



# Report of the Eight Meeting of the Vessel Monitoring System Working Group

Held by videoconference, 10 February 2025

#### **DISTRIBUTION:**

Participants in the Meeting
IOTC CPCs
Chairperson IOTC
Chairperson IOTC Compliance Committee
Chairperson IOTC Scientific Committee

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHIC ENTRY**

IOTC-VMSWG08 2025. Report of the Eight Meeting of the Vessel Monitoring System Working Group. Held by videoconference, 2025. IOTC-2025-VMSWG08-R[E]: 11 pp





The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication and its lists do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) or the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

This work is copyright. Fair dealing for study, research, news reporting, criticism or review is permitted. Selected passages, tables or diagrams may be reproduced for such purposes provided acknowledgment of the source is included. Major extracts or the entire document may not be reproduced by any process without the written permission of the Executive Secretary, IOTC.

The Indian Ocean Tuna Commission has exercised due care and skill in the preparation and compilation of the information and data set out in this publication. Notwithstanding, the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, employees and advisers disclaim all liability, including liability for negligence, for any loss, damage, injury, expense or cost incurred by any person as a result of accessing, using or relying upon any of the information or data set out in this publication to the maximum extent permitted by law.

#### Contact details:

Indian Ocean Tuna Commission Abis Center - Blend Providence Industrial Estate PO Box 1011 Mahé, Seychelles Ph: +248 422 5494

Email: <a href="mailto:IOTC-secretariat@fao.org">IOTC-secretariat@fao.org</a>
Website: <a href="mailto:http://www.iotc.org">http://www.iotc.org</a>

#### HOW TO INTERPRET TERMINOLOGY CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT

This Report has been written using the following terms and associated definitions so as to remove ambiguity surrounding how particular paragraphs should be interpreted.

### Level 1: From a subsidiary body of the Commission to the next level in the structure of the Commission:

**RECOMMENDED, RECOMMENDATION**: Any conclusion or request for an action to be undertaken, from a subsidiary body of the Commission (Committee or Working Party), which is to be formally provided to the next level in the structure of the Commission for its consideration/endorsement (e.g. from a Working Party to the Scientific Committee; from a Committee to the Commission). The intention is that the higher body will consider the recommended action for endorsement under its own mandate, if the subsidiary body does not already have the required mandate. Ideally this should be task specific and contain a timeframe for completion.

# Level 2: From a subsidiary body of the Commission to a Contracting Party or Cooperating Non-Contracting Party (CPC), the IOTC Secretariat, or other body (not the Commission) to carry out a specified task:

**REQUESTED**: This term should only be used by a subsidiary body of the Commission if it does not wish to have the request formally adopted/endorsed by the next level in the structure of the Commission. For example, if a Committee wishes to seek additional input from a CPC on a particular topic, but does not wish to formalize the request beyond the mandate of the Committee, it may request that a set action be undertaken. Ideally this should be task specific and contain a timeframe for the completion.

### Level 3: General terms to be used for consistency:

**AGREED**: Any point of discussion from a meeting which the IOTC body considers to be an agreed course of action covered by its mandate, which has not already been dealt with under Level 1 or level 2 above; a general point of agreement among delegations/participants of a meeting which does not need to be considered/adopted by the next level in the Commission's structure.

**NOTED/NOTING**: Any point of discussion from a meeting which the IOTC body considers to be important enough to record in a meeting report for future reference.

**Any other term:** Any other term may be used in addition to the Level 3 terms to highlight to the reader of the IOTC report, the importance of the relevant paragraph. However, other terms used are considered for explanatory/informational purposes only and shall have no higher rating within the reporting terminology hierarchy than Level 3, described above (e.g. **CONSIDERED**; **URGED**; **ACKNOWLEDGED**).

### **Table of Contents**

1.	OPENING OF THE MEETING5
2.	INTRODUCTION OF MEMBERS5
3.	ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA5
4.	PRESENTATION BY THE CONSULTANT - PROPOSAL, DESIGN AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT5
5.	REVIEW AND DISCUSSION - PROPOSAL, DESIGN AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT5
6.	PRESENTATION BY THE CONSULTANT - IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT6
7.	REVIEW AND DISCUSSION - IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT
8.	IDENTIFYING ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS AND DEVELOPING RULES OF PROCEDURE TO DEVELOP A REAL-TIME DFAD MONITORING SYSTEM (DFAD-MS)
9.	WORK PLAN FOR THE WG AND SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS FOR THE YEAR 2025 $7$
10.	DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT VMS WG MEETING(S) 8
11.	OTHER BUSINESS 8
12.	ADOPTION OF THE REPORT 8
APPEND	IX 1 LIST OF PARTICIPANTS9
APPENDIX 2 ADOPTED AGENDA	

### 1. OPENING OF THE MEETING

- 1. The meeting was held online, via Zoom, on 10 February 2025.
- 2. The List of Participants is provided in <u>Appendix 1</u>. A total of 39 participants attended the meeting. The eighth meeting of the Vessel Monitoring System Working Group (VMSWG08) was chaired by Mr Stephen Ndegwa (Kenya).

### 2. INTRODUCTION OF MEMBERS

3. The Chair of the VMSWG08 **INVITED** participants to introduce themselves.

### 3. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA AND ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE MEETING

4. The VMSWG08 **ADOPTED** the Agenda in <u>Appendix 2</u> and **AGREED** with the meeting arrangements.

### 4. PRESENTATION BY THE CONSULTANT - PROPOSAL, DESIGN AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT

- 5. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** paper <u>IOTC-2025-VMS Pilot Project-01</u>, the 1st interim report entitled "Consultant Report Proposal, Design and Requirements of the IOTC VMS Pilot Project" that aims to:
  - a. establish the technical requirements and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for designing the IOTC Regional Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) Pilot Project
  - b. Test Interoperability based on different scenarios,
  - c. Develop IOTC standardized rules for data sharing, confidentiality and security,
  - d. Evaluate the technical feasibility of a regional VMS.
- 6. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** key elements from the Consultant's report, such as the scope, VMS data contents, reporting frequency and the different system components for the data collection, processing, storage, distribution, data security and confidentiality.
- 7. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** that only three CPCs (Australia, Philippines and Seychelles) have volunteered to participate in the IOTC VMS Pilot Project, following the call to volunteer VMS Pilot Project send by the Secretariat on 15 October 2024 (REF IOTC2024-303).
- 8. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the need to agree upon SOPs before starting the Pilot Project and establish structured guidelines, considering IOTC <u>Resolution 15/03</u> On the vessel monitoring system (VMS) programme and its proposed amendments, in addition to <u>Resolution 12/02</u> on Data confidentiality policy and procedures.

## 5. REVIEW AND DISCUSSION - PROPOSAL, DESIGN AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT

- 9. The Chair of the VMSWG08 **RECALLED** that the agreed scope for the IOTC Regional VMS covers all fishing vessels from 12 metres of length overall and above.
- 10. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the low number of volunteering CPCs and **FURTHER NOTED** that the European Union mightl also volunteer some large-scale vessels to participate in the IOTC VMS Pilot Project.

- 11. The VMSWG08 **RECALLED** the impediments expressed by two CPCs during the previous meetings of the Compliance Committee (CoC21) concerning their legal difficulty and **ACKNOWLEDGED** that their absence in the VMSWG meetings creates doubts on whether they are in support for implementing a Commission's VMS.
- 12. The VMSWG08 **AGREED** to request to the Commission, via the Working Party on Conservation and Management Measures (WPICMM08) and the Compliance Committee (CoC22), clarify whether there exists consensus for implementing a regional IOTC VMS and if not, whether the VMSWG continues the technical discussion.
- 13. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** that CPCs, regardless of whether they have volunteered to participate in the Pilot Project, could contribute by providing details of their national VMS for consideration and inclusion in the IOTC VMS Pilot Project.
- 14. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** that the integration of e-RAV with the regional VMS will help crosscheck vessel details and trigger alerts for non-authorized vessels.
- 15. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** that the regional VMS will receive and store data on both MTUs and vessel identifiers.
- 16. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** that the system should be cost-effective, and that non-essential functionalities or data requirements should be excluded from the IOTC VMS Pilot Project.
- 17. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the lengthy timeframe for implementation and the need to work in parallel to the Pilot Project implementation to advance VMSWG's work.
- 18. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the comment by the consultant that all current VMS monitoring tools provide geo-fencing capabilities.
- 19. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the importance of considering the design of a regional VMS that can accommodate the future needs of the VMS of IOTC.

### 6. PRESENTATION BY THE CONSULTANT - IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT

- 20. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the 2<sup>nd</sup> interim report <u>IOTC-2025-VMS Pilot Project-02</u>, entitled "Consultant Report Implementation Plan of the IOTC VMS Pilot Project" that outlines the implementation plan, budget estimation, key technical and operational requirements and implementation time frame, necessary to establish a functional Vessel Monitoring System under the framework of the IOTC VMS Pilot Project.
- 21. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the key recommendations for success presented by the consultant, which included:
  - a. Establishing robust data-sharing agreements,
  - b. Expand participation with additional CPCs,
  - c. Prioritise scalable cloud-based solutions,
  - d. Define core functionalities for the pilot phase,
  - e. Harmonise data formats and exchange protocols,
  - f. Emphasise training and technical support,
  - g. Implement operational feedback mechanisms and key performance indicators (KPI).

22. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** that the VMS Pilot Project would be structured into three main phases, prebidding preparation phase, bidding phase and implementation, and commissioning phase.

### 7. REVIEW AND DISCUSSION - IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT

- 23. The VMSWG08 **AGREED** that the VMS Pilot Project would be structured into three main phases, prebidding preparation phase, bidding phase and implementation, and commissioning phase.
- 24. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the proposal to organise the envisaged training under the Pilot Project for volunteering CPCs in person and **FURTHER NOTED** the potential benefit of inviting non-volunteering CPCs to attend the training, conditioned by the availability of funds.
- 25. The VMSWG08 **AGREED** to extend the time frame for feedback on the two Consultant Reports until the end of February, for the Consultant's consideration and inclusion in the final reports.
- 26. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** that the 2nd interim report outlines a list of specifications classified into three levels: mandatory, highly desirable, and optional.
- 27. The VMSWG08 **REQUESTED** the Consultant with the assistance of the Secretariat, to draft a list of specifications for the regional VMS, for consideration at the next VMSWG. The list of specifications will be presented as an annex of the final Consultant reports.
- 28. The VMSWG08 **REQUESTED** the Consultant with the assistance of the Secretariat, to compile and provide all decision points that may require validation by the Commission within the two reports, for CoC22. The list of decisions will be presented as an annex of the final Consultant reports.

# 8. IDENTIFYING ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS AND DEVELOPING RULES OF PROCEDURE TO DEVELOP A REAL-TIME DFAD MONITORING SYSTEM (DFAD-MS)

- 29. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** paragraph 23 of IOTC Resolution 24/02, which tasks the VMSWG, in support of the IOTC Compliance Committee, to work on identifying administrative arrangements and developing rules of procedure to develop a real-time Drifting Fishing Aggregating Devices (DFAD) Monitoring System.
- 30. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the limited time available to discuss this item of the agenda and **AGREED** to defer the discussions to future meetings of the Working Group.

## 9. WORK PLAN FOR THE WORKING GROUP (WG) AND SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS FOR THE YEAR 2025

- 31. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the current status of progress on the tasks outlined in the VMSWG ToR and **FURTHER NOTED** that the following tasks are not yet finalised:
  - a. Develop possible funding models and options;
  - b. Consider further improvements that can be made to Resolution 15/03;
  - c. Develop rules and procedures for the sharing, use and protection of VMS data;
  - d. Develop mechanism for the smooth transition of the existing to the new system, if required.

- 32. The VMSWG08 **NOTED** the following activities that could be undertaken, in parallel to the Pilot Project, to advance the work of the VMSWG:
  - e. Considering the progress of the Pilot Project to confirm, or propose an alternative, funding model.
  - f. Proposing new amendments to Resolution 15/03 to better reflect the IOTC regional VMS and any associated Annexes (e.g., SSP) that might need to be developed to support the regional VMS;
  - g. Developing rules and procedures for the sharing, use and protection of VMS data with due consideration to FAO's policy on confidentiality and information technology security provisions and Pilot Project's specific requirements, and;
  - h. Developing a plan to transition to a regional VMS, based on the current level of VMS implementation and compliance.
- 33. Noting the work of the VMSWG was established in the ToR since 2019, the VMSWG08 **NOTED** the need to revise the VMSWG ToR.
- 34. The VMSWG08 **AGREED** on the need to establish a workplan to advance the work of the VMSWG concerning the ongoing tasks and any regulatory aspects, while the regional VMS Pilot Project is being implemented.
- 35. The VMSWG08 **REQUESTED** the Chair to propose a tentative workplan to CoC22 for its endorsement.

### 10. DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT VMS WG MEETING(S)

36. The VMSWG08 **AGREED** to meet, contingent upon confirmation by the Commission that there is consensus to implement a regional IOTC VMS and that the VMSWG continues, on the 20 May 2025.

### 11. OTHER BUSINESS

37. There was no other business.

### 12. ADOPTION OF THE REPORT

38. The Report of the meeting was adopted by correspondence on 24 February 2025.

### APPENDIX 1 LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

**CHAIR** 

Mr Stephen NDEGWA Kenya Fisheries Service Kenya

ndegwafish@yahoo.com

**PARTICIPANTS** 

Mr Neil ANSELL European Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA) European Union Neil.ANSELL@efca.europa.eu

Mr Eric APPLEYARD
Fisheries Maritime Authority
Australia

Ms Amanda ARTEAGA Fisheries Monitoring Center Spain aarteagas@mapa.es

Mr Roy ASEKA Kenya royaseka@gmail.com

Mr Ismail ASHRAF
Maldives
ismail.ashraf@fisheries.gov.m
v

Ms Julie BIBI Fisheries Authority Seychelles

Mr Aris BUDIARTO Indonesia arisbudiarto@gmail.com

Mr Jaime CARCELLER Fisheries Monitoring Center Spain jcarceller@mapa.es Mrs. Rista Devi Juniar Indonesia Devikkp17@gmail.com

Ms Loreen ESTHER
Seychelles Fisheries Authority
Seychelles
llesther@sfa.sc

Ms Norma E GARCIA NUNEZ DG MARE European Union Norma-Erendira.Garcia-Nunez@ec.europa.eu

Ms Maleeha HALEEM
Fisheries Compliance Section
Maldives
maleeha.haleem@fishagri.go
v.mv

Mr Miguel Herrera OPAGAC Seychelles

Mr Neil Hughes Fisheries Maritime Authority Australia neil.hughes@awe.gov.au

Mr Kimiyoshi HIWATARI Fisheries Agency Japan <u>kimiyosi\_hiwatari190@maff.g</u> o.jp

Mr Rashid IMAN MUSA Kenya imamrshd@yahoo.com

Mr Feliantonino Robson KALAKA Madagascar Mr Prasit LUESRITHAWORNSIN Thailand prasit kim@hotmail.com

Mr Lalu LUTFI Indonesia lalulutfi@gmail.com

Mr. Satya Mardi Indonesia Satyamardi18@gmail.com

Ms Laura MAROT
European Commission
DG Maritime Affairs and
Fisheries
European Union
Laura.marot@ec.europa.eu

Ms Yuka MATSUZAWA
Fisheries Agency
Japan
yuka matsuzawa450@maff.g
o.jp

Mrs Sri PATMIARSIH Indonesia <u>sripatmiarsih@gmail.com</u>

Mr. John PEARCE MRAG United Kingdom j.pearce@mrag.co.uk

Mr Michael PEEL
United Kingdom
Michael.Peel@defra.gov.uk

Iaian ROSS Australia

Neetu PRASAD India Mr Tolotra RABEMANANTSOA Madagascar

Ms Kate SMITHERS United Kingdom Kate.Smithers@defra.gov.uk

Mr Nicolas VUILLAUME IOTC Consultant France nvuillaume@groupcls.com Mr Febrianto WARDHANA UTAMA Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries Indonesia febrianto.wardhana@kkp.go.i d Mr. Aekkarat WONGKEAW Fishing and Fleets Management Division Thailand aekfish@hotmail.com

### **OBSERVERS**

### **The PEW Charitable Trusts**

Mr Nikolas EVANGELIDES nevangelides@pewtrusts.org

Mr Glen HOLMES gholmes@pewtrusts.org

International Seafood Sustainability Foundation (ISSF) Kerrie ROBERTSON krobertson@iss-foundation.org Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement (SIOFA)

Mr Johnny LOUYS johnny.louys@siofa.org

Sustainable Fisheries & Communities Trust (SFACT)

Ms Beatrice KINYUA beatrice.kinyua@sfact.org

Indian Ocean Commission (IOC)

Tiana RANDRIAMBOLA

### **INDIAN OCEAN TUNA COMMISSION SECRETARIAT**

Mr José Antonio ACUÑA BARROS Compliance Officer Jose.Acuna@fao.org Mr Gerard DOMINGUE Compliance Manager Gerard.Domingue@fao.org Mr Florian GIROUX Compliance Coordinator Florian.Giroux@fao.org

### APPENDIX 2 ADOPTED AGENDA

#### ADOPTED AGENDA FOR THE EIGHT MEETING OF THE VMS WORKING GROUP

**Date:** 10 February 2025 **Location:** Online

**Platform**: Zoom **Time:** 1100–1500 hrs Seychelles time

Chair: Mr Stephen Ndegwa, Vice Chair: Mr. Shoukot Chowdhury

- 1. OPENING OF THE MEETING (Chair).
- 2. INTRODUCTION OF MEMBERS (Chair/Plenary).
- 3. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA AND ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE MEETING (Chair/Plenary).
- 4. PRESENTATION BY THE CONSULTANT PROPOSAL, DESIGN AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT (Secretariat/Consultant)
- 5. REVIEW AND DISCUSSION PROPOSAL, DESIGN AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT (Chair/Plenary)
- 6. PRESENTATION BY THE CONSULTANT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT (Secretariat/Consultant)
- 7. REVIEW AND DISCUSSION IMPLEMENTATION PLAN OF THE IOTC VMS PILOT PROJECT (Chair/Plenary)
- 8. IDENTIFYING ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS AND DEVELOPING RULES OF PROCEDURE TO DEVELOP A REAL-TIME DFAD MONITORING SYSTEM (DFAD-MS) (Chair/Plenary).
- 9. WORK PLAN FOR THE WG AND SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS FOR THE YEAR 2025 (Chair/Plenary).
- 10. DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT VMS WG MEETING (Plenary).
- 11. ANY OTHER BUSINESS (Plenary).