



## The compliance process and the e-MARIS application The e-MARIS campaign CoC24 - New requirements and new functionalities

PREPARED BY: IOTC SECRETARIAT<sup>1</sup> 21 JANUARY 2026

### PURPOSE

To provide participants at the Working Party on the Implementation of Conservation and Management Measures (WPICMM) with the opportunity to review, provide comments and endorse:

- the e-MARIS campaign “CoC24 – 2027 assessment campaign”,
- the new requirements, if any, of the CoC24 – 2027 assessment campaign, and
- the new functionalities, if any, available in the CoC24 – 2027 assessment campaign.

### BACKGROUND

In 2013 the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) Performance Review made two recommendations related to compliance with data collection and reporting and following up on infringements. These recommendations prompted the e-MARIS application concept, which was endorsed by the Commission (S22) in 2018.

The e-MARIS has been in production since three campaigns/compliance assessment cycles of the Compliance Committee (CoC), with the majority of CPCs having used e-MARIS to report on the various IOTC obligations, including the submission of the mandatory Implementation Report (IR) and the Compliance Questionnaire (CQ) and facilitating the Compliance Report processes in e-MARIS to support the work of the Compliance Committee.

At its [21<sup>st</sup> meeting](#), the Compliance Committee noted and recommended the following:

[IOTC-2024-CoC21-R](#) - Paragraph 170. The CoC21 **NOTED**, in accordance with the recommendations of CoC15, the progress made by the IOTC Secretariat towards the work related to the development and improvement of the e-MARIS application to support the IOTC Compliance process, and **FURTHER NOTED** the improvements implemented to facilitate reporting in e-MARIS.

[IOTC-2024-CoC21-R](#) - Paragraph 84. The CoC21 **RECOMMENDED** that the Commission (S28) consider making the use of e-MARIS mandatory, while recognising the need to streamline procedures for reporting.

At its [28<sup>th</sup> Session](#), Indian Ocean Tuna Commission endorsed the following:

[IOTC-2024-S28-R](#) - Paragraph 94. ....the Commission **ENDORSED** the remaining recommendations arising from the Report of the 21<sup>st</sup> Session of the Compliance Committee as its own.

The mandatory use of the e-MARIS application was decided by the Commission (S28 - 2024) from the campaign “CoC22 - 2025 assessment”.

<sup>1</sup> IOTC-Secretariat@fao.org

DISCUSSION

A) THE E-MARIS CAMPAIGN COC24 - 2027 ASSESSMENT

In the e-MARIS application, the campaign “CoC24 - 2027 assessment”, is available from the compliance dashboard under the campaign menu, as presented below:



Figure 1: The e-MARIS campaign menu.

The compliance reports for the CoC24 will be populated with information reported in the e-MARIS campaign “CoC24 - 2027 assessment”: Compliance assessment cycle will be concluded with the CoC24 in 2027 and the Commission (S31) meetings. The compliance assessment is conducted during the year 2027 for the data/information/report submitted to the Secretariat for the years reporting on, i.e. 2025 and 2026.

The description of the campaign is presented in the below table:

Name of the e-MARIS campaign	CoC24 - 2027 assessment		
Start:	January 2026		
End:	Commission meeting 2027		
Reporting year/Assessment year:	2025/2026		
Total number of requirements:	105		
Number of assessed requirements:	92		
Number of not-assessed requirements:	13		
Number of requirements with reporting on System/procedures (SP):	42		
Number of requirements where SP is not applicable:	51		
Reporting effort by deadline type: (All 89 assessed requirements)	IR	CQ	Fixed date
	33	56	27

Table 1: The campaign description “CoC24 - 2027 assessment”.

CPCs Excluded/Included are listed by their ISO three letter country code (Standard ISO 3166) in the meeting document [IOTC-2026-WPICMM09-12\\_Add1](#).

The CPCs exclusion and inclusion are also available at the beginning of each requirement, as shown (example) for the requirement 8.1 2505-TRXseaCPCreport :

Exclusion / Inclusion	
Reason for inclusion: CPC/Fleet participating in the IOTC transshipment programme	
CPCs Included (7):	CHN JPN KOR MYS OMN SYC TWNCHN
CPCs excluded (25):	AUS BDG COM EUR FRAOT IND IDN IRN KEN LBR MDG MDV MUS MOZ PAK PAN PHL SOM ZAF LKA SDN TZA THA UK YEM
Exclude means: Not applicable for all listed CPCs - Reporting in e-MARIS not possible -> Auto-assessment N/A. CPC excluded will see the requirement (REQ) in the compliance dashboard BUT it will be marked in GREY.	
Include means: Applicable for all listed CPCs - Reporting in e-MARIS mandatory. CPC included will see the requirement (REQ) in the compliance dashboard BUT it will be marked in BLACK.	

Figure 2: CPCs inclusion and inclusion.

The e-MARIS campaign “CoC24 - 2027 assessment” is structured in 12 categories. They are represented in the Compliance Report by sections:

Category	Number of requirements	
	Assessed	Not Assessed
1 - Implementation obligations	4*	None
2 - Management standards	27	1
3 - Reporting on vessels	11	None
4 - Vessel monitoring system	1	None
5 - Mandatory statistical requirement – flag State CPCs	11	2
6 - Implementation of mitigation measure and bycatch of non-IOTC species	16	None
7 - Illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) vessels	2	7
8 – Transshipments	6	1
9 – Observers	4	1
10 - Statistical document programme	4	None
11 - Port inspection	6	None
12 – Market measures	None	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>13</b>

\*: Including IR and CQ under TAB Reports

Table 2: The campaign/compliance report categories/sections.

## B) THE NEW REQUIREMENTS AND THE NEW FUNCTIONALITIES

Several improvements and changes might be required in the e-MARIS requirements setting and design every year. The main objective is to streamline and to facilitate the reporting in the e-MARIS campaign “CoC24 - 2027 assessment” and “CoC24 - 2027 assessment”.

### NEW FUNCTIONALITIES

No new functionality has been introduced in the e-MARIS campaign “CoC24 - 2027 assessment”.

Note that the e-MARIS campaign “CoC24 - 2027 assessment” benefits from functionalities introduced in the previous campaigns. They are a) the Re-call functionality, b) the Integration functionality, c) e-RAV functionality, d) the e-PSM functionality, and e) the Dynamic table functionality.

### NEW REQUIREMENTS

Three new reporting requirements have been introduced in the e-MARIS campaign CoC24 – 2027 assessment. They are from Resolutions 25/03, 25/05 and 25/08, adopted in 2025.

- **Requirement number 2.27:** Resolution 25/03 On establishing catch limits for skipjack tuna in the IOTC area of competence (Paragraph 4) - Catch Limits of Skipjack tuna in the IOTC Area of Competence.

#### Descriptions:

<b>Deadline</b>	Identical to the CQ. Date TBC when date of S31 meeting is set.
<b>Requirement name</b>	2.27 2503-SKJcatchLimit SP
<b>Source</b>	Res. 25/03 (2, 4, 5)
<b>Information required</b>	Skipjack tuna annual catch limit 2025

<b>Reporting on System/procedure</b>	YES
<b>Reporting data/information/report</b>	YES
<b>Reporting on legislation</b>	YES
<b>Audience</b>	All CPCs
<b>Application</b>	CPCs specifically referred to in Category A CPCs shall apply the following catch limits, paragraph 4/5
<b>Not applicable</b>	CPCs not referred to in the paragraph 4/5. Category B includes those CPCs not listed in the table. Paragraph 2 is not assessable and may just be referred as a note/observation in the compliance assessment if CPC are either below or above their catch limit.
<b>Reminder before deadline</b>	7 days; 1 day
<b>Reminder after deadline</b>	5 days
<b>Recall functionality</b>	NO
<b>RAV integration functionality</b>	NO
<b>E-PSM integration functionality</b>	NO
<b>SWS integration functionality</b>	YES

Table 3: Setting description of Requirement number 2.27.

Part B on Data and information reporting:

The reporting under Part B of this requirement is presented below.

**3. Australia is reporting for the year 2025 (CQ):**

Check one of the options

Complete the table

☐ YES - Reporting in the below table. ☐ NO

Figure 3: Requirement 2.27 – Reporting on skipjack tuna quota (Question 3).

If YES, the table is required to be completed:

Catch Limit 2025 Select	Current catch 2025 (Quantity in Ton)	Balance 2025 (Overage or underage)	Balance value 2025 (+ or - Quantity in Ton)
<div>Restricted to Secretariat</div> <div>Restricted to Secretariat (Ton)</div>	<div>Integration with SWS (Declaration from Australia R15/02 at 30/06/2026 31/12/2026)</div> <div>Confirmation from Australia</div>		

Figure 4: Requirement 2.27 – Completing the skipjack tuna table (Question 3).

Note that the first value of the 2<sup>nd</sup> column- Current catch 2025, will be completed by Secretariat with the information of CPC 2025 SKJ catch data reported in 2026. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> value of the 2<sup>nd</sup> column the CPC must confirm the quantity declared in 2026.

CPCs can provide additional information (If none, by default the value is NONE):

Describe and provide additional information on the above table and on how your are implementing the obligation: Skipjack tuna annual catch limit.  
(If none, by default NONE is written)

en	Normal	•	B	I	U	≡	☞	℥	≡
	NONE								
fr	Normal	•	B	I	U	≡	☞	℥	≡
	AUCUNE								

Figure 5: Requirement 2.27 – Additional information from CPCs.

**Optional** - Information on transfer:

OPTIONAL

4. Australia is reporting transfers of SKJ quota for the year 2025(CQ) :

If quota transferred complete the below table :  
If more than one CPC is involved ADD ROW as necessary

☐ YES - Quota was transfered in 2025    ☐ NO - Quota was NOT transfered in 2025

Figure 6: Requirement 2.27 – Reporting on transfer.


Rows can be added to the table, click on the button Add Transfer Number (Max 10) +. The number of rows should be identical to the number of CPC you have received/given.

Transfer No (e.g. 1, 2, 3...)	Quantity (Tons)	Received/Given Select option	CPC name Select CPC	Year Select
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 2025 <input type="checkbox"/> 2026

Add Transfer Number (Max 10) +

Figure 7: Requirement 2.27 – Completing the transfer table (Question 4).

If any, you can upload documents related to the skipjack tuna catch limit:

 **Any documents to upload related to the skipjack tuna catch limit?**

Drag/Upload Documents

Max file size 50Mb

Figure 8: Requirement 2.27 – Uploading documents on the catch limit.

Requirement 2.27 is provided in PDF format in the meeting document [IOTC-2026-WPICMM09-12\\_Add2](#) and is available for consultation in the e-MARIS application - *CoC24 – 2027 assessment campaign*.

- **Requirement number 5.13:** Resolution 25/08 On the conservation of sharks caught in association with fisheries managed by IOTC (Paragraph 36/37) - National Plan of Action under the IPOA Sharks.

Descriptions:

<b>Deadline</b>	Identical to the IR. Date TBC when date of S31 meeting set.
<b>Requirement name</b>	5.13 2508-NPOA Sharks
<b>Source</b>	Res. 25/08 (36/37)
<b>Information required</b>	National Plan of Action under the IPOA Sharks
<b>Reporting on System/procedure</b>	NO
<b>Reporting data/information/report</b>	YES
<b>Reporting on legislation</b>	NO
<b>Audience</b>	AUS BDG CHN COM EUR FRAOT IND IDN IRN JPN KEN KOR MDG MYS MDV MUS MOZ OMN PAK PHL SYC SOM ZAF LKA SDN TWNCHN TZA THA UK YEM
<b>Exclusion</b>	LBR PAN
<b>Application</b>	CPCs specifically referred to above which have vessels on the RAV and/or coastal fisheries,
<b>Not applicable</b>	No fishing vessel operating in the IOTC Area of Competence; Only engaged in transshipment activities involving carrier vessels registered on the IOTC Record of Authorised Vessels.
<b>Reminder before deadline</b>	7 days; 1 day
<b>Reminder after deadline</b>	5 days
<b>Recall functionality</b>	NO
<b>RAV integration functionality</b>	NO
<b>E-PSM integration functionality</b>	NO
<b>SWS integration functionality</b>	NO

Table 5: Setting description of Requirement number 5.13.

Part B on Data and information reporting:

The reporting under Part B of this requirement is presented below.

## 2. Reporting the National Plans of Action under the FAO IPOA Sharks (IR) :

Check at least one of the options

- ☐ YES - CPC implement the FAO International Plan of Action for the Conservation and Management of Sharks (IPOA Sharks) and I submit National Plans of Action under the IPOA Sharks
- ☐ NO - CPC is not implementing the FAO International Plan of Action for the Conservation and Management of Sharks (IPOA Sharks)

Year of development Format YYYY	Implementation period FROM Select a date	Implementation period TO Select a date
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

-----

[^ Back to Top](#) **UPLOAD the NPOA Sharks**  Max file size 50Mb

Figure 9: Requirement 5.13 – Reporting on NPOA sharks and upload (Question 2).

Report on the progress of implementation:

Report on the progress of implementation:

en	Normal <b>B</b> <b>I</b> <b>U</b> <b>≡</b> <b>≡</b> <b>≡</b> <b>≡</b> <b>≡</b>
	Progress of implementation
fr	Normal <b>B</b> <b>I</b> <b>U</b> <b>≡</b> <b>≡</b> <b>≡</b> <b>≡</b> <b>≡</b>
	Progrès de la mise en œuvre

Figure 10: Requirement 5.13 – Reporting on implementation progress.

Requirement 5.13 is provided in PDF format in the meeting document [IOTC-2026-WPICMM09-12\\_Add3](#) and is available for consultation in the e-MARIS application - *CoC24 – 2027 assessment campaign*.

- **Requirement number 8.7:** Resolution 25/05 On establishing a programme for transshipment by large-scale fishing vessels – (Paragraph 17) - At sea transshipments – Prior Flag State Authorization to tranship of large-scale tuna longline fishing vessels (LSTLVs).

Descriptions:

<b>Deadline</b>	Identical to the CQ. Date TBC when date of S31 meeting set.
<b>Requirement name</b>	8.7 2505-FlagAuthoTRXsea
<b>Source</b>	Res. 25/05 (17)
<b>Information required</b>	At sea transshipments – Prior Flag State Authorization to tranship of large-scale tuna longline fishing vessels (LSTLVs)
<b>Reporting on System/procedure</b>	NO
<b>Reporting data/information/report</b>	YES
<b>Reporting on legislation</b>	NO
<b>Audience</b>	CHN JPN KOR MYS OMN SYC TWNCHN + IDN
<b>Exclusion</b>	AUS BDG COM EUR FRAOT IND IRN KEN LBR MDG MDV MUS MOZ PAK PAN PHL SOM ZAF LKA SDN TZA THA UK YEM
<b>Application</b>	CPC/Fleet participating in the IOTC transshipment programme,
<b>Not applicable</b>	CPC/Fleet not participating in the IOTC transshipment programme.
<b>Reminder before deadline</b>	7 days; 1 day
<b>Reminder after deadline</b>	5 days
<b>Recall functionality</b>	NO
<b>RAV integration functionality</b>	YES
<b>E-PSM integration functionality</b>	NO
<b>SWS integration functionality</b>	NO

Table 6: Setting description of Requirement number 8.7.

Part B on Data and information reporting:

The reporting under Part B of this requirement is presented below.

**3. The Prior Flag State Authorization to tranship of large-scale tuna longline fishing vessels (LSTLVs) in 2026, reported to the IOTC Secretariat (CQ) :**

**3a. Reporting for the month(s) in 2026:**

Thick the month boxes and upload the autorisations

☐ January ☐ February ☐ March ☐ April ☐ May ☐ June ☐ July ☐ August ☐ September ☐ October ☐ November ☐ December

If your LSTLVs fleet has participated in the IOTC regional observer programme (ROP) to monitor transshipment at sea in 2026 (IR) :

INTEGRATION E-MARIS - e-RAV

The below figures are automatically sourced from *China* reporting in the e-RAV.

Number of LSTLVs registered on the RAV in 2026:

Number

Number of prior-authorisation to tranship at sea that have been issued to LSTLVs in 2026:

Number

Figure 11: Requirement 8.7 – Reporting on Prior Flag State Authorization to tranship of large-scale tuna longline fishing vessels (LSTLVs) (Question 3).

Optional – Upload Prior Flag State Authorization to tranship of large-scale tuna longline fishing vessels (LSTLVs):



Optional - Upload the Prior Flag State Authorization to tranship of large-scale tuna longline fishing vessels (LSTLVs) of 2026 :

Drag/Upload the authorisations Max file size 50Mb

Figure 12: Requirement 8.7 – Upload.

Describe and provide additional information on how you are implementing the obligation:

Describe and provide additional information on how your are implementing the obligation.  
(If none, by default NONE is written)

en	Normal : B I U ☰ ☷ ☹ ☹ ☹ ☹ ☹
	NONE
fr	Normal : B I U ☰ ☷ ☹ ☹ ☹ ☹ ☹
	AUCUN

Figure 13: Requirement 8.7 – Describe and provide additional information.  
Integration e-MARIS/e-RAV: Submission of Prior Flag State Authorization to tranship of large-scale tuna longline fishing vessels (LSTLVs) must be submitted in the e-Rav application.



**NO SUBMISSION OF LSTLVs PRIOR AUTHORISATION TO TRANSHIP IN e-MARIS**

**LSTLVs PRIOR AUTHORISATION TO TRANSHIP**

**MUST BE SUBMITTED BY FLAG STATE/FLEET IN e-RAV APPLICATION**

<https://rav.iotc.org/>

Reporting template to be used to report prior authorizations to tranship for Large Scale Tuna Longline vessels under the ROP - [Download](#)

User manual - [Download](#)

Figure 14: Requirement 8.7 – Integration e-MARIS/e-RAV.  
Requirement 8.7 is provided in PDF format in the meeting document [IOTC-2026-WPICMM09-12\\_Add4](#) and is available for consultation in the e-MARIS application - *CoC24 – 2027 assessment campaign*.



**RECOMMENDATIONS**

That the WPICMM09:

- 1) **NOTE** meeting documents IOTC-2026-WPICMM09-12, IOTC-2026-WPICMM09-12\_Add1, IOTC-2026-WPICMM09-12\_Add2, IOTC-2026-WPICMM09-12\_Add3, IOTC-2026-WPICMM09-12\_Add4 which describe 1) The e-MARIS campaign named *CoC24 – 2027 assessment campaign*; 2) The new requirements of the *CoC24 – 2027 assessment campaign*,
- 2) **REVIEW and ENDORSE** the CoC24 campaign and its description,
- 3) **REVIEW and ENDORSE** the three new requirements: 2.27, 5.13 and 8.7 of the *CoC24 – 2027 assessment campaign*,
- 4) **NOTE** a new requirement related to the d-FAD application might be added and integrated with the dFAD application once operational and online.