



**Report of the Second Session of the IOTC Working Party  
on  
Tagging**

**Victoria, Seychelles September 29-October 1st, 2000**

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The meeting was opened by the Chairman, Dr. Alain Fonteneau, IRD France. Dr. John Gunn, from CSIRO Australia, was nominated rapporteur. The list of participants to the meeting is given in Appendix I and the list of documents in Appendix II.


The second meeting of the Working Party on Tuna Tagging was attended by 20 scientists and invited experts.

The meeting had three principle objectives:

1. To develop a prospectus for a large-scale tagging programme in the Indian Ocean addressed at potential donors;
2. To discuss details of the logistical and implementation plans for the programme, and
3. To develop an indicative budget based on the discussions around Objective 2.

The WPT noted that, on several occasions over the last 15 years, tagging programmes had been proposed by Expert Consultations for Indian Ocean tropical tunas. However, these plans had not been implemented as funding was not secured.

The rapid increase in catches of tropical tunas (yellowfin, skipjack and bigeye) in the Indian Ocean over the last decade (Figure 1) has highlighted the need for effective management strategies designed to ensure sustainable exploitation of these resources. However, the stock assessment essential for management for all the key species remain inadequate and unreliable. This is largely because the key biological and population dynamics parameters essential for building stock assessment models remain unknown, or at best poorly understood.



*Figure 1: Catches (in t) of tropical tunas in the Indian Ocean for the period 1952-1997.*

In 1999, the IOTC Scientific Committee agreed that a well-designed large-scale tagging experiment was the best and most cost effective means of collecting much of the data required to improve the stock assessment of the major tropical tuna species. It also emphasized that the need for the tagging programme and improved assessments was urgent.

In the Pacific Ocean in the 1990s, a EU funded, large scale tagging programme run by the Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC) was very successful. The results from that programme have formed the basis of assessments of tropical tuna stocks in the Western and Central Pacific for the last decade.

Thus, the WPT meeting developed a proposal and logistical plan following many of the approaches taken by the SPC in the Pacific Ocean. In adapting the SPC model to the Indian Ocean, the WPT discussed at length the various added complexities likely to confront a tagging programme in the Indian Ocean – e.g. availability of tagging vessels, bait resources, methods for tagging large tunas, promoting the tagging programme among artisanal fishermen and training for coastal state fisheries scientists involved in the programme.

The results of the 3<sup>rd</sup> WPT meeting are provided in the form of two appendices:

1. Appendix III. "A proposal for an IOTC Tropical Tunas Tagging Programme"
2. Appendix IV. "Logistical and implementation considerations for a large scale tagging programme in the Indian Ocean". This annex was written by Alain Fonteneau, David Itano and Renaud Pianet. It is available at the secretariat upon request.

The meeting agreed that these two documents should be used to promote the tagging programme among potential donors (primarily but not only the industrial nations active in the Indian Ocean fisheries such as Japan and EU), following discussion and approval by the IOTC Scientific Committee.

The meeting closed with support by all participants for the documents produced and for the concept of a tagging programme. A date for the next meeting was not set, but a meeting of the WPT will probably be held early in 2001 if the programme is approved by the Commission and if funds are made available to initiate the pilot study of experimental tagging which is recommended by the WPT.

## Appendix I: List of Participants

### **Alejandro Anganuzzi**

Deputy Secretary  
Indian Ocean Tuna Commission  
P.O.Box 1011  
Fishing Port  
Victoria  
SEYCHELLES

### **John David Ardill**

Secretary  
Indian Ocean Tuna Commission  
P.O.Box 1011  
Fishing Port  
Victoria  
SEYCHELLES

### **Alain Fonteneau**

Senior Scientist  
Institut de Recherches pour le  
Développement  
B.P. 5045  
911 Avenue Agropolis  
Montpellier CEDEX 1 34 032  
FRANCE

### **Blanca Garcia-Cortés**

Instituto Español de Oceanografía  
P.O. 130  
Corazón De María 8  
Madrid 28002  
SPAIN

### **John Gunn**

Senior Research Scientist  
Division of Marine Research  
Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial  
Research Organisation  
P.O. Box 1538  
Castray Esplanade  
Hobart 7001  
AUSTRALIA

### **David Itano**

Researcher, Pelagic Fisheries Research  
Programme  
Joint Institute of Marine and Atmospheric  
Research, University of Hawaii Manoa  
1000 Pope Road, MSB 312  
Honolulu 96822  
HAWAII

### **John Kalish**

Senior Research Scientist, Fisheries and  
Forestry Sciences Division  
Fisheries & Forestry Sciences Division  
Bureau of Rural Sciences  
P.O. Box E11  
Kingston ACT 2604  
AUSTRALIA

### **Farhad Kaymaram**

Head-Stock Assessment Department  
Manager of Large pelagic working groups  
Iranian Fisheries Research and Training  
Organization  
P.O.Box 14155-6116  
No.24, 11th Alley, Mir-Emad St.,  
Mothahar  
Teheran 15877  
IRAN

### **Geoffrey Kirkwood**

Director, Renewable Resources  
Assessment Group  
Imperial College of Science, Technology  
and Medicine  
8 Prince's Gardens  
London SW7 1 NA  
UNITED KINGDOM

### **Vincent Lucas**

Biologist - tuna section  
Seychelles Fishing Authority  
P.O. Box 449  
Fishing Port  
Victoria  
SEYCHELLES

### **Francis Marsac**

Fisheries Biologist - Oceanographer  
Institut de Recherches pour le  
Développement  
B.P. 5045  
911 Avenue Agropolis  
Montpellier CEDEX 1 34 032  
FRANCE

### **Takayuki Matsumoto**

Researcher, Tropical Tuna Section  
JAPAN

**Olivier Maury**  
Fishery Biologist  
Institut de Recherches pour le  
Développement  
B.P. 5045  
911 Avenue Agropolis  
Montpellier CEDEX 1 34 032  
FRANCE

**Mohammad Mazloomi Arjagh**  
Head of Stock Enhancement Group  
Iranian Fisheries Research and Training  
Organization  
P.O.Box 14155-6116  
No.24, 11th Alley, Mir-Emad St.,  
Mothahar  
Teheran 15877  
IRAN

**Tsutomu Nishida**  
Research Co-ordinator of International  
Resources Management  
National Research Institute of Far Seas  
Fisheries  
5-7-1, Orido  
Shimizu-shi 424-8633  
JAPAN

**Julian Pepperell**  
Pepperell Research P/L  
818  
Caringbah 2229  
AUSTRALIA

**Renaud Pianet**  
Chercheur Oceanographe  
Chairman, IOTC Scientific Committee  
Institut de Recherches pour le  
Développement  
B.P. 5045  
911 Avenue Agropolis  
Montpellier CEDEX 1 34 032  
FRANCE

**François Poisson**  
Biologiste  
IFREMER, Délégation de la Réunion  
B.P. 60  
Rue Jean Bertho  
97822 Le Port Cedex  
LA REUNION

**Marc Taquet**  
Chef du laboratoire Ressources  
Halieutiques  
IFREMER, Délégation de la Réunion  
B.P. 60  
Rue Jean Bertho  
97822 Le Port Cedex  
FRANC

## Appendix II: List of Documents

- WPT-00-01 The IOTC Tagging program / Programme marquages IOTC. *IOTC & Fonteneau, A.*
- WPT-00-02 A simulation study to design tag recapture experiment of skipjack tuna in the Indian Ocean.. *Maury Olivier*
- WPT-00-03 Draft - Preliminary Scheme of Large Scale Swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) in the Indian Ocean. *Poisson, F. and M.Taquet*
- WPT-00-04 Large scale sportfish tagging programs: Pros and Cons. *Pepperell, J.*
- WPT-00-Inf1 The size of the Maldivian Tuna Livebait fishery. *Anderson, R.C.*
- WPT-00-Inf2 Seasonal and Regional variation in livebait utilization in the Maldives. *Anderson, R.C. and Saleem, M.R.*
- WPT-00-Inf3 The Maldivian Tuna Livebait Fishery - Status and Trends.. *Anderson, R.C.*

# Appendix III: A Proposal for an Indian Ocean Tropical Tuna Tagging Programme (IOTTP)

(Developed by the Working Party of Tagging, Seychelles September 2000)

## Introduction

The rapid increase in catches of tropical tunas (yellowfin, skipjack and bigeye) in the Indian Ocean over the last decade (Figure 1) has highlighted the need for effective management strategies designed to ensure sustainable exploitation of these resources.




Figure 1: Yearly catches of yellowfin, skipjack, bigeye and of other tuna species in the Indian Ocean

With a total catch in 1999 of over 700,000 tonnes and an estimated value of over US\$2 billion (value at landing), the Indian Ocean tuna fishery is one of the world's biggest. Although European Union, Japanese and Taiwanese fleets take the bulk of the catch, the tuna resources are also of enormous importance to various Indian Ocean coastal and island states (such as Maldives, Seychelles, Madagascar, India, Sri Lanka, Oman, etc.). In these countries revenue earned from artisanal catches and/or licenses is often vital to both the national economies and to food production.

Despite the value and importance of the resources, the stock assessments essential for management for all of the key species remain inadequate and unreliable. This is largely because the key biological and population dynamics parameters essential for building stock assessment models remain unknown, or at best poorly understood. In the absence of reasonable stock assessments, the precautionary approach dictates that a more cautious attitude to management is required (FAO Expert Consultation 2000). Thus, even though stock assessments of bigeye are inconclusive, concerns have arisen over the status of bigeye stocks following a huge increase in the catch of juvenile bigeye associated to FADs in the Western Indian Ocean as well as a reduction in average weight and long term declines in longline catch rates (IOTC Scientific Committee Report 1999). This has led the IOTC to introduce a project for a time and area moratorium limiting purse seine fishing on floating objects in order to decrease catches of juvenile bigeye.

In 1999, the IOTC Scientific Committee agreed that a well-designed, large scale tagging experiment was the best and most cost effective means of collecting much of the data required to improve the stock assessments of the major tropical tuna species. Such large scale tagging programmes have been very successful in the western Pacific Ocean and data

from tagging programmes are critical elements of stock assessments in the SPC Standing Committee on Tunas and Billfish.

The IOTC Working Party on Tagging (WPT) met in September 2000 to discuss and document the objectives and implementation of an Indian Ocean tagging programme.

Our purpose in developing this summary document is to present a coherent argument to potential donors for a large-scale tagging programme on tropical tunas in the Indian Ocean. Thus, the objectives of this document are to:

1. demonstrate the links between key management issues and the proposed tagging programme;
2. summarize the expected key outputs from a basin-scale tagging programme throughout the Indian Ocean;
3. provide an overview of the organizational and logistical requirements for a successful programme, and
4. provide an indicative budget.

A detailed discussion of each element of the prospectus is provided in Annex II.

### ***Key management issues and the need for a tagging programme***

Table 1 provides an overview of important management issues for the IOTC that would be addressed by the proposed tagging programme. Although the list is by no means exhaustive, it is clear that the results of the tagging programme will have far-reaching implications on the ability of the Scientific Committee to respond to key questions from the IOTC.

The lack of reliable stock assessments for the tropical tunas, as well as swordfish, in the Indian Ocean creates a major problem for the IOTC. Without an adequate understanding of the status of stocks, the Commission is left to decide whether the rapid increases in effort and catch over the last decade should be allowed to continue, and whether even the current levels of catch are sustainable.

There is unanimous agreement within the IOTC Scientific Committee that the need for improved stock assessments and scientific advice to the Commission is urgent. This is to avoid the overexploitation of stocks of tropical tuna species that has often been seen in other oceans. For this reason, it is critical that a tagging programme should begin as soon as possible.

Further complicating matters for the IOTC and the Scientific Committee is the proliferation of drifting fish aggregating devices (FADs) in both the eastern and western Indian Ocean. Since 1992, FADs have increased the catch rates of purse seine fleets very significantly. The high catches of small yellowfin and bigeye around FADs in the western Indian Ocean have raised the question of whether FAD fishing is more likely to lead to unsustainable catches than purse seine operations based on unassociated schools. A related concern is the effect high purse seine catches of small fish on FADs is having on longline, purse seine and artisanal fisheries for yellowfin and bigeye which target larger and mature size classes. There is no evidence from other parts of the world that FADs increase the biological productivity of tuna stocks in an area. Thus, it seems a distinct possibility that increasing the vulnerability of fish to purse seine fleets may have a very significant effect on tuna stocks. To assess this objectively however, we urgently need information on the dynamics of tunas around FADs – e.g. how fast they aggregate, how long they remain in residence, their movement patterns between FADs and the factors that affect the attraction of FADs. All of these questions would be addressed by a component of the proposed tagging programme.

### ***What will a tagging programme deliver?***

In the 1990s, a very well-planned and implemented Regional Tuna Tagging Project in the Central and Western Pacific, funded by the EU and run by the Secretariat for the Pacific Community in the Western Pacific, provided a wide range of valuable data that have been fed directly into stock assessment models integrating spatial and temporal parameters and provide more realistic estimates of population dynamics. The data also permitted the study of interactions between fisheries and areas. It is anticipated that this broad scale and comprehensive programme will provide for the main Indian Ocean tuna stocks data to address the following issues:

- Crucial model parameters necessary for stock assessment, i.e. age specific estimates of natural and fishing mortality;
- Structure of stocks and movement of Indian Ocean tropical tunas;



- Exploitation rates and differential vulnerability by area and gear;
- Influence of FADs, seamounts and areas of elevated vulnerability on the movement and exploitation of Indian Ocean tunas, particularly of juvenile yellowfin and bigeye aggregated to drifting FADs;
- Data useful for estimating rates of fishery interaction.
- Life history parameters, i.e. growth rates, and validated age estimates.
- Role of the oceanographic and bathymetric environment on movement and exploitation of Indian Ocean tunas.

### ***Where and when will the programme take place?***

The complexity and scale of questions to be addressed by the tagging programme dictate that it should be conducted over the entire Indian Ocean basin, involving at least three years of field tagging. The programme is expected to last five years, including initial phases and tag recuperation.

The broad geographical basis is required to determine:

- the nature and extent of movement of all the key species throughout the Indian Ocean;
- interactions between surface and longline fisheries throughout the Indian Ocean;
- levels of exploitation across the entire range of stocks; and
- age- and area-specific population parameters.

Tagging over a three-year period is required to:

- tag individual cohorts over sequential years, an important element in estimating age specific fishing and natural mortality; and
- ensure that regardless of major climatic variability (El Niño/La Niña), tags can be released throughout the geographic range of the fisheries and across the size range of each species.

Tuna tagging programmes throughout the world have historically tagged fish less than three years old, principally because these age classes occur in large schools on the surface, where they are available to pole and line catching boats. However, only small numbers of fish are recaptured after more than 2-3 years at liberty when only young fish are tagged because of natural and fishing mortality and tag shedding. This makes the estimation of age specific population parameters problematic. As one of the core objectives of the proposed programme is to provide estimates of these parameters over a broad range of sizes/ages, the programme will tag a wide a range of sizes/ages in each species, using a variety of tagging platforms.

### ***Time lines and organizational requirements***

Table 2 provides an overview of the time lines for the planned tagging programme.

Given the urgent requirement for stock assessments, we propose to begin tagging in 2002. A number of small training, exploratory tagging and promotional pilot projects would begin as soon as possible as precursors to the main programme (c.f. Table 3). These would be funded outside the programme budget. The total cost of this planned pilot tagging that should be done as soon as possible is US \$434,000.

Given the ocean-basin scale of the field work, the tagging programme will need to be a multi-national effort. All IOTC members and co-operating non-contracting parties would be approached to assist with the programme. However, we propose that IOTC would take the lead role of programme co-ordination through the formation of a special Tagging Programme staff unit. The unit would comprise the following staff:

1. Chief Scientist - Co-ordinate the programme, senior staff supervision, data analyses, reporting.
2. Field Co-ordinator, - Responsible for field logistics, tagging protocols, supervision of tagging staff.
3. 4 Senior Tagging technicians.
4. Promotions/Liaison/support officer.

As in-country support for the tagging programme (e.g. Oman and Iranian support in the Oman Sea, Indonesian and Australian support in the eastern Indian Ocean etc) would be essential for its success, an important role for the IOTC Secretariat and the Chief Scientist would be to garner broad support.

Similarly, support by in-country scientists would be very useful through the data analysis phase of the programme. To promote contributions/support, we recommend that the IOTC request support from members and co-operating non-contracting parties through the Scientific Committee for co-ordination of local tagging and scientific support of the tagging programme.

## ***Logistical requirements***

The proposed tagging programme is both complex and highly ambitious in terms of its logistical planning and implementation. Employing the experience of the highly successful, EU funded, Regional Tuna Tagging Project run by the Secretariat for the Pacific Community in the Central and Western Pacific, the WPT has developed a provisional work plan and logistical framework on which to base estimates of budget and manpower requirements. The key elements of the work plan include:

- use of two major pole and line tagging platforms, based in the east and west of the Indian Ocean supported by a range of smaller vessels to be used for specialist tagging activities (e.g. longline/handline releases, Maldives in-country tagging etc );
- application of conventional, archival and satellite tag technology;
- a commitment to standardized methodology to produce a high standard of tag releases;
- a thorough and ongoing publicity and liaison effort to maximize reporting of tag recaptures and provision of high quality size and location data;
- comprehensive data analyses incorporating the integration of results across the time and spatial scales for which data will be collected.

Each of the major costs of this large tagging programme are given as table 4 of this report. The total cost of this planned IOTTP is US \$18.788 million (its major components are summarized in Figure 2).

## ***Conclusion***

The Indian Ocean Tuna Tagging Programme (IOTTP) has been designed to provide data that is urgently required for the development of reliable stock assessments for the major tuna stocks of the Indian Ocean.

We are proposing to base the IOTTP on the approaches taken by the two very successful, European Union-funded, Regional Tuna Tagging Programmes run by the Secretariat for the Pacific Community (SPC) in the Western Pacific in the early 1980s and 1990s. There are many similarities between what we are proposing and the SPC programmes – in the logistical requirements, target species and analytical procedures required for processing the data. Thus, we have every reason to believe that the IOTTP, to be run by the IOTC secretariat and its member countries, will be successful in meeting its broad ranging objectives.

There is a strong case to be made that the sooner we start an IOTTP the better. Many of the stocks of tropical tuna species in the Indian Ocean have come under very high levels of exploitation in the 1990s, and at this point stock assessments are not able to determine whether the levels of exploitation are sustainable. A tagging programme will provide the data that is needed to make this evaluation. Given the lag between release of tags and adequate numbers of returns to allow analysis of key population parameters, we believe it is essential that the IOTTP should start immediately.

The cost of the six-year IOTTP, and associated pilot studies, is estimated at US\$18.5 million. This amounts to less than 1% of the annual landed value of the fishery (knowing that the total value of the fishery is much higher than this figure). Given the immense social and economic value of the fishery throughout the Indian Ocean, we believe this level of investment is fully justified. To delay this essential programme and wait until stocks are clearly in decline before acting would be contrary to the philosophy and treaties underpinning responsible fisheries management in the 21st century.

We are now faced with the question of who should fund such a programme. In identifying the key requirements and developing a prospectus and logistical plan for the IOTTP, we have concluded that the responsibility to fund programme lies principally with the industrialised countries that take the vast majority of the catch of the key tropical tuna species in the Indian Ocean.

Table 1: Overview of important management issues addressed by the IOTTP.

Management Issues	Current scientific responses to management issues	The role of a tagging programme in improving scientific responses to management issues
1. What is the likelihood that the recent rapid increases in catches of small yellowfin and bigeye on FADs are having significant negative impacts on the Indian Ocean populations of these species? (B,Y)	Very difficult to answer because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Critically inadequate understanding of key biological parameters (age specific M&amp; F, growth etc) lead to:</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tagging data will provide estimates of growth, age specific fishing and natural mortality..... for input into stock assessment models.</li> <li>Tagging data can also be used to estimate exploitation rates for each species, and as such provide allow the Scientific Committee to determine whether current levels of fishing are likely to lead to significant population decline.</li> </ul>
2. Are there too many boats fishing in the Indian Ocean? (B,Y,S)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inadequate stock assessment for any of the species</li> </ul>	
3. Is the Indian Ocean basin the appropriate management unit for each of the key species?	As the stock structure of all key species remains uncertain, it is not possible to determine this with any confidence.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data on movement patterns provided by a well-designed, ocean basin-wide tagging programme will provide a basis for determining the stock structure of all species tagged.</li> </ul>
4. What is the influence of FADs on the distribution and efficiency of the Indian Ocean purse seines fishery?	Unknown, because the influence of FADs on the movement and exploitation of tropical tunas in the Indian Ocean is unknown.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Within a tagging programme a core objective would be to determine the movement patterns and residency of fish at FADs and to examine the interactions between FADs,</li> </ul>
5. What is the efficiency of time-area closures as a management tool for tropical tunas in the Indian Ocean?	Without information on residency, movement patterns and mixing rates it is not possible to evaluate this.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As above, data from a tagging programme would allow scientists to advise managers on the likely impact of time-area closures, taking into account the residence times, movements patterns and rates of fish within different portions of the Indian Ocean.</li> </ul>
6. What is the level of interaction between skipjack fisheries in the central and western Indian Ocean?	Largely unknown because little to nothing is known about residency, movement patterns and mixing rates of any species in the Indian Ocean	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A critical output of a basin-scale tagging programme would be to provide data with which to examine the nature and extent of interactions between the many different sectors of the Indian Ocean tropical tuna fishery.</li> </ul>
7. What are the nature and level of interactions between purse seine and longline fisheries. (B,Y)	Also the vertical movement of yellowfin and bigeye in the Indian Ocean poorly understood.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Archival and pop-up satellite tags will provide the necessary information on habitat preferences of yellowfin and bigeye, and how these may change with age.</li> </ul>

Table 2: Chronological diagramme of the planned IOTTP activities.

		Pilot Study		IOTTP					
		Year 0		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6+
Funding agreement by donors	yes		yes						
Tagging WG		1 WG early in year 0		1 WG early in year 1	1 WG	1 WG	1 WG	final symposium	
Tagging equipment		initiate: early year 0		full scale	maintenance	maintenance	maintenance		
Bait supply		Bait studies		organize access to bait	yes	yes			
Main tagging by P&L vessel					yes	yes			
Small scale tagging		Small scale Tagging			yes	yes	yes		
Tagging by sport fishers		initiate		full scale	full scale	full scale	full scale	full scale	
Training of technicians		initiate		full					
Publicity & Communication		initiate		full publicity	full publicity	lower publicity	lower publicity	lower publicity	lower publicity
Rewards and lotteries		initiate		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
IOTC Scientific staff		1 study coordinator		Chief scientist	Chief scientist	Chief scientist	Chief scientist	Chief scientist	
IOTC Scientific staff				Field coordinator	Field coordinator	Field coordinator	Field coordinator	Field coordinator	
IOTC Scientific staff				Support officer	Support officer	Support officer	Support officer		
IOTC Scientific staff					4 tagging technicians	4 tagging technicians			
National tagging coordinators		yes		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	

Table 3: Proposed budget for the PILOT study 2001 (in thousands of US dollars)

<b>Type of cost</b>	<b>Cost</b>
Seychelles LL tagging	30
La Réunion LL tagging	45
Sport fishery tagging	60
Oman tagging	20
Maldives tagging	20
Mayotte tagging	25
Pole & Line Seychelles tagging	50
Tags, tagging equipment and accessories	15
Small scale publicity	10
Tagging expert salary	120
Contingency 10%	39.5
<b>Total budget</b>	<b>434.5</b>

Table 4: Budget summary for the tagging programme (IOTTP) (in thousands of US dollars)

<b>Type of cost</b>	<b>Year</b>					<b>Total</b>
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5+</b>	
Small vessels: Longline, artisanal & scientific		200	200	200		600
Sport fishery tagging: coordination & support	40	10	10	10	10	80
Tags, tagging equipment and accessories	170	45	45			260
Rewards and lottery for recoveries		100	100	100	50	350
IOTC technical and scientific staff	370	930	930	370	370	2 970
Publicity and communication	80	10	10	10	50	160
Training of tagging technicians	10	10	10	10		40
Support salaries of tagging staff		100	100	100		300
Travels costs	50	100	100	100	50	400
Meetings		20	20	20	50	110
Large P&L East rental & running cost		1 500	1500			3 000
Large P& West rental & running cost		4 000	4_000			8 000
Archival tags		250	250	250		750
Bait supply		20	20	20		60
Contingency	72	729.5	729.5	119	58	1 708
<b>Total</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>8 024.5</b>	<b>8 024.5</b>	<b>1 309</b>	<b>638</b>	<b>18 788</b>




Figure 2: Synthetic diagram showing the main chapters of the IOTTP planned budget (total budget of US\$18.788 million)