime sailing.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The markings were cleaned.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the corrected markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	TAI XIANG 5	787/23, SEIYU	14/06/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV National Registration Number (NRN) "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2014)FT-200112" reflected on the Authorization to Fish (ATF) did not correspond with the NRN "(LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200117" reflected on the Transshipment Declaration (TD) and on the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 12 – Report 787-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master showed the previous ATF to the observer by mistake. The current one is on board also which the NRN shown as (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200117.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>We strongly warned the masters to show the current ATF to the observer.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing the valid ATF provided.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	XIN SHI JI NO.67	787/23, SEIYU	14/06/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV NRN (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2016)FT-200097 shown on the ATF did not correspond with the NRN (Zhe)Chuan Deng (Ji)(2021) FT-200100 which was reflected on the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 13 – Report 787-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master showed the previous ATF and both the current ATF to the observer. The observer only checked the previous one and missed out the current one. So the NRN are different from the one on the IOTC RAV.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> photograph showing the valid ATF provided. However, the photograph of the ATF does not reflect any of the vessel identifiers.				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 101	792/23, BAO WIN	31/08/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The VMS unit onboard was without power at the time of transshipment. The captain of the LSTV told the observer he switched the unit off on the night before the transshipment. This was the only VMS unit shown to the observer and the observer did not note any further VMS devices.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 11 – Report 792-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	The CLS VMS was shut off but the other VMS unit FleetOne VMS was always on.
Action(s) the fleet taken	The 2 VMS units can work compensatorily. The master will explain this to the observer.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU QING YUAN YU 102	792/23, BAO WIN	31/08/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The VMS unit onboard was without power at the time of transshipment. The captain of the LSTLV said that the owners instructed him to switch the unit off. This was the only VMS unit shown to the observer and the observer did not note any further VMS devices.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 11 – Report 792-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	The CLS VMS was shut off but the other VMS unit FleetOne VMS was always on.
Action(s) the fleet taken	The 2 VMS units can work compensatorily. The master will explain this to the observer.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:						
Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> The fleet provides evidence showing that the vessel reported its positions during observer's inspection on 13 April 2023.				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				
RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT		(By Secretariat)				
Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM						
Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU RONG YUAN YU 327	796/23, FENG LU	31/08/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The VMS unit onboard was switched off at the time of the transshipment. When the CV and LSTLV masters were informed, the LSTLV master sent another photograph of the VMS unit switched on.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 18 – Report 796-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The VMS was continuously working. It was just the indicator light on the device went wrong which only lights from time to time. Because of the language, the captain didn't explain this clearly to the observer.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The company contacted the equipment company maintenance center and the answer was the unit has always been fine except the indicator light. So the company bought another VMS unit TRIOTON and the new number for this one is 540691.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Screen capture showing that the VMS is operational	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> Replacement of the VMS unit.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	TAI XIANG 5	800/23, SEI SHIN	16/10/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV National Registration Number (NRN) [FT-200117] on the Transshipment Declaration (TD) did not correspond with the NRN on the Authorization To Fish (ATF) [FT-100012]. The vessel's NRN is recorded as [FT-200117] in the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 12 – Report 800-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The master showed the previous ATF to the observer by mistake. The current one is on board also which the NRN shown as (LU)CHUANDENG(JI)(2019)FT-200117.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>We strongly warned the masters to show the current ATF to the observer.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photograph showing the valid ATF.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LU WEI YUAN YU 188	802/23, FUTAGAMI	17/10/2023	10/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV did not provide a valid ATF. The ATF provided during the on-board check was not complete and none of the pages provided contained any of the LSTLV details. The ATF document provided only contained the cover page, the terms and conditions page and the last page.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 15 – Report 802-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The captain carelessly missed the second page which contains the vessel information during printing.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	The valid ATF is now on the vessel.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph showing all pages of the ATF provided.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

Fleet: Taiwan,China**TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT**

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	YU YOU NO.8	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The vessel name markings on the stern of the YU YOU NO.8 were partially worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 20 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction reported on October 29, 2022, on page 20 of the report about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on November 30, 2022, to have another possible infraction about its marking on page 48 of the report. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on page 20 is the same as the one on page 48 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The operator of the vessel has been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognized by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its vessel markings were corrected.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LONG WANG TZAY	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The International Radio Call Sign (IRCS) markings on the side of the LONG WANG TZAY were partially worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 21 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction reported on October 30, 2022, on page 21 of the report about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel. 2. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on November 28, 2022, to have another possible infraction about its marking on page 42 of the report. 3. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on page 21 is the same as the one on page 42 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The operator of the vessel has been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. 2. Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognized by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the corrected vessel markings.					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its vessel markings were corrected.					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LONG WANG SHING	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The national fishing logbook (NFL) presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 22 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction. 2. The vessel was reported to have the possible infraction about its logbook on October 31, 2022, on page 22 of the report. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on November 28, 2022, to have another possible infraction about its logbook on page 43 of the report. 3. Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 22 and page 43 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer. 2. The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LONG WANG CHANG	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 23 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i> <i>The vessel was reported to have the possible infraction about its logbook on October 31, 2022, on page 23 of the report. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on November 28, 2022, to have another possible infraction about its logbook on page 40 of the report.</i> <i>Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 23 and page 40 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT					
Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	HUNG JIE WEI NO.36	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 24 – Report 768-22				
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET					
Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>				
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i> 				
ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION					
			<i>(by Secretariat)</i>		
Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:					
Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the date of the observer's inspection.</i>			
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:					
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			
RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT					
			<i>(By Secretariat)</i>		
Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM					
Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	WOEN DAR NO.168	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel, NRN, IRCS, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 25 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the date of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	RUI JIN FA	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 26 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i> <i>The vessel was reported to have the possible infraction about its logbook on November 1, 2022, on page 26 of the report. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on December 1, 2022, to have another possible infraction about its logbook on page 50 of the report.</i> <i>Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 26 and page 50 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the date of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	WEN DAR NO.36	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 27 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i> <i>The vessel was reported to have the possible infraction about its logbook on November 2, 2022, on page 27 of the report. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on December 1, 2022, to have another possible infraction about its logbook on page 51 of the report.</i> <i>Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 27 and page 51 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	YI FENG NO.826	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 28 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i> <i>The vessel was reported to have the possible infraction about its logbook on November 2, 2022, on page 28 of the report. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on November 22, 2022, to have another possible infraction about its logbook on page 38 of the report.</i> <i>Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 28 and page 38 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	MAN WEN FA	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 29 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i> <i>The vessel was reported to have the possible infraction about its logbook on November 2, 2022, on page 29 of the report. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on November 29, 2022, to have another possible infraction about its logbook on page 44 of the report.</i> <i>Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 29 and page 44 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	SHUN YING	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 30 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i> <i>The vessel was reported to have the possible infraction about its logbook on November 2, 2022, on page 30 of the report. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on November 29, 2022, to have another possible infraction about its logbook on page 45 of the report.</i> <i>Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 30 and page 45 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JOHO	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the JOHO were obscured by other markings and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 31 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking.</i> <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the corrected vessel markings.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its vessel markings were corrected.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	YI SIANG	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 32 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	FENG KUO NO.666	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the FENG KUO NO.666 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 33 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking.</i> <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs of the corrected vessel markings.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its vessel markings were corrected.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	CHAAN YING	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The ATF presented by the LSTLV Master had expired at the time of transshipment. The ATF presented was valid until 25/10/2022. No other ATFs were forthcoming.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 34 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel had been duly authorized to operate in the IOTC area of competence during the whole year of 2022.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel was required to provide the evidence which could show that a valid ATF was carried onboard. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the provision of the evidence.</i> <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's ATF was valid until 31st December, 2022. After reviewing the photograph provided, this Agency has lifted accordingly the ban on its conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i> <i>This Agency has repeatedly instructed the operators of its fleet that vessel captains directly offer valid ATFs to ROP observers for inspection. However, this Agency would also like to indicate that some vessel captains may sometimes fail to present valid ATFs out of their negligence during inspection even though the documents are indeed carried onboard. In such cases, possible infractions related to ATF can be avoided if ROP observers ask again vessel captains to present valid ATFs. Therefore, this Agency would like to strongly suggest that ROP observers try to ask again vessel captains to present valid ATFs instead of jumping to the conclusion that no valid ATFs are carried onboard when being presented invalid ATFs in the first place.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

Comments: Specify: the fleet provided photographs of the valid ATF on board the vessel.

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Any other fleet action(s) taken: Specify: the operator was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the provision of evidence of a valid ATF on board.

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	DE HAI NO.12	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 35 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JIN JAAN SHYANG NO.268	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the JIN JAAN SHYANG NO.268 were obscured by algae and could not be read clearly. Due to low light conditions, it was impossible to obtain a clear photograph of the bow.				
Photograph(s) attached:	None				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph provided later by the Secretariat, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel has been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking.</i> <i>Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognized by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photographs of the vessel markings corrected provided. A letter from the fleet clarifies that the NRN has been re-registered as CT5-1937. New vessel details have been provided to the IOTC Secretariat.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its vessel markings were corrected.</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	GUAN WANG NO.21	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 37 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	YI FENG NO.826	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 38 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 2, 2022, on page 28 of the report, the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction. The vessel was reported to have a possible infraction about its logbook on November 2, 2022, on page 28 of the report. However, another possible infraction about the vessel's logbook was reported again on November 22, 2022, and identified as a new case on page 38 of this report. Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 28 and page 38 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As also indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 2, 2022, on page 28 of the report, this Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer. Besides, the evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no other action will be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on page 38 of report 768/22.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LONG WANG CHIN	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 39 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LONG WANG CHANG	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 40 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on October 31, 2022, on page 23 of the report, the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction. The vessel was reported to have a possible infraction about its logbook on October 31, 2022, on page 23 of the report. However, another possible infraction about the vessel's logbook was reported again on November 28, 2022, and identified as a new case on page 40 of this report. Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 23 and page 40 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As also indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on October 31, 2022, on page 23 of the report, this Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer. Besides, the evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no other action will be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on page 40 of report 768/22.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LONG WANG HSIN	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 41 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LONG WANG TZAY	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The callsign markings on the side of the LONG WANG TZAY were partially worn and could not be read clearly at a distance.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 42 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported repeatedly. 2. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 30 October, 2022, as indicated on page 21 of this report. However, the same vessel's marking was reported again on 28 November, 2022, and identified as a new case on page 42 of this report. 3. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on page 42 is the same as the one on page 21 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on page 21 of the report, the operator of the vessel has been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognized by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat. 2. In this context, no other action will be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on page 42 of report 768/22.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its vessel markings were corrected.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LONG WANG SHING	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 43 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on October 31, 2022, on page 22 of the report, the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction. The vessel was reported to have a possible infraction about its logbook on October 31, 2022, on page 22 of the report. However, another possible infraction about the vessel's logbook was reported again on November 28, 2022, and identified as a new case on page 43 of this report. Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 22 and page 43 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As also indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on October 31, 2022, on page 22 of the report, this Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer. Besides, the evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no other action will be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on page 43 of report 768/22.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	MAN WEN FA	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 44 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 2, 2022, on page 29 of the report, the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction. The vessel was reported to have a possible infraction about its logbook on November 2, 2022, on page 29 of the report. However, another possible infraction about the vessel's logbook was reported again on November 29, 2022, and identified as a new case on page 44 of this report. Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 29 and page 44 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As also indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 2, 2022, on page 29 of the report, this Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer. Besides, the evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no other action will be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on page 44 of report 768/22.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	SHUN YING	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 45 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 2, 2022, on page 30 of the report, the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction. The vessel was reported to have a possible infraction about its logbook on November 2, 2022, on page 30 of the report. However, another possible infraction about the vessel's logbook was reported again on November 29, 2022, and identified as a new case on page 45 of this report. Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 30 and page 45 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As also indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 2, 2022, on page 30 of the report, this Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer. Besides, the evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no other action will be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on page 45 of report 768/22.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	SHUN FENG NO.8	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 46 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JHAO FONG NO.268	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 47 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	YU YOU NO.8	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The vessel name markings on the stern of the YU YOU NO.8 were partially worn and could not be read clearly at a distance. The vessel name markings on the bow were clearly legible.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 48 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported repeatedly. 2. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 29th October, 2022, as indicated on page 20 of this report. However, the same vessel's marking was reported again on 30th November, 2022, and identified as a new case on page 48 of this report. 3. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on page 48 is the same as the one on page 20 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on page 20 of the report, the operator of the vessel has been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognized by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat. 2. In this context, no other action will be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on page 48 of report 768/22.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its vessel markings were corrected.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LIEN SHENG FA	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 49 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	RUI JIN FA	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 50 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 1, 2022, on page 26 of the report, the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction. The vessel was reported to have a possible infraction about its logbook on November 1, 2022, on page 26 of the report. However, another possible infraction about the vessel's logbook was reported again on December 1, 2022, and identified as a new case on page 50 of this report. Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 26 and page 50 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As also indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 1, 2022, on page 26 of the report, this Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer. Besides, the evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no other action will be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on page 50 of report 768/22.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	WEN DAR NO.36	768/22, CHEN YU NO.7	02/02/2023	06/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The NFL presented by the LSTLV Master was incomplete, with the following information missing: Vessel Name, Vessel IRCS, Year, Trip number, fishing gear information, date of departure port of departure. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 51 – Report 768-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 2, 2022, on page 27 of the report, the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction. The vessel was reported to have a possible infraction about its logbook on November 2, 2022, on page 27 of the report. However, another possible infraction about the vessel's logbook was reported again on December 1, 2022, and identified as a new case on page 51 of this report. Considering that the possible infractions indicated on page 27 and page 51 of report 768/22 are of the same type, i.e. logbook, and they were reported by the same observer during the same trip. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 768/22 about the vessel's logbook if the reported incident should be counted as a possible infraction.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As also indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on November 2, 2022, on page 27 of the report, this Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer. Besides, the evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no other action will be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on page 51 of report 768/22.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan, China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	Fu Hai Shun	769/22, Yachiyo	06/02/2023	02/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The pages of the national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master were not consecutively numbered, instead four logbook pages were missing between pages 1011900 – 1011905. However there were no missing dates in the logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 16 – Report 769-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i> <i>The missing pages of the logbook might be discarded by the vessel's captain due to deface on those pages or simply making mistakes when filling in the logbook, which resulted in the logbook not consecutively numbered. However, as indicated by the observer in the report, there were no missing dates in the logbook, which demonstrated that the captain filled in the logbook in a normal fashion.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	Catch data reported via e-logbook (screenshots provided)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan, China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	Yi Jen Chun No.668	769/22, Yachiyo	06/02/2023	02/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The pages of the national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master were not consecutively numbered, instead logbook page #1101022370 was missing. However there were no missing dates in the logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 17 – Report 769-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i> <i>The missing page of the logbook might be discarded by the vessel's captain due to deface on the page or simply making mistakes when filling in the logbook, which resulted in the logbook not consecutively numbered. However, as indicated by the observer in the report, there were no missing dates in the logbook, which demonstrated that the captain filled in the logbook in a normal fashion.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	Catch data reported via e-logbook (screenshots provided)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	DE HAI NO.26	769/22, Yachiyo	06/02/2023	02/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the Bow of the DE HAI NO.26 were obscured by rust and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 18 – Report 769-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel has been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking, and the vessel is prohibited from conducting at-sea transshipments until its marking is corrected.</i> <i>Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognized by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Photograph showing corrected vessel markings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photographs of the corrected vessel markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	GUAN WANG	769/22, Yachiyo	06/02/2023	02/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the Bow of the GUAN WANG were partially worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 19 – Report 769-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel has been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking, and the vessel is prohibited from conducting at-sea transshipments until its marking is corrected.</i> <i>Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognized by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photographs of the corrected vessel markings provided. The fleet indicates on a letter that the vessel's name had been re-registered as "JIN JIN WANG" (CT5-1893) after its ownership was transferred to a new operator.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	YI LONG	772/22, SEIBU	15/05/2023	30/05/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the YI LONG were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 15 – Report 772-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<p>3. <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i></p> <p>4. <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i></p>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: Vessel required to repaint the vessel's marking and not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	RUI JIN FA	776/22, HO YUAN	15/05/2023	06/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master was not consecutively numbered. Pages 1003283 and 1003284 were missing. Additionally, there were 3 consecutive pages numbered 1003285.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 15 & 16 – Report 776-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<p>3. <i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i></p> <p>4. <i>The defects on relevant pages of the logbook might be caused by publishing error, which resulted in the reported pages not consecutively or repeatedly numbered, as indicated by the observer.</i></p>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	FWU FA YI NO.8	776/22, HO YUAN	15/05/2023	06/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the Fwu Fa Yi No.8 were obscured by rust and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 17 – Report 776-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<p>3. <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i></p> <p>4. <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i></p>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs with the vessel markings corrected.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was required to repaint the vessel's marking and not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	TENN MING YANG NO.101	776/22, HO YUAN	15/05/2023	06/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The name and NRN markings on the bow of the Tenn Ming Yang No.101 were obscured by rust and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 18 – Report 776-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i> <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------

Comments: Specify: provided photographs with the vessel markings corrected.

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Any other fleet action(s) taken: Specify: The vessel was required to repaint the vessel's marking and not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	TENN MING YANG NO.888	776/22, HO YUAN	15/05/2023	06/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The name and IRCS markings on the bow and side of the Tenn Ming Yang No.888 were obscured by rust and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 19 – Report 776-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i> <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photographs with the vessel markings corrected.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was required to repaint the vessel's marking and not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	KHA YANG NO.399	778/22, KHA YANG 333	24/02/2023	03/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the KHA YANG NO.399 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 12 – Report 778-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported in the report 770-22. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 2nd November 2022, as indicated in the report 770-22, and the report was received by this Agency on 28th December 2022. Besides, the vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 25th December 2022, as indicated in this report, and the report was received by this Agency also on 24th February 2023. In other words, the possible infraction in this report is the same as the one in the report 770-22 and was reported repeatedly.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the report 770-22, the operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking due to the possible infraction about its marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments, and the photograph has been provided to the Secretariat on 20th February 2023. In this context, no further action is needed to be taken on the vessel.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: photographs of the corrected vessel markings provided.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JOHO	779/22, GENTA MARU	18/04/2023	01/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The vessel name markings on the starboard bow of the JOHO were applied over old markings and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 12 – Report 779-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported in the report 768-22. The vessel's marking was reported as possible infraction on 13th November 2022, as indicated in the report 768-22, and the report was received by this Agency on 2nd February 2023. Besides, the vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 24th January 2023, as indicated in this report, and the report was received by this Agency on 18th April 2023. In other word, the possible infraction in this report is the same as the one in the report 768-22 and was reported repeatedly.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the report 768-22, the operator was required by thus Agency to repaint the vessel's marking duet to the possible infraction of its marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments, and the photograph has been provided to the Secretariat on 6th March 2023. In this context, no further action is needed to be taken on the vessel.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was required to repaint the vessel's marking and not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	HUNG CHIN NO.212	779/22, GENTA MARU	18/04/2023	01/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The VMS unit onboard was without power at the time of transshipment with none of the lights on the unit illuminated. The observer asked if the LSTLV had any other VMS installed and the captain confirmed the LSTLV had only one VMS installed.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 14 – Report 779-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasise that the vessel (CT7-0486) has normally reported its location via its VMS unit during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Considering that the vessel reported its location via VMS in a normal fashion and according to the photograph, the vessel's VMS unit was marked as spare unit in Mandarin, this Agency believes that it might be the language barrier leading to the misunderstanding that the observer indicated the vessel's VMS unit was without power and the captain stated there was no other VMS unit on the vessel.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its location is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	HUNG HUI NO.112	779/22, GENTA MARU	18/04/2023	01/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The VMS unit onboard was without power at the time of transshipment with none of the lights on the unit illuminated. The observer asked if the LSTLV had any other VMS installed and the captain confirmed the LSTLV had only one VMS installed.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 16 – Report 779-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasise that the vessel (CT6-1035) has normally reported its location via its VMS unit during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Considering that the vessel reported its location via VMS in a normal fashion and according to the photograph, the vessel's VMS unit was marked as spare unit in Mandarin, this Agency believes that it might be the language barrier leading to the misunderstanding that the observer indicated the vessel's VMS unit was without power and the captain stated there was no other VMS unit on the vessel.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its location is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	LONG WANG SHENG	780/23, YUAN TAI NO.806	15/05/2023	07/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The National Fishing Logbook (NFL) presented by the LSTLV Master of the LONG WANG SHENG was incomplete and did not contain any inscriptions of the LSTLV identifiers such as vessel name, International Radio Call Sign or National Register Number on any page, including the cover page. The LSTLV could not be identified from this logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 15 – Report 780-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasise that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<p>3. <i>This Agency has required the vessel operator to notify the vessel master that, during future inspection, the logbook's page where the vessel's basic information is filled in should be shown to the ROP observer.</i></p> <p>4. <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i></p> <p>5.</p>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet informs that the vessel uses both e-logbook and paper logbook. The fleet provided screenshots as evidence, to demonstrate that the e-logbook was duly completed on the dates of the observer's inspection.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the fleet has required the vessel operator and master to fill in all mandatory information within the paper based logbook.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	Woen Dar No.168	780/23, YUAN TAI NO.806	15/05/2023	07/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the Woen Dar No.168 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 16 – Report 780-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<p>5. <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i></p> <p>6. <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i></p>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photograph of the vessel markings corrected.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was required to repaint the vessel's marking and not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	Yi Long	780/23, YUAN TAI NO.806	15/05/2023	07/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the Yi Long were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 17 – Report 780-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel’s possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported in the report 772-22. The vessel’s marking was reported as a possible infraction on 23rd February 2023, as indicated in the report 772-22, and the report was received by this Agency on 15th May 2023. Besides, the vessel’s marking was reported as a possible infraction on 23rd January 2023, as indicated in this report, and the report was received by this Agency also on 15th May 2023. In other words, the possible infraction in this report is the same as the one in the report 772-22 and was reported repeatedly.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency’s response to the report 772-22, the operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel’s marking due to the possible infraction about its marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel’s marking was corrected, which was also recognised by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel’s conducting at-sea transshipments, and the photograph has been provided to the Secretariat on 30th May 2023. In this context, no further action is needed to be taken on the vessel.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION (by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:					
Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/> Insert any other type of evidence <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photograph of the vessel markings corrected.			
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:					
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was required to repaint the vessel’s marking and not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT (By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM					
Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	HSIN MING SHENG NO.28	780/23, YUAN TAI NO.806	15/05/2023	07/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the HSIN MING SHENG NO.28 were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 18 – Report 780-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i> <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognised by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photograph of the vessel markings corrected.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was required to repaint the vessel's marking and not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	MAN WEN FA	780/23, YUAN TAI NO.806	15/05/2023	07/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the MAN WEN FA were obscured by fouling and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 19 – Report 780-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i> <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognised by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photograph of the vessel markings corrected.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was required to repaint the vessel's marking and not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	KHA YANG NO.979	781/23, Kha Yang 333	24/02/2023	08/03/2023
Inspection comment:	The VMS unit which the captain of the KHA YANG NO.979 clearly pointed out as the ship's VMS unit was a JMC AIT-202A AIS Class B Transceiver. The observer asked if any other units were installed, but the captain indicated there were no other units and insisted the AIS unit was the designated VMS unit of the LSTLV. The antennae was photographed by the observer.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 11 – Report 781-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasize that the vessel normally reported its location via its VMS unit during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Considering that the vessel reported its location via VMS in a normal fashion, this Agency believes that the language barrier between the captain and the ROP observer led to the misunderstanding that the captain insisted that the AIS unit was the VMS unit. 2. The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its location is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	SHYE SHIN NO.31	783/23, CHIKUMA	12/06/2023	26/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The VMS unit onboard was switched off at the time of the transshipment.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 14 – Report 783-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>This Agency wishes to emphasise that the vessel (CT7-0508) has normally reported its location via VMS unit during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i> <i>In addition, this Agency recognises that the VMS unit with ID number 509093, as shown in the photo of the report, is registered as the spare unit on board of the vessel. The spare unit was not used to report the vessel's location.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Considering that the vessel reported its location via VMS in a normal fashion and the VMS unit in the provided photograph was registered as the spare unit, this Agency believes that it might be the language barrier between the captain and the ROP observer leading to the circumstance where the observer mistook the VMS unit as being switched off.</i> <i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its location is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	YING YONG HSIANG	784/23, CHEN YU NO.7	13/06/2023	26/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the Ying Yong Hsiang were obscured by rust and algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 17 – Report 784-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<p>3. <i>The operator of the vessel has been required to repaint the vessel's marking by this Agency. The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</i></p> <p>4. <i>Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognised by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat.</i></p>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photograph of the corrected markings provided. The fleet informs that the vessel's name has been re-registered as HSIANG HER, with same NRN but different owner. All changes were communicated to the IOTC Secretariat.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence is provided.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	HONG IU NO.313	784/23, CHEN YU NO.7	13/06/2023	26/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The ATF presented by the LSTLV Master had expired at the time of transshipment. The ATF presented was valid until 31/12/2022. No other ATFs were forthcoming.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 20 – Report 784-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasise that the vessel has been duly authorised to operate in the IOTC area of competence from 1ST January 2023 till 25th September 2023.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<p>4. <i>The operator of the vessel was required to provide the evidence which could show that a valid ATF was carried onboard. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the provision of the evidence.</i></p> <p>5. <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the ATF on the vessel is valid until 25th September, 2023. After reviewing the provided photograph, this Agency has lifted the ban on its conducting at-sea transshipment accordingly. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i></p> <p>6. <i>This Agency has repeatedly instructed the operators of its fleet that vessel captains should directly provide valid ATFs to ROP observers for inspection. However, this Agency would also like to indicate that some vessel captains may sometimes fail to present valid ATFs out of their negligence during inspection even though the documents are indeed carried onboard. In such cases, possible infractions related to ATF can be avoided if vessel captains are asked to present valid ATFs again. Therefore, this Agency would like to strongly suggest that ROP observers try to ask again vessel captains to present valid ATFs instead of concluding that no valid ATFs are carried onboard if invalid ATFs are presented in the first place.</i></p>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photograph of the valid ATF onboard the vessel.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was prohibited to fish until the provision of a valid ATF.</i>				
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><i>Observers, when conducting their duties, try to exhaust all options available to overcome language barriers. They routinely provide copy of the inspection report to LSTLV's captains, and raise any issues detected, in many occasions with the assistance of carrier vessel captains as interpreters.</i></p> <p><i>Photographic evidence of a valid ATF on-board provided.</i></p>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT (By Secretariat)**Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	HUNG CHUAN NO.232	784/23, CHEN YU NO.7	13/06/2023	26/06/2023
Inspection comment:	The ATF presented by the LSTLV Master had expired at the time of transshipment. The ATF presented was valid until 11/03/2023. No other ATFs were forthcoming.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 21 – Report 784-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>This Agency wishes to emphasise that the vessel has been duly authorised to operate in the IOTC area of competence during the whole year of 2023.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel has been required to provide the evidence which could show that a valid ATF was carried onboard. The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the provision of the evidence.</i> <i>Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing the valid ATF is carried on the vessel, which is also recognised by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat.</i> <i>This Agency has repeatedly instructed the operators of its fleet that vessel captains should directly provide valid ATFs to ROP observers for inspection. However, this Agency would also like to indicate that some vessel captains may sometimes fail to present valid ATFs out of their negligence during inspection even though the documents are indeed carried onboard. In such cases, possible infractions related to ATF can be avoided if vessel captains are asked to present valid ATFs again. Therefore, this Agency would like to strongly suggest that ROP observers try to ask again vessel captains to present valid ATFs instead of concluding that no valid ATFs are carried onboard if invalid ATFs are presented in the first place.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been duly authorised to operate in the IOTC area of competence during the whole year of 2023	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided photograph of the valid ATF onboard the vessel.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:					
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: The vessel was prohibited to fish until the provision of evidence of a valid ATF carried on-board.</i>			
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Observers, when conducting their duties, try to exhaust all options available to overcome language barriers. They routinely provide copy of the inspection report to LSTLV's captains, and raise any issues detected, in many occasions with the assistance of carrier vessel captains as interpreters.</i> <i>Photographic evidence of a valid ATF on-board provided.</i>			
RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT		<i>(By Secretariat)</i>			
Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM					
Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	RUEY I SHYANG NO.8	791/23, SHENG HONG	31/08/2023	18/09/2023
Inspection comment:	The national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master was not bound, instead a logbook held together by staples was provided to the observer. No other logbook was provided by the vessel.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 25 – Report 791-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<p>7. This Agency wishes to emphasise that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</p> <p>8. After reviewing the photograph provided by the Secretariat, this Agency believes that the logbook held by a staple is in compliance with the clause regarding a “bound” logbook indicated under Paragraph 20 of the Resolution 19/04. In addition, the logbook is a designated logbook issued by this Agency.</p> <p>9. In other word, such defect of logbook should not be considered as a possible infraction in this report.</p>
Action(s) the fleet taken	In this context, no further action should be taken on this vessel. Nevertheless, the evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook on-board in electronic format	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: screenshot of the e-logbook provided.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	YI SIANG	791/23, SHENG HONG	31/08/2023	18/09/2023
Inspection comment:	The pages of the national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master were not consecutively numbered, instead the logbook jumped from page 1000310 to 1000312 , skipping page 1000311.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 26 – Report 791-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<p>5. <i>This Agency wishes to emphasise that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook during its fishing trip when it was reported to have such a possible infraction.</i></p> <p>6. <i>The missing pages of the logbook might be discarded by the vessel's captain due to deface on the page or simply making mistakes when filling in the logbook, which resulted in the logbook not consecutively numbered. However, as shown in the photos, there were no missing dates in the logbook, which demonstrated that the captain filled in the logbook in a normal fashion.</i></p>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The evidence showing that the vessel normally reported its catch data via e-logbook is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: no screenshot of the e-logbook received.</i>				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	DER YUEN	791/23, SHENG HONG	31/08/2023	18/09/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the Bow of DER YUEN were worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 28 – Report 791-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking.</i> <i>The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognised by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: Photograph of the vessel with its markings corrected provided</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	HUNG RUNG NO.368	797/23, Shun Tian Fa No.168	31/08/2023	20/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The callsign markings on the side of the LSTLV HUNG RUNG NO.368 were partially worn and could not be read clearly				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 18 – Report 797-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: photographs of the corrected vessel markings provided.					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	DER YUEN	797/23, Shun Tian Fa No.168	31/08/2023	20/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of LSTLV DER YUEN were partially worn and could not be read clearly				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 19 – Report 797-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported in the report 791-23. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 14th June 2023, as indicated in the report 791-23, and the report was received by this Agency on 31th August 2023. Besides, the vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 4th June 2023, as indicated in this report, and the report was received by this Agency also on 31th August 2023. In other words, the possible infraction in this report is the same as the one in the report 791-23 and was reported repeatedly.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the report 791-23, the operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking due to the possible infraction about its marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments, and the photograph has been provided to the Secretariat on 18th September 2023 through e-MARIS. In this context, no further action is needed to be taken on the vessel.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: photographs of the corrected vessel markings provided.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	YING YONG HSIANG	798/23, Chitose	17/10/2023	11/12/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the YING YONG HSIANG were partially obscured by rust and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 21 – Report 798-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported in the report 784-23. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 21th February 2023, as indicated in the report 784-23, and the report was received by this Agency on 13th June 2023. Besides, the vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 6th June 2023, as indicated in this report, and the report was received by this Agency on 17th October 2023. In other words, the possible infraction in this report is the same as the one in the report 784-23 and was reported repeatedly.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported in the report 784-23, the operator of the vessel has been required to repaint the vessel's marking by this Agency. The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking. Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognized by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat. In this context, no further action needs to be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported in this report.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: photograph of the corrected markings provided. The fleet informs that the vessel's name has been re-registered as HSIANG HER, with same NRN but different owner. All changes were communicated to the IOTC Secretariat.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking is corrected and photographic evidence is provided.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	DER HAE NO.3	798/23, Chitose	17/10/2023	11/12/2023
Inspection comment:	The callsign markings on the side of the DAR HAE NO.3 were partially worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 21 – Report 798-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported in the report 799-23. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 15th July 2023, as indicated in the report 799-23, and the report was received by this Agency on 16th October 2023. Besides, the vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on 11th July 2023, as indicated in this report, and the report was received by this Agency on 17th October 2023. In other words, the possible infraction in this report is the same as the one in the report 799-23 and was reported repeatedly.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on July 15 of report 799-23, the operator of the vessel had been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph has been provided to the Secretariat on November 24,2023. In this context, no further action needs be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on July 11 of this report.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: photographs of the corrected vessel markings provided.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	DER HAE NO.3	799/23, CHEN YU NO.7	16/10/2023	24/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The International Radio Call Sign (IRCS) markings on the side of the DER HAE NO.3 were worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 18 – Report 799-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: photographs of the corrected vessel markings provided.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JIA ZAI FA	804/23, Sheng Hong	28/11/2023	13/12/2023
Inspection comment:	The name and NRN markings on the bow of the JIA ZAI FA were partially worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 20 – Report 804-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<p>2. After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported in the report 806-23.</p> <p>4. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on July 11 and August 11 2023, as indicated in the report 806-23, and the report was received by this Agency on October 17 2023. Besides, the vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on September 4 2023, as indicated in this report, and the report was received by this Agency on November 28 2023.</p> <p>5. In other words, the possible infraction in this report is the same as the one in the report 806-23 and was reported repeatedly.</p>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<p>3. As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on July 11 and August 11 of report 806-23, the operator of the vessel had been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph was attached to this Agency's response to the report 806-23 and provided to the Secretariat on November 29.</p> <p>4. In this context, no further action needs be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on September 4 of this report.</p>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	DE FU NO.2	804/23, Sheng Hong	28/11/2023	13/12/2023
Inspection comment:	The name and IRCS markings on the bow and side of the De Fu No.2 were partially worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 20 – Report 804-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<p>1. The operator of the vessel has been required to repaint the vessel's marking by this Agency. The vessel will not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until the correction of its marking.</p> <p>2. Following the provision by the vessel operator of the photograph showing that the vessel's marking is repainted, which is also recognized by this Agency, the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments will be lifted accordingly, and the photograph will be provided to the Secretariat.</p>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JIIN JYI CHYUN NO.178	806/23, YONG MAN SHUN	17/10/2023	29/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the Jiin Jyi Chyun No.178 were partially worn and obscured by algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 19 – Report 806-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction reported on July 3,2023, on page 19 of the report about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on August 6, 2023, to have another possible infraction about its marking on page 19 of the report. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on July 3 is the same as the one on August 6 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 806/23 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	AN WUN FA NO.16	806/23, YONG MAN SHUN	17/10/2023	29/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the An Wun Fa No.16 were obscured by algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 20 – Report 806-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction reported on July 5,2023, on page 20 of the report about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on August 8, 2023, to have another possible infraction about its marking on page 20 of the report. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on July 5 is the same as the one on August 8 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 806/23 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	AN WEN FA NO.26	806/23, YONG MAN SHUN	17/10/2023	29/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the An Wen Fa No.26 were partially worn and obscured by algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 21 – Report 806-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JIA ZAI FA	806/23, YONG MAN SHUN	17/10/2023	29/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the Jia Zai Fa were obscured by algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 22 – Report 806-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction reported on July 11, 2023, on page 22 of the report about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on August 11, 2023, to have another possible infraction about its marking on page 22 of the report. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on July 11, 2023 is the same as the one on August 11, 2023 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 806/23 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	AN WEN FA NO.6	806/23, YONG MAN SHUN	17/10/2023	29/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the An Wen Fa No.6 were obscured by algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 23 – Report 806-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction reported on July 12, 2023, on page 23 of the report about its marking, this Agency concluded that action should be taken on the vessel. However, this Agency also noted that the vessel was reported again on August 8, 2023, to have another possible infraction about its marking on page 23 of the report. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on July 12, 2023 is the same as the one on August 8, 2023 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 806/23 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The operator of the vessel was required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	Jiin Jyi CHYUN NO.178	806/23, YONG MAN SHUN	17/10/2023	29/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the Jiin Jyi Chyun No.178 were partially worn and obscured by algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 19 – Report 806-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported repeatedly. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on July 3, 2023, as indicated on page 19 of this report. However, the same vessel's marking was reported again on August 6, 2023, and identified as a new case on page 19 of this report. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on August 6 is the same as the one on July 3 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 806/23 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on July 3 of the report, the operator of the vessel had been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no further action needs be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on August 6 of report 806/23.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT (By Secretariat)**Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	AN WUN FA NO.16	806/23, YONG MAN SHUN	17/10/2023	29/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the An Wun Fa No.16 were obscured by algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 20 – Report 806-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported repeatedly. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on July 5, 2023, as indicated on page 20 of this report. However, the same vessel's marking was reported again on August 8, 2023, and identified as a new case on page 20 of this report. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on August 8 is the same as the one on July 5 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 806/23 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on July 5 of the report, the operator of the vessel had been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no further action needs be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on August 8 of report 806/23.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT (By Secretariat)**Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	JIA ZAI FA	806/23, YONG MAN SHUN	17/10/2023	29/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the Jia Zai Fa were obscured by algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 22 – Report 806-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported repeatedly. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on July 11, 2023, as indicated on page 22 of this report. However, the same vessel's marking was reported again on August 11, 2023, and identified as a new case on page 22 of this report. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on August 11 is the same as the one on July 11 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 806/23 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on July 11 of the report, the operator of the vessel had been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no further action needs be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on August 11 of report 806/23.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT (By Secretariat)**Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Taiwan,China	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	AN WEN FA NO.6	806/23, YONG MAN SHUN	17/10/2023	29/11/2023
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the bow of the An Wen Fa No.6 were obscured by algae and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 23 – Report 806-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> After reviewing the photograph attached in the report showing the vessel's possible infraction about its marking, this Agency concluded that the possible infraction in this report had been reported repeatedly. The vessel's marking was reported as a possible infraction on July 12, 2023, as indicated on page 23 of this report. However, the same vessel's marking was reported again on August 8, 2023, and identified as a new case on page 23 of this report. In other words, the vessel's possible infraction on August 8 is the same as the one on July 12 of this report. The vessel was reported to have two possible infractions about its marking by the same observer during the same trip due to the same problem. There should be only one possible infraction to be reported in report 806/23 about the vessel's marking.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> As indicated in this Agency's response to the possible infraction reported on July 12 of the report, the operator of the vessel had been required by this Agency to repaint the vessel's marking. The vessel would not be allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments prior to the correction of its marking. The vessel operator then provided this Agency with the photograph showing that the vessel's marking was corrected, which was also recognized by this Agency. Therefore, this Agency has accordingly lifted the ban on the vessel's conducting at-sea transshipments. The photograph is attached for the information of the Secretariat. In this context, no further action needs be taken on the vessel for its possible infraction reported on August 8 of report 806/23.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: The vessel was not allowed to conduct at-sea transshipments until its marking were corrected and photographic evidence provided.					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT (By Secretariat)**Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Fleet: Japan**TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT**

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Japan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	SHOFUKU MARU No.18	805/23, Meita Maru	31/08/2023	5/10/2023
Inspection comment:	The VMS shown to the observer during the on-board check was a CLS Triton (MARGE V3) unit which was not switched on at the time of the check. The captain was adamant this was the designated VMS unit of the LSTLV. The observer repeatedly asked if this was the VMS unit with the assistance of the Chief Mate of the CV to translate. The observer also checked for other units and did not note any device resembling any known VMS unit.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 12 – Report 805-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	Fisheries Agency of Japan confirmed that there were two VMS units on board this vessel and one of them was working properly at the time of the check, while the other one, the CLS Trion unit, was switched off. Fisheries Agency of Japan also confirmed that the CLS Triton is a designated VMS unit within the area.
Action(s) the fleet taken	No action taken

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Japan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	FUKUKYU MARU No.8	805/23, Meita Maru	31/08/2023	5/10/2023
Inspection comment:	The power light of the VMS unit onboard the FUKUKYU MARU No.8 was not illuminated at the time of the on-board check. When the observer asked the captain about this, the captain assured the observer it was the only VMS unit onboard and that it was active but the power light was not functioning.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 13 – Report 805-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	Fisheries Agency of Japan confirmed that there were two VMS units on board this vessel and one of them was working properly at the time of the check, while the other one was switched off . The Captain was not able to catch what the observer said and so, answered incorrectly that the VMS unit switched off was the only one unit on board.
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>Fisheries Agency of Japan directed that the vessel should show the active VMS unit to the observer.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

Fleet: Malaysia**TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT**

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Malaysia	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	KHA YANG 9	795/23, KHA YANG 333	13/06/2023	15/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The ATF presented by the LSTLV Master was not in date. The ATF which was presented expired on 12/04/2023. No other ATFs were provided after the observer specifically pointed out the ATF was expired.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 11 – Report 795-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The ATF no 0119M were issued by the DOFM on 17/04/2023 for Kha Yang 9 from 17/04/2023 to 16/04/2024. Investigation on the next transshipment trip no 803/23 in June 2023 shows that the vessel operator take action to make sure valid ATF were on board all vessels.</i>																			
	Table 9 Summary of boarding reports from LSTLVs.																			
	No	Name	Flag	Boarded	Date	Markings correct		ATF					VMS		Logbooks					
						Name	IRCS	Shown	In date	Expires	IOTC Area	Reg N. as per record	Shown	Power	Shown	Type	Match flag Template	Bound	Pages numbered	Last entry
	1	KHA YANG 1	MYS	Y	26/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	26/06/23
	2	KHA YANG 7	MYS	Y	26/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	26/06/23
	3	KHA YANG 39	MYS	Y	26/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	08/06/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	25/06/23
	4	KHA YANG 5	MYS	Y	27/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	27/06/23
	5	KHA YANG 9	MYS	Y	28/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	28/06/23
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>A reminder given to the vessel operator to follow ATF Terms & Condition on keeping the ATF onboard at all time and shall produce the document for inspection upon the request of an authorised officer. The picture sent by the vessel operator showed the latest ATF no 0119M were onboard and valid.</i>																			

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Comments: *Specify:* the fleet provided evidence of the valid ATF being on board the vessel. Additionally, observer inspections conducted subsequently show that the valid ATF was onboard the vessel.

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Any other fleet action(s) taken: *Specify:* the vessel operator was reminded to keep the valid ATF on board his/her vessel at all times.

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Malaysia	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	KHA YANG 7	795/23, KHA YANG 333	13/06/2023	15/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The ATF presented by the LSTLV Master did not match the details of the Kha Yang 7. Instead, the master provided an out-of-date ATF for the LSTLV "Cheng Qing Feng" (International Radio Call Sign "BJ 4783") which expired on 31/12/19. No other ATFs were provided even though the observer explicitly requested the ATF for the Kha Yang 7 and indicated the provided ATF was expired. The captain indicated that it was the only ATF he had on board.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 12 – Report 795-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation: ***The ATF no 0118M were issued by the DOFM on 17/04/2023 for Kha Yang 7 from 17/04/2023 to 16/04/2024. Investigation on the next transshipment trip no 803/23 in June 2023 shows that the vessel operator take action to make sure valid ATF were on board all vessels.***

Table 9 Summary of boarding reports from LSTLVs.

No	Name	Flag	Boarded	Date	Markings correct		ATF					VMS		Logbooks					
					Name	IRCS	Shown	In date	Expires	IOTC Area	Reg N. as per record	Shown	Power	Shown	Type	Match flag Template	Bound	Pages numbered	Last entry
1	KHA YANG 1	MYS	Y	26/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	26/06/23
2	KHA YANG 7	MYS	Y	26/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	26/06/23
3	KHA YANG 39	MYS	Y	26/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	08/06/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	25/06/23
4	KHA YANG 5	MYS	Y	27/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	27/06/23
5	KHA YANG 9	MYS	Y	28/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	28/06/23

Action(s) the fleet taken: ***A reminder given to the vessel operator to follow ATF Terms & Condition on keeping the ATF onboard at all time and shall produce the document for inspection upon the request of an authorised officer. The picture sent by the vessel operator showed the latest ATF no 0118M were onboard and valid.***

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:					
ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet provided evidence of the valid ATF being on board the vessel. Additionally, observer inspections conducted subsequently show that the valid ATF was onboard the vessel.			
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:					
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the vessel operator was reminded to keep the valid ATF on board his/her vessel at all times.			
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM					
Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Malaysia	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	KHA YANG 1	795/23, KHA YANG 333	13/06/2023	15/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The IRCS marking on the port side of the vessels was partially worn and inconsistent with the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels and its ATF. The markings were inscribed as "9MKO8" on the port side and recorded as "9MKQ8" by the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels and its ATF.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 13 – Report 795-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The IRCS marking on the port side of the vessel was partially worn due to the rough sea condition. Investigation on the next transshipment trip no 803/23 in June 2023 shows that the vessel marking on call sign (IRCS) were corrected.</i>																			
	Table 9 Summary of boarding reports from LSTLVs.																			
						Markings correct		ATF					VMS		Logbooks					
	No	Name	Flag	Boarded	Date	Name	IRCS	Shown	In date	Expires	IOTC Area	Reg N. as per record	Shown	Power	Shown	Type	Match flag Template	Bound	Pages numbered	Last entry
	1	KHA YANG 1	MYS	Y	26/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	26/06/23
	2	KHA YANG 7	MYS	Y	26/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	26/06/23
	3	KHA YANG 39	MYS	Y	26/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	08/06/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	25/06/23
	4	KHA YANG 5	MYS	Y	27/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	27/06/23
	5	KHA YANG 9	MYS	Y	28/06/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	28/06/23
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>A reminder given to the vessel operator to follow ATF Terms & Condition on markings and identification of the vessel. The vessel shall clearly display, on both sides its International Radio Call Sign (IRCS) and the country (flag state) license / registration number. The picture sent by the vessel operator showed the latest picture of the IRCS marking on both sides of the vessel.</i>																			

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:									
Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: provided pictures of the vessel markings corrected.							

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:					
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: the fleet reminded the vessel operator of his/her obligations with regards to vessel markings.			
RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT		<i>(By Secretariat)</i>			
Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM					
Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Malaysia	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	KHA YANG 95	803/23, KHA YANG 333	17/10/2023	15/01/2024
Inspection comment:	No VMS unit was shown during the inspection, instead the captain showed the AIS unit. The captain was requested to show the VMS unit multiple times, but repeatedly pointed to the AIS unit. The antennae array was photographed.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 11 – Report 803-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>Investigation from the FMC VMS record for Kha Yang 95 vessel on 29/06/2023, 6.33 am during the observer inspection indicated that the VMS onboard was active with the interval of 1 hour.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The vessel master miscommunication with the observer and was reminded to show actual VMS unit to the observer. The picture on the MTU unit onboard sent by the vessel operator shows the MTU were onboard.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Record of positions (Lat/Long) of vessel demonstrating the VMS was functional.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Map displaying the vessel track demonstrating the VMS of the vessel was functional.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Manual reporting of the positions of the vessel in accordance with Resolution 15/03 demonstrating the reporting of the vessel.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: provided pictures of the VMS, showing it's operational and its corresponding ID number.</i>				

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Malaysia	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	KHA YANG 93	803/23, KHA YANG 333	17/10/2023	15/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The name markings on the Bow of the KHA YANG 93 were partially worn and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 14 – Report 803-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:

The vessel marking on the bow of the vessel was partially worn due to the rough sea condition. Investigation on the next transshipment trip no 808/23 in August 2023 shows that the vessel marking was repainted.

No	Name	Flag	Boarded	Date	Markings correct		ATF					VMS		Logbooks					
					Name	IRCS	Shown	In date	Expires	IOTC Area	Reg N. as per record	Shown	Power	Shown	Type	Match flag Template	Bound	Pages numbered	Last entry
10	KHA YANG 9	MYS	N	30/07/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	16/04/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	29/07/23
13	KHA YANG 969	MYS	N	04/08/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	08/06/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	04/08/23
14	KHA YANG 399	MYS	N	05/08/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	08/06/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	04/08/23
15	KHA YANG 93	MYS	N	05/08/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	08/06/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	04/08/23
16	KHA YANG 95	MYS	N	07/08/23	Y	Y	Y	Y	08/06/24	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	P	Y	Y	Y	07/08/23

Action(s) the fleet taken

A reminder given to the vessel operator to follow ATF Terms & Condition on markings and identification of the vessel. The vessel shall clearly display, on both sides its International Radio Call Sign (IRCS) and the country (flag state) license / registration number. The picture sent by the vessel operator showed the latest picture of the vessel marking on both sides of the vessel.

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION (by Secretariat)**Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the fleet has provided photographs of the vessel markings corrected.					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify:</i> the vessel operator was reminded about his/her obligations in relation to vessel markings.			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT (By Secretariat)**Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

Fleet: Mauritius**TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT**

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Mauritius	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	Indo Prince	779/22, GENTA MARU	03/03/2023	12/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The ATF presented by the LSTLV Master did not match the flag State template, instead an ATF with a different layout was provided to the observer. No other ATFs were provided by the vessel.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 11 – Report 779-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>According to the Observer Report, the Master of the LSTV presented the Fishing Licence only and did not produce the accompanying ATF which is issued alongwith the Fishing Licence. The vessel operator is issued with a Fishing Licence together with an ATF as depicted in the Observer Report. It is the responsibility of the vessel operator to ensure that up to date copies of Fishing Licences and ATF are present on board all vessels at all times.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The vessel operator will be informed of the infraction and will be strongly reminded to ensure that up to date copies of Fishing Licences and ATF are present on board its vessels at all times.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Mauritius	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	Neptune No.2	783/23, CHIKUMA	15/05/2023	12/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The IRCS markings on the side of the Neptune No.2 were partially obscured by previous markings and could not be read clearly.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 11 – Report 783/23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>Neptune No. 2 called at Port Louis on 12 June 2023. The vessel was boarded and inspected and the vessel markings were found to be clear and no longer obscured. It was understood that the marking was corrected at sea.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Insert any other type of evidence</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: no photographs of the corrected markings provided.</i>					

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the vessel was boarded and inspected in port to investigate the possible infraction highlighted in the observer report.</i>			

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

Fleet: Seychelles**TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT**

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Seychelles	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	NF WOENFULL NO.168	CV Seiyu (Deploy 787-23)	12/06/2023	15/01/2023
Inspection comment:	The ATF presented by the LSTLV Master had expired at the time of transshipment. The ATF presented was valid until 31/12/22. No other ATFs were forthcoming even though the observer pointed out the ATF was not in date and the captain indicated this was the latest ATF.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 11 – Report 787-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>The 2023 COA was on board NF WOENFULL NO.168 before transshipment, but the captain mistakenly showed the 2022 COA.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The new captain has been instructed to discard old COAs and only keep the 2024 COA onboard the vessel to prevent future mistakes.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

Comments: *Specify: provided photographs of the valid authorization.*

Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:

Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------	--	--------------------------

Any other fleet action(s) taken: *Specify: the captain was instructed to discard the old Certificates of Authorisation and to keep the valid authorization on board to prevent future similar cases.*

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

Appendix 2 - Responses received from fleets after the deadline of 15/01/2024

Responses pre-assessed as 1) "Appropriate action taken by the fleet", thus no recommendation for further action by the CoC is required; 2) "Not considered as a possible infraction", thus no recommendation to CoC is required.

Fleet: Mauritius

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Mauritius	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	Neptune No.2	769/22, Yachiyo	03/02/2023	29/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The pages of the national fishing logbook presented by the LSTLV Master were not consecutively numbered, instead logbook page #19956 was missing. However there were no missing dates in the logbook.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 13 – Report 769-22				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>Neptune No. 2 called at Port Louis on 25 September 2022 for fish discharge. The vessel was boarded and inspected and the originals of the fishing logbook were collected, including page number # 19956. Neptune No. 2 then left Port on 29 September 2022 for fishing. The logbook page numbers #19952 to # 19975 are available at the office of the Port State Control Unit.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The Fishing Logbook found onboard in order and consisted of consecutive numbers.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

Fishing logbook was onboard & bound & with consecutive number.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook was completed properly.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fishing logbook match the template provided by the flag State (Res. 15/01).	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: photographs of the fishing logbook provided.</i>					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the vessel was boarded and inspected in port to investigate the possible infraction highlighted in the observer report. Copies of the pages of the fishing logbook were collected by the port authority of Mauritius.</i>					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Mauritius	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ATF <input type="checkbox"/> Marking :	FV Golden Rich No. 178	801/23, Chikuma	17/10/2023	29/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The ATF presented by the LSTLV Master had expired at the time of transshipment. The ATF presented was valid until 30/06/2023. No other ATFs were forthcoming.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 11 – Report 801-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>Fishing Licence bearing number MF 135/2023/2 together with an ATF was issued to the vessel operator on the 30 June 2023 by the Licensing Unit of the Ministry with respect to the vessel, with validity period 01 July to 31 July 2023. It looks like the updated Licence and ATF were not forwarded to the vessel master as it should have been.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The vessel operator has been strongly reminded that up to date copies of Licences and ATF shall be on board vessels at all times in an email dated 23.01.24, failing which sanctions may be envisaged as established by law.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION

(by Secretariat)

Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:

ATF was faxed to the vessel or provided after the inspection.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ATF was valid and signed by the fleet authorised officer (Resolution 19/04).	<input type="checkbox"/>	ATF match the template ATF provided to by the fleet (Resolution 19/04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Specify: photograph of the valid ATF provided.				
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:						
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Specify:				

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

(By Secretariat)

Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM

Appropriate action taken by fleet -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE SECRETARIAT

Fleet:	Type of possible infraction:	Name of vessel	Deployment number and name of carrier vessel:	Date sent to fleet	Date of response
Mauritius	<input type="checkbox"/> VMS <input type="checkbox"/> Logbook <input type="checkbox"/> ATF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Marking :	Indo Prince	801/23, Chikuma	17/10/2023	29/01/2024
Inspection comment:	The LSTLV displayed the IMO number as "IMO:664932000" which was different to the IMO number "9928700" reflected by the ATF and the IOTC RAV.				
Photograph(s) attached:	File name: page 12 – Report 801-23				

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE FLEET

Result of investigation:	<i>Vessel Indo Prince called at Port Louis on 11 September 2023 for discharge of fish. The vessel was boarded and inspected accordingly. During the inspection, it was found that indeed the IMO 664932000 was displayed on the vessel instead of the correct IMO 9928700. The vessel agent was informed verbally during the inspection that the IMO being displayed was incorrect. As it turned out, the number 664932000 was in fact the MMSI number of the vessel when it was still under Seychelles Flag.</i>
Action(s) the fleet taken	<i>The agent of the vessel was instructed to take remedial actions by displaying the correct IMO number on the vessel, failing which no Departure Clearance would be issued for the vessel to leave port for fishing.</i>

ASSESSMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION*(by Secretariat)***Fleet has provided the following evidence(s) in its response:**

Marking of the vessel has been corrected/repainted at sea.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel instructed to correct/repaint the marking at next port call.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vessel has been called to port.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insert any other type of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: no photograph of the corrected vessel markings provided.</i>					
Fleet action(s) taken according to national legislation:							
Document describing sanction(s) imposed to the vessel/master/owner/operator.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel ordered to port for the purpose of inspection / investigation.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Vessel/master/owner/operator has been given a warning.	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Any other fleet action(s) taken:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Specify: the vessel was boarded and inspected in port to investigate the possible infraction highlighted in the observer report. Vessel departure was conditioned upon the correction of the vessel markings.</i>					

RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT*(By Secretariat)***Recommendation from the assessment to the WPICMM**

Appropriate action taken by fleet - > no recommendation to CoC.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not considered as a possible infraction -> no recommendation to CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fleet requested to provide further evidence for discussion at next CoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-------------------------------------	--	--------------------------	---	--------------------------