



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
Commission des Thons de l'Océan Indien

Report of the 21st Session of the Compliance Committee

*Held in person and by videoconference from 5-7 May
and 9 May 2024 (Adoption)*

*Chairperson
Indra Jaya (Indonesia)*

Attendees comprised delegates of 27 CPCs and 9 Observers, including Invited Experts.

~~18~~ 17 Recommendations for the consideration of the Commission.

CoC21.01 (Para. 19) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** the Commission to endorse the consolidated set of recommendations adopted by the WIPICMM07 (Appendix 8).

CoC21.02 (Para. 20) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission endorse the Glossary of terms agreed by the WIPICMM07, with the exception of bycatch, fishing, fishing related activities, support vessel and VMS.

CoC21.03 (Para. 21) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission endorse the Guidance and recommendations for preparing IOTC Resolutions and Recommendations, as amended by the CoC21, as a stand-alone document.

CoC21.04 (Para. 40) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** the Commission to urge CPCs for a broader and active participation in both CDS and VMS WG.



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
Commission des Thons de l'Océan Indien

iotc ctoi

[CoC21. 05 (Para. 87) The CoC21 **NOTED** that the Islamic Republic of Iran and Seychelles have developed new systems for data reporting that would facilitate the submission of data to the IOTC Secretariat. The CoC21 **ALSO NOTED** the challenges in data collection that the I.R. of Iran is facing due to the use of a different national calendar, and **RECOMMENDED** that Iran should proceed with submitting preliminary data on the due date and submit final data two (2) months after the due date.] [We take this out as a RECOMMENDATION, just keep it as a NOTE in the Final Report]

CoC21.06 (Para. 101) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission urge CPCs to provide the Commission their laws, regulations and/or administrative instructions in force (including terms and conditions of flag State Authorisation to Fish having force of law) relating to the conservation and management of stocks covered by this Agreement and to inform the Commission of any amendment or repeal of such laws, regulations and administrative instructions, in compliance with the Article XI.2 of the IOTC Agreement.

CoC21.07 (Para. 102) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission consider not assessing CPCs compliance with the obligation to comply with the Regional Observer Scheme during 2022, due to the special circumstances created by Covid 19.



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
Commission des Thons de l'Océan Indien

CoC21.08 (Para. 103) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission (S28) create an ad-hoc Working Group to improve the procedures outlined in the Rules of Procedures (Appendix V), notably paragraphs 4, 5 and 6. This ad-hoc Working Group should be convened virtually once or twice before the end of 2024, with the objective of presenting any clarifications and proposed changes to the RoP to the WPICMM for discussion. Based on the conclusions drawn from WPICMM's deliberations, a formal proposal for amending the RoP may be presented at the Commission annual session in 2025.

CoC21.09 (Para. 104) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission consider requesting CPCs who had not attended the preceding Session of the Compliance Committee to provide clarifications on their compliance status during the Commission's session.

CoC21.10 (Para. 150) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the vessels to be cross-listed from ICCAT's IUU Vessel List be added to the IOTC Provisional IUU Vessels List.

CoC21.11 (Para. 151) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the flag and IRCS of the three vessels ISRAR 1, ISRAR 2 and ISRAR 3 be changed on the IOTC IUU Vessels List to "Unknown", according to the updated information on the ICCAT's List of IUU vessels.



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
Commission des Thons de l'Océan Indien

CoC21.12 (Para. 152) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the name and address of the owner/operator of the vessel, its registration number and overall length be changed on the IOTC IUU Vessels List from UNKNOWN to: Jerin Charles B/O Bosco of 111-9-149B, Jothi Illam, Thoothoor India; IND-TN-15-MM-9070 and 20.90 m, respectively.

CoC21.13 (Para. 153) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission approve that the vessels included in the Provisional IUU Vessel List (Appendix 5) be included in the IUU Vessel List.

CoC21.14 (Para. 161) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission consider accepting Liberia's request to renew its Cooperating non-Contracting Party status.

CoC21.15 (Para. 179) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that that the Commission (S28) consider securing funding for the sustained continuation of capacity building activities.

CoC21.16 (Para. 180) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** the SCAF21 and Commission (S28) consider to allocate a dedicated budget for the e-PSM, e-MARIS and the e-RAV applications, starting from the 2026 financial year.



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
Commission des Thons de l'Océan Indien

iotc ctoi

- CoC21. 17 (Para. 181) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission (S28) endorse the collaboration and exchange of information between the e-RAV and the FAO Global Record of Fishing Vessels, Supply Vessels and Refrigerated Transport Vessels.
- CoC21. 18 (Para. 182) The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission (S28) consider making the use of e-MARIS mandatory, while recognising the need to streamline procedures for reporting.



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
Commission des Thons de l'Océan Indien

Note:

APPENDIX 5 PROVISIONAL IOTC IUU VESSELS LIST

APPENDIX ? **List of the Contested Compliance
Assessment by the CPCs**



For the fCR

The list for some CPCs might be long due to several reasons:

- national legislation/Constitution allowing (e.g.: Oman, Kenya) the direct and automated applicability/transposition of CMMs.
- Long interventions (e.g.: India or Pakistan) going through many requirements which appear as contested in the tables provided.

AUS	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Bangladesh	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
China	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 3.6. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 3.6.
Comoros	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 5.4. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 5.4.
European Union	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 1.3, 2.6, 2.8. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 1.3, 2.6, 2.8.
France(OT)	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
India	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 2.5, 2.8, 2.9, 2.23, 2.24, 2.27, 2.28, 3.1, 4.1, 5.1-5.6, 6.1-6.6, 6.9, 6.11, 6.12, 6.15, 6.16, 9.1 - 9.4, 10.4. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 2.5, 2.8, 2.9, 2.23, 2.24, 2.27, 2.28, 3.1, 4.1, 5.1-5.6, 6.1-6.6, 6.9, 6.11, 6.12, 6.15, 6.16, 9.1 - 9.4, 10.4.
Indonesia	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Iran	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 1.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.20Obj, 2.24, 2.25, 2.26, 2.27, 5.16, 5.18, 5.20, 6.1-6.7, 6.11, 6.12. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 1.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.20Obj, 2.24, 2.25, 2.26, 2.27, 5.16, 5.18, 5.20, 6.1-6.7, 6.11, 6.12.
Japan	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 2.8, 3.4, 3.5. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 2.8, 3.4, 3.5.
Kenya	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 1.5, 3.1, 2.8, 2.23 - 2.24, 6.3, 6.8, 6.9. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 1.5, 3.1, 2.8, 2.23 - 2.24, 6.3, 6.8, 6.9.
Korea	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Liberia	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Madagascar	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Malaysia	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Maldives	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Mauritius	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 5.4, 5.10. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 5.4, 5.10.
Mozambique	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 3.2, 3.3, 3.5. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 3.2, 3.3, 3.5.
Oman	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 1.1- 1.5, 2.1, 2.2 - 2.7, 2.8 - 2.10, 2.14 - 2.16, 2.19, 2.22, 4.3. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 1.1- 1.5, 2.1, 2.2 - 2.7, 2.8 - 2.10, 2.14 - 2.16, 2.19, 2.22, 4.3.
Pakistan	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 2.8, 2.13, 2.21, 2.22 - 2.24, 3.12, 6.1, 6.2. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 2.8, 2.13, 2.21, 2.22 - 2.24, 3.12, 6.1, 6.2.
Philippines	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Seychelles	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



Indian Ocean Tuna Commission
Commission des Thons de l'Océan Indien

Somalia/SDN/YEM	Absent.
South Africa	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 8.3. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 8.3.
Sri Lanka	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Tanzania	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
Thailand	No contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21. Aucune contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21.
United Kingdom	Contestation of compliance assessment during the CoC21 for the requirement(s) number 1.3, 1.5. Contestation de l'évaluation de l'application lors du CoC21 pour l'exigence 1.3, 1.5.

Thank You