

IOTC-2025-CoC22-sCR16(Summary)-Mauritius [E]

2025 Summary Compliance Report for: Mauritius

Published: 18 March 2025 - 08:05

Notes:

Requirements that do not apply to the CPC (by exclusion) are not included in the PDF version of this report.

Acronyms and definitions can be consulted [on the last page](#) of the Compliance Report.

Req. n°	Source (para n°) (year)	Information required	Deadline	Pre-vious status	Cur-rent status	Observations	CPC remarks	CPC Follow-up and/or Remedial actions/CoC-COM Rec(s)
---------	-------------------------	----------------------	----------	------------------	-----------------	--------------	-------------	--

1. Implementation obligations

2. Management Standards

2.10-b	Res. 23/01 (7) (2024)	Marking AFADs	23/1/2025	-/-	P/C	<p>OBS: Currently most of the AFADs are of Rosary types which are difficult to mark, hence only the Single Buoy AFADs are marked. Received 14.01.2025. Has declared: AFAD partially marked (5).</p> <p>LEG: YES - Fisheries Act 2023 Sections 12, 13 and 24.</p> <p>SP: YES - Provided & described for i) ii) iii).</p> <p>OBS: Currently most of the AFADs are of Rosary types which are difficult to mark, hence only the Single Buoy AFADs are marked.</p>	<p>Most of the AFADs are of the Rosary types which are difficult to mark due to constant immersion in the sea water, the markings become illegible as being also of small characters. Rosary types AFADs are being replaced gradually by Single Buoy AFADs which would facilitate markings.</p>	-
2.11-c	Res 23/01 (8, 9, 12,	AFADs deployed, lost, abandoned, discarded, inspected	12/2/2025	-/-	P/C	Received 12.02.2025. AFADs (3) deployed in 2024 reported after 21 days of deployment.	Not Agreeable with the P/C. The 3 AFADs that were deployed in 2024 are AFADs that have replaced lost ones and as such are not new	-

	13, 14, 15) (2024) (2024)					<p><u>LEG</u>: YES - <i>The Fisheries Act 2023 Para Sections 46 and 123</i></p> <p><u>STD</u>: YES - All mandatory AFADs data/report in 2024, provided and completed, AFADs deployed in 2024 reported after 21 days of deployment.</p> <p>AFADs deployed in EEZ 26 ; 3 in 2024. NOT reported within 21 days of deployment of the AFADs.</p> <p>3 AFADs Lost, abandoned, discarded.</p> <p>8 AFADs inspected in 2024.</p> <p><u>SP</u> : YES - Provided & described for i) ii) iii).</p>	<p>AFADs. As per Resolution 23/01, only the details of NEW AFADs need to be communicated to the IOTC with 21 days of deployment.</p> <p>IOTC will be notified for any NEW AFADs that will be deployed on new GPS points.</p>
2.18	Res. 21/01 (18) (2024)	Status/Plan reducing use supply vessel	12/2/2025	C	P/C	<p><u>LEG</u>: YES - Fisheries Act, 2023, Sections 12 - 14.</p> <p><u>STD</u>: YES - All mandatory information R21/01 (20), provided and completed.</p> <p><u>SP</u>: YES - Provided & described for i) ii) iii).</p>	<p>Not agreeable to P/C. The reasons for P/C was put in additional details as follows: The CPC has failed to implement, monitor or ensure compliance with the obligation. Has replaced its supply vessel with one of greater capacity on the IOTC Record of Authorized Vessel.</p> <p>The plan to reduce the number of supply vessels does not apply to Mauritius as we have only one Supply Vessel. This was specified in our submission on -emaris in the uploaded document.</p> <p>It's true that the supply vessel (Haizea Hiru) that has replaced the previous one has a greater capacity in terms of LOA and GT. However, it is clear that the resolution 21/01 refers only to the reduction in the number of Supply Vessel. There is no mention about the characteristics of the Supply vessel in the Resolution.</p> <p>Pls refer to Para 18 of Resolution 21/01 which mentions that CPCs shall gradually reduce supply vessels in purse seine operations targeting tropical tuna, by 31st December 2022 as specified below in (a) and (b).</p> <p>(a) From 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2024: 3 supply vessels in support of not less than 10 purse seiners, all of the same flag State</p> <p>(b) No CPC is allowed to register any new or additional supply vessel on the IOTC Record of Authorized Vessels.-</p> <p>It is also to be noted that the case of "Haizea Hiru" was already discussed with the IOTC and its registration and publication on e-RAV was approved based on the similar case of Tanzania in 2022 [(ref: IOTC-2022-CoC19-14 and IOTC-2022-CoC19-R [E]) ...]" <i>The CoC19 NOTED support for Tanzania's position, in view of its status as a developing coastal State and in the condition that the supply vessel was already in the RAV under a different flag.</i>"</p>

It is to be recalled that the SP Haizea Hiru was already on e-RAV under Spanish Flag and as such no NEW SP was added on e-RAV. It is of view that the P/C should be revised to Compliant.

3. Reporting on Vessels

4. Vessel Monitoring System

5. Mandatory statistical requirement – Flag State CPCs

5.1	Res 18/07 (4) (2023)	Nominal catches / Zero Catch Matrix – All Fisheries (Species presence in the catch)	30/6/2024	C	P/C	Received: 30.06.2024 & 18.02.2025 STD: NO - Data not provided by IOTC standards. Mauritius have a handline fisheries that catches IOTC species, 1DR/1RC missing information for the coastal fisheries.	No catch data was collected for the Coastal FAD Fishery due to staffing and budgetary constraints.	-
5.3	Res 15/02 (1, 2) (2023)	Nominal catches / Retained catches – All Fisheries	30/6/2024	C	P/C	Date received: 30.06.2024; STD: No. Not provided by IOTC standards. Missing data for the handline fishery	No catch data was collected for the Coastal FAD Fishery due to staffing and budgetary constraints.	-
5.4	Res 15/02 (1/2/3) 13/04 17/05 (6) 18/02 (3) 18/05 (8) 12/04 (1) 23/07 (1/2) 13/02 (7) (2023)	Catch discarded – IOTC species, sharks, turtles, seabirds, cetaceans, whale sharks, mollusks - All fisheries	30/6/2024	N/C2	P/C	Date received: 30.06.2024; STD: No. Not provided by IOTC standards. Missing data for coastal and longline fisheries.	LL: There were no discards as reported from the fishing logbooks of the longline fishery. Coastal: No catch data was collected for the Coastal FAD Fishery due to staffing and budgetary constraints. However, it is to be noted that no fish are discarded by the fishermen involved in the coastal FAD Fishery which is a subsistence fishery.	-
5.6	Res 15/02 (1, 5) (2023)	Size Frequencies Geo-referenced – Coastal/surface/longline fisheries	30/6/2024	-/-	N/C2	STD: NO - Data NOT provided by IOTC standards, in two or more consecutive years. Less than 1 fish per metric tonne for some species.	PS: Non-compliance repeated as the Purse seiners unload most of their catches in Seychelles. LL: This was due to the transshipment of part of the catch on high seas. It is to be noted that 1 fish per ton was reached for the main species caught as compared to the bycatch.	-

HL (Coastal FAD Fishery): Size frequencies data have been collected for the coastal fishery. However, since no catch data was available for the HL fishery, we cannot assess whether we have complied with the standard of 1 fish per ton.

6. Implementation of mitigation measures and bycatch of non-IOTC species

7. Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) Vessels

8. Transshipments

8.6	Res. 24/05 Annex 4 (13) (2024)	Payment ROP fee	5/4/2024	C	P/C	<p>Payment received 18.04.2024. (13) days after the deadline. Failed to meet reporting deadline by less than 15 days.</p> <p><u>LEG:</u> N/A <u>STD:</u> ROP contribution payment received 18.04.2024. <u>SP:</u> N/A <u>Obs:</u> IOTC Secretariat not in a position to approve Requests for Observer Deployment submitted by Mauritius, from 05.04 to 17.04.2024 under the established procedure for executing the IOTC ROP.</p>	The delay in effecting payment can be accounted for by administrative procedures, which took more time than expected. Corrective action has already been taken and timeline was respected for the last payment which was made within the deadline that is on 7 March 2025.	-
-----	--------------------------------	-----------------	----------	---	-----	---	--	---

9. Observers

9.2	Res. 22/04 (3) (2023)	5% Observer coverage mandatory at sea (all vessels)	17/11/2024	N/C1	N/C2	<p><u>LEG:</u> YES - Fisheries Act, 2023, Sections 146-151 <u>STD:</u> NO - Not implemented. <u>SP:</u> YES - Provided & described for i) ii) iii).</p>	<p>The Ministry had the intention of recruiting observers on contractual basis for the ROS coverage. Unfortunately, the idea could not be materialized. However, the office of Port State Control Unit(PSCU) is in process to re-start the National Observer Program (NOP).</p> <p>The PSCU is currently preparing a list of officers to act as observers on the Mauritian commercial fishing vessels. The observers will undergo specific training, offered by the experts of Cap Marine Ltd, to become a certified observer.</p>	-
9.3	Res. 22/04 (9) (2023)	5% landings coverage artisanal fishing vessels	17/11/2024	C	P/C	<p><u>LEG:</u> YES - Fisheries Act, 2023, Section 90. <u>STD:</u> NO - Not implemented. <u>SP:</u> NO - Provided & described for i) ii) iii).</p>	No data was collected for the artisanal fishery due to staffing and budgetary constraints.	-

9.4	Res. 22/04 (18) (2023)	At sea observer re- ports	17/11/2024	N/C1	N/C2	STD: NO - Mandatory observer reports NOT provided, in two or more consecutive years.	Since no observers were placed on flagged vessels, there are no reports.	-
-----	---------------------------------	------------------------------	------------	------	------	--	--	---

10. Statistical document programme

11. Port inspection

11.3	Res. 16/11 (13.1,15.1) (2024)	Inspection report & Report vessels en- gaged IUU fishing following port in- spection	23/1/2025 (Since 2010)	P/C	P/C	LEG: N/A. STD: NO - Has conducted 454 port inspec- tions. Number of PIRs submitted through e-PSM: 255. The majority of PIRs have not been provided within 3 working days. SP : N/A.	The non-submission of PIRs within the 3-day dead- line is due to the fact that the final landed weights are very often obtained after 3 working days.	-
------	--	--	------------------------------	-----	-----	--	---	---

Pursuant to Annex A of Appendix V, of the Rules of Procedure (2023), for all requirements assessed as N/C2, the concerned CPCs “*shall submit, within 3 months from the end of the Commission annual session, a detailed plan and timeline on how it intends to address the non-compliance of category 2 identified*”. The deadline for submission was the 17 August 2024.

The non-compliance of category 2 identified (N/C2) at the previous session of the Compliance Committee (CoC21), were for Mauritius :

2

The Compliance Action Plan was :

Received by the deadline

If the submission of the Compliance Action Plan was required/applicable, the date of reception was :

17-08-2024

Summary of Mauritius 2025 compliance assessment (CoC22)

Compliant (C)	Partially Compliant (PC)	Non-compliant category 1 (NC1)	Non-compliant category 2 (NC2)	Not Applicable (NA)	Capacity building in progress (CB)	Compliance Rate (%)
67	9	0	3	7	0	84.8

Current issues on the level of implementation by Mauritius of IOTC Conservation and Management Measures identified for discussion in the CoC22 in 2025

Having reviewed the 2025 Draft Compliance Report for Mauritius, the Chair of the Compliance Committee has identified the following significant repeated non-compliance issues for discussion.

Repeated non-compliance issues

Requirement	Information required	Observations	Previous status (2024)	Current status (2025)
5.4	Catch discarded – IOTC species, sharks, turtles, seabirds, cetaceans, whale sharks, mobulids - All fisheries	Date received: 30.06.2024; STD: No. Not provided by IOTC standards. Missing data for coastal and longline fisheries.	N/C2	P/C
9.2	5% Observer coverage mandatory at sea (all vessels)	LEG: YES - Fisheries Act, 2023, Sections 146-151 STD: NO - Not implemented. SP: YES - Provided & described for i) ii) iii).	N/C1	N/C2
9.4	At sea observer reports	STD: NO - Mandatory observer reports NOT provided, in two or more consecutive years.	N/C1	N/C2
11.3	Inspection report & Report vessels engaged IUU fishing following port inspection	LEG: N/A. STD: NO - Has conducted 454 port inspections. Number of PIRs submitted through e-PSM: 255. The majority of PIRs have not been provided within 3 working days. SP : N/A.	P/C	P/C

Note: if the table above is empty, it means there were no repeated compliance issues identified this year.

Reading instructions

(1) Information is to be provided in the Report of Implementation

(2) 24 meters overall length and over, and under 24 meters if they fished outside their EEZ

(Year) = year reporting on/year assessed.

LEG: Legislation - Transposition of Commission decisions, Legislation or administrative orders.

STD : Standard - Reporting format, IOTC standard

SP: System or procedures - Provision of information on system or procedures a) to monitor and ensure compliance of vessels and persons OR b) to prepare and submit information, data, report to the Commission.

i) ii) iii) = a) b) c)

Assessment

Compliance

- **C:** Compliant
- **C/B:** Capacity building
- **N/A:** Not applicable
- **N/C1:** Not compliant 1
- **N/C2:** Not compliant 2
- **P/C:** Partially compliant

CoC/Commission recommendations

- **Additional info or address the issue:** Provide additional information or address the compliance issue within a given period of time, and at the latest before the following annual meeting.
- **Actions proposed by the CPC:** Actions proposed by the CPC and endorsed by the Commission.
- **Investigation by the CPC:** Completion of an investigation by the CPC regarding a compliance issue and reporting back to the Compliance Committee, where required.
- **Enhanced monitoring of fleet:** Enhanced monitoring of the fleet.
- **Amendments to national rules:** Amendments to domestic procedures, legislation or policy including penalties, where required.
- **Detailed plan and timeline:** Submit, within 3 months from the end of the Commission annual session, a detailed plan and timeline on how it intends to address the non-compliance of category 2 identified.
- **Capacity building or assistance:** Provision of capacity building or technical assistance for a specified amount of time.
- **Other remedies:** Other remedies.

“Missing” values:

- “-/-”: no possible value (e.g.: no previous assessment possible because this is the first campaign in which the requirement is assessed);
- “None”: no value provided by the Secretariat (e.g. requirement assessed as Compliant, no text provided in “Observations”);
- “Not assessed”: for timeliness and compliance when no assessment has been made yet or the requirement is not assessable;
- “-”: no information provided by the CPC for this question (e.g. nothing entered in a text entry field, no boxes checked...);
- “Not Submitted”: the value will only be available after submission of the report (e.g. the “Report date”).