



IOTC-2024-TCAC13-INF16

IOTC TCAC 13 - ALLOCATION SIMULATIONS

Purpose

This document provides an overview of the <u>assumptions</u> and the final outputs produced by simulating the allocation criteria outlined in <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>. It also provides a description of the <u>user interface</u> of the simulation tool, with additional details on the various configuration parameters for both the <u>simulation process</u> and the <u>generated outputs</u>.

Process Configuration

The definitions of all relevant parameters characterising each CPC in relation to the allocation criteria are provided in the cfg/CPC_CONFIGURATIONS.xlsx file which includes two worksheets:

- A CPC worksheet that lists all current IOTC *Contracting Parties* (CP) as well as Liberia as *Cooperating Non-Contracting Party* (CNCP), and Taiwan, China as *Fishing entity* (FE), together with their:
 - CODE: Mnemonic code (generally, the ISO3 code of the country)
 - NAME_EN: Official English name
 - NAME_FR: Official French name
 - STATUS_CODE: CP, CNCP, and FE
 - STATUS: Contracting Party, Cooperating Non-Contracting Party, and Fishing Entity
 - IS_SIDS: Small Island Developing States (SIDS) status
 - IS_COASTAL: Coastal State status
 - HAS_NJA_IO: Presence of a National Jurisdiction Area (NJA) within the IOTC Area of Competence
 - NJA_SIZE: Size of the NJA (km²)
 - NJA_IOTC_RELATIVE_SIZE: Relative size of the NJA (percentage; %) with respect to the IOTC Area
 of Competence.
- A COASTAL_STATE_SOCIO_ECONOMIC worksheet that lists all IOTC CPC Coastal States, along with their development status (sourced from <u>this document</u>). It also includes a set of socio-economic indicators addressing the requirements of both *Option 1* and *Option 2* in <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-</u> <u>REF02</u> para. 6.6(1)(b). It is important to note that the indicators required for *Option 1* are not yet available to the IOTC Secretariat.
 - CODE: Mnemonic code (generally, the ISO3 code of the country)
 - NAME_EN: Official English name
 - NAME_FR: Official French name
 - DEVELOPMENT_STATUS: Least developed (LD), developing (DG), developed (DE)
 - PER_CAPITA_FISH_CONSUMPTION_KG: Per capita fish consumption (kg / person / year) [Option 1]

- CUV_INDEX: Commonwealth Universal Vulnerability index [*Option 1*]
- PROP_WORKERS_EMPLOYED_SSF: Contribution (%) of fish workers employed in small-scale and artisanal fisheries [Option 1]
- PROP_FISHERIES_CONTRIBUTION_GDP: Contribution (%) of fisheries to GDP [Option 1]
- PROP_EXPORT_VALUE_FISHERY: Contribution (%) of fisheries exports to total export value [Option 1]
- HDI_STATUS: Human Development Index status [Option 2]
- GNI_STATUS: Gross National Income Status [Option 2]

The HDI and GNI indicators required for *Option 2* have been sourced from the <u>UNDP</u> and <u>World Bank</u> websites, respectively. The HDI for the EU was averaged using data from this <u>source</u>. In absence of HDI data for Somalia, the lowest value in the dataset, 0.446 (reported for Mozambique), was used.

Assumptions

CPC and Coastal State Configuration

The identification of a CPC as *being* or *not being* an IOTC Coastal State remains a subject of debate for some IOTC members with NJAs within the IOTC Area of Competence.

For the purposes of the simulations, the following assumptions were made:

- The NJAs of the IOTC CPCs were sourced from the Flanders Marine Institute <u>maritime</u> <u>boundaries geodatabase</u>. They are available for download from the <u>IOTC Data Reference</u> <u>Catalogue</u>.
- The attribution of a NJA in the Indian Ocean to a given CPC reflects the information available to the IOTC as of 31/12/2023
- For historical reasons, the waters of the Chagos Archipelago were considered to be under the sovereignty of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (GBR)
- In addition to having an NJA in the Indian Ocean, France (OT) (FRAT) shall be considered de facto as an IOTC Coastal State (see Appendix 4, para. 2 of the <u>Report of the 12th Technical</u> <u>Committee on Allocation Criteria</u>)
- The European Union / REIO (EUR) has an NJA in the Indian Ocean (i.e., the NJA around Réunion and Mayotte) and, for this reason, "should benefit from an allocation that relates to the size of the EEZ of its outermost territories in the IOTC Area of Competence." (see Appendix 4, para. 2 of the Report of the 12th Technical Committee on Allocation Criteria)
- Despite the above, EUR "(...) would not be seeking the application of paragraph 6.6(1)(b) of the coastal state allocation criteria (...)" (see Appendix 4, para. 2 of <u>Report of the 12th Technical</u> <u>Committee on Allocation Criteria</u>)
- There are different views as whether EUR "(...) should benefit from the portion of the coastal state allocation criteria related to aspirations under paragraph 6.6(1)(a) (...)" (see Appendix 4, para. 2 of the <u>Report of the 12th Technical Committee on Allocation Criteria</u>). In their current configurations, the simulations considered EUR as benefiting from the portion of allocation specified by paragraph 6.6(1)(a) of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>

• Following TCAC12, in consultations between the IOTC Secretariat and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (GBR), GBR informed the Secretariat that para 6.6.(1)(b) would not apply to their case, but that paragraph 6.6.(1)(a) and (c) would. This understanding has been incorporated into the current configuration of the TCAC simulations conducted by the Secretariat.

Historical Catches

This information is essential for calculating the third component (*catch-based*) of the allocation criteria and can be downloaded from cfg/HISTORICAL_CATCH_ESTIMATES.csv. The file can be opened as a spreadsheet using MS Excel, LibreOffice Calc, Google Sheets, or any text editor.

The fields included in the catch dataset include:

- YEAR: Year of fishing
- FLAG_CODE: Code for <u>flag States</u>
- FLEET_CODE: Code for <u>fishing fleets</u>
- FISHERY_TYPE: code for main fishery categories (ART = Artisanal, IND = Industrial)
- FISHERY_CODE: Code for <u>fishing gears</u>
- SCHOOL_TYPE_CODE: Code for types of tuna school association (LS = School associated with a drifting floating object, natural or artificial; FS = Free-swimming school)
- ASSIGNED_AREA; Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction (HIGH_SEAS) or National Jurisdiction Areas (NJA) where the last three characters correspond to the <u>country</u> (e.g., NJA_COM = National Jurisdiction Area of Comoros)
- SPECIES_CODE: ALB = albacore; BET = bigeye tuna; SKJ = skipjack tuna; SWO = swordfish; YFT = yellowfin tuna
- CATCH_MT: estimated catch in metric tonnes.

Historical catch data are available for all years from 1950 to 2021 stratified by year, fleet, gear, school type, species, and assigned area.

It is important to note that the need to apportion historical catches by flag or fleet according to the area of operation (high seas versus the NJA of any given coastal state) requires the IOTC Secretariat to estimate this information. This estimation process was presented at the last TCAC meeting in October 2023 and was agreed upon by the meeting participants (see <u>IOTC-2023-TCAC12-INF02</u>).

For this reason, the historical catch series with a full area breakdown is only available for the five major IOTC species (albacore, bigeye tuna, skipjack tuna, swordfish, and yellowfin tuna). These data have been estimated using the regular grid versus the NJA overlapping area fraction to assign catches estimated for the former to the area that falls within a given NJA.

To calculate the catch-based allocation weight for each CPC, information on historical catches is averaged across a selectable time frame using two possible approaches that require computation:

- the annual average across the entire time period
- the average of the best "n" years across the time period

In the latter case, the *best years* are defined as those with the highest catches during the selected period for a given fleet and species.

User Interface

The simulation is presented through an interactive R Shiny <u>web application</u> that is currently password-protected. Access credentials will be provided to participating delegates.

The main screen features two tabbed panels: one to display the <u>reference data</u> used by the simulation, and <u>another</u> to present users with the <u>configuration parameters</u> and the <u>simulation outputs</u>.

iotc ctoi	e readme]									
Reference data Simulation											
Parameters	Results										
Species Target TAC (t)	Tables	Reports									
	Output unit Heatmap style			He	atmap type						
Baseline weight (%) 5 10	Quota (%)	•	Backgroun	d color	•	Global	•	■	Download	
O I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	CPC 🔶	Year #1	Year #2	Year #3	Year #4	Year #5	Year #6	Year #7	Year #8	Year #9	Year #10
Coastal state weight (%)											
5 45	EUR	22.25%	21.22%	20.20%	19.17%	18.14%	17.11%	16.09%	15.06%	14.03%	13.00%
5 9 13 17 21 25 29 33 37 41 45	LKA	8.58%	8.57%	8.57%	8.57%	8.57%	8.57%	8.56%	8.56%	8.56%	8.56%
	SYC	8.23%	8.86%	9.49%	10.12%	10.75%	11.38%	12.01%	12.64%	13.27%	13.90%
Baseline weight: 5% Coastal state weight: 5% Catch- based weight: 90.0%	IDN	7.84%	7.79%	7.74%	7.69%	7.64%	7.58%	7.53%	7.48%	7.43%	7.38%
	MDV	7.71%	7.73%	7.75%	7.76%	7.78%	7.79%	7.81%	7.83%	7.84%	7.86%

An overview of the web application user interface

Reference Data Panel

This panel provides access to three main categories of configuration datasets which are presented as sortable, filterable tables, and provide an interactive version of the tabular configuration files included with the application:

 CPC summary, with information on each IOTC entity (see fields of CPC worksheet described in the Section Process Configuration

iote ctoi	OTC TCAC simulation tool v1.0 [source code readme]											
Refe	rence data	Sim	ulation									
CPC	summary	Coas	tal states summary H	istorical catches								
Show	15 🗸 entr	ries									Search:	
	Code	¢	Name (English)	Name (French)	Status code 🍦	Status	Is SIDS?	Is coastal?	Has NJA in the IO?	NJA size	NJA vs. IOTC size	NJA size weighting
	All		All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All
	AUS		Australia	Australie	СР	Contracting Party	false	true	true	4,320,207 km ²	7.69%	8
	BGD		Bangladesh	Bangladesh	СР	Contracting Party	false	true	true	77,773 km ²	0.13%	1
	CHN		China	Chine	CP	Contracting Party	false	false	false	0 km ²	0.00%	0
	COM		Comoros	Comores	СР	Contracting Party	true	true	true	164,571 km ²	0.27%	1
	EUR		European Union	Union européenne	СР	Contracting Party	false	true	true	378,244 km ²	0.61%	1
	FRAT		France (OT)	France (Territoires)	СР	Contracting Party	false	true	true	1,294,307 km ²	3.69%	4
	GBR		United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	Royaume-Uni de Grande-Bretagne et d'Irlande du Nord	CP	Contracting Party	false	true	true	638,580 km ²	1.04%	2

Coastal states summary, with information on each Coastal State (see fields of COASTAL_STATE_SOCIO_ECONOMIC worksheet described in Section Process Configuration)

Referenc	e data Simi	lation results										
Coastal states summary Historical catches												
ow 15 v entries												
ode 🕴	Is coastal?	Has AUNJ area? 🕴	Development status 🕴	Pro capita fish consumption $\mbox{$\stackrel{1}{\tau}$}$	CUV index 🕴	% workers employed SSF $\frac{1}{2}$	% fisheries contrib. to GDP $\mbox{$\stackrel{\diamond$}{$}$}$	% fisheries contrib. total export $\frac{1}{2}$	HDI 🗄	HDI tier $\ensuremath{\hat{\varphi}}$	HDI tier weight $\frac{1}{2}$	GNI 🕴
	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All		A	All	
US	true	true	DE	1.00 kg / year	10	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.951	VH		HI
BGD	true	true	LD	2.00 kg / year	15	1.00%	24.00%	1.00%	0.661	ME	0.75	LM
сом	true	true	LD	3.00 kg / year	20	2.00%	23.00%	2.00%	0.558	ME	0.75	LM
UR	false	true	DE	25.00 kg / year	130	24.00%	1.00%	24.00%	0.896	VH		н
RAT	true	true	DE	4.00 kg / year	25	3.00%	22.00%	3.00%	0.903	VH		н
BR	false	true	DE	24.00 kg / year	125	23.00%	2.00%	23.00%	0.929	VH		н
DN	true	true	DG	5.00 kg / year	30	4.00%	21.00%	4.00%	0.705	н	0.50	UM
ND	true	true	DG	6.00 kg / year	35	5.00%	20.00%	5.00%	0.633	ME	0.75	LM
RN	true	true	DG	7.00 kg / year	40	6.00%	19.00%	6.00%	0.774	н	0.50	LM
(EN	true	true	DG	8.00 kg / year	45	7.00%	18.00%	7.00%	0.575	ME	0.75	LM
KA	true	true	DG	9.00 kg / year	50	8.00%	17.00%	8.00%	0.782	н	0.50	LM
IDG	true	true	LD	10.00 kg / year	55	9.00%	16.00%	9.00%	0.501	LO	1.00	LO
NDV	true	true	DG	11.00 kg / year	60	10.00%	15.00%	10.00%	0.747	н	0.50	UM
NOZ	true	true	LD	12.00 kg / year	65	11.00%	14.00%	11.00%	0.446	LO	1.00	LO
IUS	true	true	DG	13.00 kg / year	70	12.00%	13.00%	12.00%	0.802	VH		UM

Coastal states summary data panel

• *Historical catches*, with estimated catches for the five major IOTC species stratified by year, fleet, gear, school type, species, and assigned area (see fields described in the Section <u>Historical Catches</u>)

iote etoi	E IOTC TCAC simulation tool v1.0 [source code readme]											
Reference data	Simul	ation										
CPC summary	/ Coastal states summary Historical catches											
Show 50 v entries Search:												
	Year 💧	Flag state	♦ Fleet	\$	Type of fishery	Fishery	School type	Assigned area	Species	Catches		
All		All	All		All	All	All	All	All	All		
	1950	СОМ	COM		ART	HL	UNCL	NJA_COM	BET	13.61 t		
	1950	COM	COM		ART	HL	UNCL	NJA_COM	SKJ	20.00 t		
	1950	COM	COM		ART	HL	UNCL	NJA_COM	SWO	13.09 t		
	1950	COM	COM		ART	HL	UNCL	NJA_COM	YFT	180.00 t		
	1950	COM	COM		ART	TL	UNCL	NJA_COM	SWO	0.52 t		
	1950	EUR.REU	EUR		ART	HL	UNCL	NJA_EUR	ALB	3.68 t		
	1950	EUR.REU	EUR		ART	HL	UNCL	NJA_EUR	SKJ	2.95 t		
	1950	EUR.REU	EUR		ART	HL	UNCL	NJA_EUR	YFT	66.73 t		
	1950	EUR.REU	EUR		ART	TL	UNCL	NJA_EUR	ALB	2.32 t		
	1950	EUR.REU	EUR		ART	TL	UNCL	NJA_EUR	SKJ	12.05 t		
	1950	EUR.REU	EUR		ART	TL	UNCL	NJA_EUR	YFT	12.27 t		

Historical catch data panel

Simulation Panel

This panel provides access to the configuration <u>parameters</u> (left panel) and the simulation <u>results</u> (right panel), projecting up to 10 years into the future to account for the transitional period in the allocation of catches from flag States to Coastal States, where applicable.

Configuration Parameters

- The *Species* subject to the simulation, which affects the catch records to be used to calculate the *catch-based* allocation component
- The *Target TAC* in tonnes (t), which affects the estimated annual catches for each CPC and year

Reference data	Simulation		
Parameters			
Species		Target TAC (t)	
YFT - Yellowfin tun	a 🔻	300000	

Species and TAC configuration controls

 The main component weights sum up to 100% and have been restricted to 5-10% and 5-45% for the *Baseline weight* and the *Coastal State Weight*, respectively, following <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-</u> <u>REF03 Rev1</u>

Baseli	ine weiç	ght (%)							10
	· • •	· ·	1 1 1	· ·	· ·			1 1 1	
5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5 10
Coast	al state	weight	t (%)						45
5	9 9	13	17	21	25	29	33	 	I I 41 45
Baseli	ine weiç	ght: 5%	Coasta	I state	weight:	5% Ca t	tch-base	ed weig	ht: 90.0%

Main component weights configuration controls

1. The **Baseline weight** does not require any additional configuration, as it assigns an equal portion of the quota to each CPC (see para. 6.5 of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>)



Baseline weights configuration controls

2. The *Coastal state weight* applies to all IOTC CPCs with a NJA in the IOTC Area of Competence (see para. 6.6(1) of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>)

Baseline weights	Coastal state weights	Catch-based weights							
Coastal state component weights (%)									
0	35	82.5 100							
0 10 20	1 1 1 1 1 30 40 50 60	70 80 90 100							
Equal weight: 35.0%	Socio-economic weight:	47.5% EEZ weight: 17.5%							

Coastal state sub-component weights configuration controls

This component weight is further broken down into:

- 1. *Equal weight* (see para. 6.6(1)(a) of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>)
- 2. Socio-economic weight (see para. 6.6(1)(b) of IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02)

Its sub-components can be selected from two possible options, which consider different aspects of the social and economic environment and status of all IOTC CPCs:

Option #1: Vulnerability + Priority sectors + Disproportionate burden (see para. 6.6(1)(a)[OPTION 1] of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>)



Socio-economic sub-component weights configuration controls (Option #1)

This option includes three distinct sub-component weights to account for:

- the Vulnerability of the CPC (see para. 6.6(1)(a)[OPTION 1 (i)] <u>IOTC-2024-</u> <u>TCAC13-REF02</u>), whose main components (equally weighted at 50% each) are:
 - the Per capita fish consumption
 - the Commonwealth Universal Vulnerability index (CUVI)
- 2. the **priority sectors** of the CPC (see para. 6.6(1)(a)[OPTION 1 (ii)] of <u>IOTC-</u> <u>2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>), whose main components (equally weighted at 50% each) are:
 - the Proportion of fish workers employed in small-scale and artisanal fisheries
 - the SIDS status (yes / no)
- 3. the **Disproportionate burden** on developing CPCs (see para. 6.6(1)(a)[OPTION 1 (iii) of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>], whose main components (equally weighted at 50% each) are:
 - the Contribution of the whole fisheries sector to the GDP
 - the Proportion of total export value made up of fisheries export
- Option #2: HDI + GNI + SIDS (see para. 6.6(1)(a)[OPTION 2] <u>IOTC-2024-</u> <u>TCAC13-REF02</u>)



This option includes three distinct sub-component weights to account for:

- 1. The Human Development Index (HDI) status
- 2. The Gross National Income (GNI) status
- 3. The Small Island Developing State (SIDS) status
- 3. *EEZ weight* (see para. 6.6(1)(c) of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>) to replace the lack of indicators based on spatial stock abundance.
- The *Catch-based weight* reflects the requirement that CPCs are eligible to receive allocations based on their historical catches for each stock. The criteria used to consider historical catches in determining this weight are outlined in para. 8 of <u>IOTC-2024-</u> <u>TCAC13-REF02</u>, and the simulation tool allows for selection and configuration of these criteria:
 - 1. The *Historical catch interval* influences the calculation of average catches (see para. 6.8(1)(a) of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>)

Historical catch interval										
1950						2000		2016		
T	· · ·	· · · ·	· · ·	· ·	1 1 1	- IO		-	ст.,	
1950	1958	1966	1974	1982	1990	1998	2006	2014	2021	

Historical catch interval configuration controls

- 2. The type of *Historical catch average* to be considered (see para. 6.8(1)(a) of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>) must be selected from the following options:
 - Selected period for calculating the average catch by CPC across the entire historical catch interval

Historical catch average						
Selected period	•					

Historical catch average configuration controls (selected period)

Best "n" years for calculating the average catch by CPC over the top 'n' years (based on catches) identified within the historical catch interval, with *Number of years* as a selectable parameter



Historical catch average configuration controls (best 'n' years)

3. A stepwise approach (see paras. 6.8(2) and 6.12 of <u>IOTC-2024-TCAC13-REF02</u>) is employed to implement the NJA attribution to coastal and flag states over a period of 6 or 10 years. This approach presents a species-independent set of 10 coefficients that determine the fraction of catches from a flag state estimated to have been taken into the NJA of a CPC, which shall therefore be assigned to the coastal state owning the NJA. Each coefficient represents the percentage of those catches to be attributed to the coastal state for that year. In theory, these coefficients should represent a progression from a starting value of less than 100% to 100% (indicating that all catches are attributed to the coastal state); however, nothing prevents users from introducing any sequence they prefer for these coefficients.

Coastal state EEZ attribution weights (%)									
Year #1	Year #2	Year #3	Year #4	Year #5	Year #6				
10	20	30	40	50	60				
Year #7	Year #8	Year #9	Year #10						
70	80	90	10						

Historical catch transitional attribution controls

4. The *High-seas only catches* parameter of the simulation tool aims to facilitate the exploration of simulations for high seas-only catches, as the high seas are less affected by data limitations, do not require any assumptions on catch attribution (i.e., existence of fishing agreements), and exclude artisanal fisheries that occur solely within waters under national jurisdiction.

High-Seas only catches
 Focus exclusively on catches estimated to have been taken in Areas Beyond National Jurisdiction (High Seas; HS)

Simulating high seas-only catches

Page 10 of 14

Outputs

The outputs of the simulation are presented with two tabs: (i) Tables and (ii) Reports.

Results			
Tables Reports			
Output unit	Heatmap style	Heatmap type	
Quota (%) 🗸	Background color	Global	Download

The tab **Tables** provides the final allocation table with CPCs as rows and allocation years as columns (from 1 to indicate the initial year, up to a maximum of 10). Each cell contains the quota assigned to the CPC for a specific year. Depending on the choice of the **Output unit** parameter, this quota can be expressed either as a fraction (% of the TAC for a given species) or as an absolute value in tonnes. The absolute value is computed from the output quotas (in %) and the TAC (in tonnes) set by the user.

Output unit

Quota (%)	•
Quota (%)	
Catches (t)	

By default, each cell has a background colour whose intensity is directly proportional to the value within the cell, relative to other cells or values within the same year, or across the entire table.

The visual representation of the relative cell value can be changed via the *Heatmap style* parameter.

Heatmap style

Background color	•
Background color	
Bar	

This presents two options:

• **Background colour** (default) to represent the (relative) cell value through the intensity of the background

CPC 🔶	Year #1 🍦	Year #2 🍦	Year #3 🍦	Year #4 🍦	Year #5 🍦	Year #6 🍦	Year #7 🍦	Year #8 🍦	Year #9 🍦	Year #10 🍦
EUR	8.73%	8.38%	8.04%	7.70%	7.36%	7.01%	6.67%	6.33%	5.99%	5.64%
SYC	7.74%	7.95%	8.16%	8.37%	8.58%	8.79%	9.00%	9.21%	9.42%	
СОМ	5.55%	5.57%	5.59%	5.60%	5.62%	5.64%	5.66%	5.68%	5.69%	5.71%
IDN	5.24%	5.22%	5.20%	5.19%	5.17%	5.15%	5.14%	5.12%	5.10%	5.09%
MUS	5.20%	5.22%	5.25%	5.28%	5.30%	5.33%	5.35%	5.38%	5.40%	5.43%
LKA	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%
YEM	4.83%	4.85%	4.87%	4.89%	4.91%	4.93%	4.96%	4.98%	5.00%	5.02%
MDV	4.71%	4.71%	4.72%	4.72%	4.73%	4.74%	4.74%	4.75%	4.75%	4.76%
IND	4.65%	4.73%	4.81%	4.89%	4.96%	5.04%	5.12%	5.20%	5.28%	5.36%
IRN	4.48%	4.40%	4.32%	4.25%	4.17%	4.10%	4.02%	3.95%	3.87%	3.79%
PAK	3.90%	3.91%	3.92%	3.93%	3.94%	3.94%	3.95%	3.96%	3.97%	3.98%
MDG	3.14%	3.17%	3.21%	3.24%	3.27%	3.30%	3.34%	3.37%	3.40%	3.44%
SOM	3.12%	3.18%	3.25%	3.32%	3.39%	3.45%	3.52%	3.59%	3.66%	3.73%
AUS	2.88%	2.89%	2.90%	2.91%	2.92%	2.93%	2.94%	2.95%	2.96%	2.97%
MOZ	2.87%	2.90%	2.93%	2.96%	2.99%	3.02%	3.05%	3.08%	3.11%	3.14%
TZA	2.86%	2.91%	2.96%	3.01%	3.06%	3.11%	3.16%	3.20%	3.25%	3.30%
SDN	2.84%	2.85%	2.85%	2.85%	2.86%	2.86%	2.87%	2.87%	2.87%	2.88%
OMN	2.64%	2.64%	2.63%	2.63%	2.62%	2.62%	2.61%	2.61%	2.60%	2.60%
KEN	2.50%	2.51%	2.52%	2.53%	2.54%	2.55%	2.57%	2.58%	2.59%	2.60%
BGD	2.48%	2.48%	2.48%	2.48%	2.48%	2.48%	2.48%	2.48%	2.48%	2.48%
FRAT	2.41%	2.41%	2.40%	2.40%	2.40%	2.40%	2.40%	2.40%	2.40%	2.40%
ZAF	2.35%	2.35%	2.36%	2.36%	2.37%	2.37%	2.38%	2.38%	2.39%	2.39%
CHN	2.27%	2.18%	2.08%	1.98%	1.88%	1.79%	1.69%	1.59%	1.50%	1.40%
THA	1.84%	1.84%	1.84%	1.84%	1.84%	1.84%	1.84%	1.84%	1.84%	1.84%
MYS	1.83%	1.83%	1.83%	1.83%	1.83%	1.83%	1.83%	1.83%	1.83%	1.82%
GBR	1.65%	1.68%	1.72%	1.76%	1.80%	1.84%	1.88%	1.91%	1.95%	1.99%
JPN	1.20%	1.14%	1.08%	1.02%	0.96%	0.91%	0.85%	0.79%	0.73%	0.67%

Output table using the 'background colour' heatmap option

• Bar to represent the (relative) cell value through a horizontal bar

CPC 🕴	Year #1 🍦	Year #2 🍦	Year #3 🍦	Year #4 🍦	Year #5 🍦	Year #6 🍦	Year #7 🍦	Year #8 🍦	Year #9 🍦	Year #10 🍦
EUR	8.73%	8.38%	8.04%	7.70%	7.36%	7.01%	6.67%	6.33%	5.99%	5.64%
SYC	7.74%	7.95%	8.16%	8.37%	8.58%	8.79%	9.00%	9.21%	9.42%	9.63%
СОМ	5.55%	5.57%	5.59%	5.60%	5.62%	5.64%	5.66%	5.68%	5.69%	5.71%
IDN	5.24%	5.22%	5.20%	5.19%	5.17%	5.15%	5.14%	5.12%	5.10%	5.09%
MUS	5.20%	5.22%	5.25%	5.28%	5.30%	5.33%	5.35%	5.38%	5.40%	5.43%
LKA	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%	5.06%
YEM	4.83%	4.85%	4.87%	4.89%	4.91%	4.93%	4.96%	4.98%	5.00%	5.02%
MDV	4.71%	4.71%	4.72%	4.72%	4.73%	4.74%	4.74%	4.75%	4.75%	4.76%
IND	4.65%	4.73%	4.81%	4.89%	4.96%	5.04%	5.12%	5.20%	5.28%	5.36%
IRN	4.48%	4.40%	4.32%	4.25%	4.17%	4.10%	4.02%	3.95%	3 <mark>.87%</mark>	<mark>3</mark> .79%
PAK	3.90%	3.91%	3.92%	<mark>3</mark> .93%	3.94%	3.94%	<mark>3</mark> .95%	3.96%	<mark>3</mark> .97%	3.98%
MDG	3. <mark>1</mark> 4%	3. <mark>17%</mark>	3. <mark>21%</mark>	3. <mark>24%</mark>	3. <mark>27%</mark>	3. <mark>30%</mark>	3. <mark>34%</mark>	3. <mark>37%</mark>	3. <mark>40%</mark>	3 <mark>.44%</mark>
SOM	3. <mark>12%</mark>	3. <mark>18%</mark>	3. <mark>25%</mark>	3. <mark>32%</mark>	3. <mark>39%</mark>	3. <mark>45%</mark>	3. <mark>52%</mark>	3. <mark>59%</mark>	3. <mark>66%</mark>	<mark>3</mark> .73%
AUS	2.8 <mark>8%</mark>	2.8 <mark>9%</mark>	2.9 <mark>0%</mark>	2.9 <mark>1%</mark>	2.9 <mark>2%</mark>	2.9 <mark>3%</mark>	2.9 <mark>4%</mark>	2.9 <mark>5%</mark>	2.9 <mark>6%</mark>	2. <mark>9</mark> 7%
MOZ	2.8 <mark>7%</mark>	2.9 <mark>0%</mark>	2.9 <mark>3%</mark>	2.9 <mark>6%</mark>	2.9 <mark>9%</mark>	3. <mark>0</mark> 2%	3.0 <mark>5%</mark>	3.0 <mark>8%</mark>	3. <mark>11%</mark>	3. <mark>14%</mark>
TZA	2.8 <mark>6%</mark>	2.9 <mark>1%</mark>	2.9 <mark>6%</mark>	3.0 <mark>1%</mark>	3.0 <mark>6%</mark>	3. <mark>11%</mark>	3. <mark>16%</mark>	3. <mark>20%</mark>	3. <mark>25%</mark>	3. <mark>30%</mark>
SDN	2.8 <mark>4%</mark>	2.8 <mark>5%</mark>	2.8 <mark>5%</mark>	2.8 <mark>5%</mark>	2.8 <mark>6%</mark>	2.8 <mark>6%</mark>	2.8 <mark>7%</mark>	2.8 <mark>7%</mark>	2.8 <mark>7%</mark>	2. <mark>88%</mark>
OMN	2.6 <mark>4%</mark>	2.6 <mark>4%</mark>	2.6 <mark>3%</mark>	2.6 <mark>3%</mark>	2.6 <mark>2%</mark>	2.6 <mark>2%</mark>	2.6 <mark>1%</mark>	2.6 <mark>1%</mark>	2.6 <mark>0%</mark>	2.6 <mark>0%</mark>
KEN	2.5 <mark>0%</mark>	2.5 <mark>1%</mark>	2.5 <mark>2%</mark>	2.5 <mark>3%</mark>	2.5 <mark>4%</mark>	2.5 <mark>5</mark> %	2.5 <mark>7%</mark>	2.5 <mark>8%</mark>	2.5 <mark>9%</mark>	2.6 <mark>0%</mark>
BGD	2.4 <mark>8%</mark>	2.4 <mark>8%</mark>	2.48%	2.4 <mark>8%</mark>	2.4 <mark>8%</mark>	2.4 <mark>8</mark> %	2.4 <mark>8</mark> %	2.48 <mark>%</mark>	2.48 <mark>%</mark>	2.4 <mark>8%</mark>
FRAT	2.4 <mark>1%</mark>	2.4 <mark>1%</mark>	2.40 <mark>%</mark>	2.40 <mark>%</mark>	2.40 <mark>%</mark>	2.40 <mark>%</mark>	2.40 <mark>%</mark>	2.40 <mark>%</mark>	2.40 <mark>%</mark>	2.4 <mark>0%</mark>
ZAF	2.3 <mark>5</mark> %	2.35 <mark>%</mark>	2.36%	2.36 <mark>%</mark>	2.37 <mark>%</mark>	2.37 <mark>%</mark>	2.38 <mark>%</mark>	2.38 <mark>%</mark>	2.39 <mark>%</mark>	2.3 <mark>9%</mark>
CHN	2.27 <mark>%</mark>	2.18 <mark>%</mark>	2.08 <mark>%</mark>	1.98 <mark>%</mark>	1.88 <mark>%</mark>	1.79 <mark>%</mark>	1.69 <mark>%</mark>	1.59%	1.50%	1.40%
THA	1.84 <mark>%</mark>	1.84 <mark>%</mark>	1.84 <mark>%</mark>	1.84 <mark>%</mark>	1.84 <mark>%</mark>	1.84%	1.84 <mark>%</mark>	1.84%	1.84 <mark>%</mark>	1.84 <mark>%</mark>
MYS	1.83 <mark>%</mark>	1.83 <mark>%</mark>	1.83 <mark>%</mark>	1.83 <mark>%</mark>	1.83%	1.83 <mark>%</mark>	1.83 <mark>%</mark>	1.83 <mark>%</mark>	1.83 <mark>%</mark>	1.82 <mark>%</mark>
GBR	1.65 <mark>%</mark>	1.68 <mark>%</mark>	1.72 <mark>%</mark>	1.76%	1.80%	1.84 <mark>%</mark>	1.88 <mark>%</mark>	1.91 <mark>%</mark>	1.95 <mark>%</mark>	1.99 <mark>%</mark>
JPN	1.20%	1.14%	1.08%	1.02%	0.96%	0.91%	0.85%	0.79%	0.73%	0.67%

Output table using the 'bar' heatmap option

The context in which the relative cell value is calculated can also be modified using the *Heatmap type* parameter.

Heatmap type

Global	•
Global	
By year	

This presents two options:

- Global (default), to calculate each cell's relative value with respect to all values in the table, or
- **By year** to calculate each cell's relative value with respect to all values estimated for the same year

The simulation results can be downloaded as an Excel file through the **Download** button. The name of the file corresponds to the serialised date (including the time) at which the download request was issued (e.g., TCAC13_simulation_2024_02_01_150856.xlsx), while its content includes the following five worksheets:

- 1. CPC_REFERENCES containing the CPC configuration parameters as in cfg/CPC_CONFIGURATIONS.xlsx
- 2. COASTAL_STATE_REFERENCES containing the coastal states configuration parameters as in cfg/CPC_CONFIGURATIONS.xlsx
- 3. HISTORICAL_CATCHES containing the historical catches for the selected species as extracted from cfg/HISTORICAL_CATCH_ESTIMATES.csv
- 4. **SIMULATION_CONFIGURATION** containing all the configuration parameters set by the users for the specific simulation round
- 5. OUTPUT_QUOTAS containing the outputs of the simulation expressed either as fraction of the annual TAC or as catches in tons by CPC and simulation year (depending on the chosen value of the **output unit** parameter)

Reports

The **Reports** tab provides access to reports that include the configuration parameters and output tables for all components (baseline, coastal State, and catch-based) and their sub-components. These reports downloadable either for all CPCs (Full report) or for a selected entity.

Results						
Tables	Reports					
Full rep	oort (All	CPCs)				
🛓 Downlo	∠ Download full report					
Report by entity						
Please se	lect a reporti	ing entity				
∠ Download entity report						